NEMA UD*2 92 ■ 6470247 0501079 369 ■

STANDARDS PUBLICATION NO. UD 2

nema

Acoustic Output Measurement Standard for Diagnostic Ultrasound Equipment

NEMA

NATIONAL ELECTRICAL MANUFACTURERS ASSOCIATION ■ 2101 L STREET, N.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

UD 2

NEMA ACOUSTIC OUTPUT MEASUREMENT STANDARD FOR DIAGNOSTIC ULTRASOUND EQUIPMENT

Published by

NATIONAL ELECTRICAL MANUFACTURERS ASSOCIATION
2101 L STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037

© 1992 by National Electrical Manufacturers Association

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Explanation of Format

There was some concern during this document's development that it should be published in a loose-leaf three-ring binder. The rationale was that many revisions were to be expected as technology covered and that, especially in a document of this size, these revisions would be easier to incorporate into a loose-leaf binder.

It was the opinion of NEMA's Communications Department, in consultation with the Ultrasound Section's Executive, that to produce the document in this format would necessitate assigning it a prohibitively expensive sales price. When this was balanced with the fact that the normal standard format has been used for far larger documents and not impeded their ability to be revised, it was decided to print UD 2-1992 in this format.

It should be noted that the three-hole punch applied to NEMA Standards is expressly intended to allow purchasers to bind their publications in a three-ring binder of their choice should they see fit. Storing their documents in this manner allows them to pull old, revised pages from the document and replace them with the appropriate updated pages. Instructions on which pages to pull and replace will be included with each revision.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	FOREWORD	i
	SCOPE	ii
	PURPOSE	iii
	ROAD MAP	iv
Section 1	REFERENCED PUBLICATIONS	1
Section 2	DEFINITIONS	5
Section 3	MEASUREMENT DEVICE CHARACTERISTICS	11
	Introduction	11
	Scope	11
	Hydrophone Characteristics	11
	Hydrophone Sensitivity	11
	Hydrophone System Frequency Response	13
	Effective Hydrophone Diameter	14
	Dynamic Range and Linearity	14
	Field Measurement Caution	14
	Hydrophone Selection and Minimum Requirements	14
	Hydrophone Measurement System	15
	Hydrophone Amplifier	15
	Input and Output Impedance	16
	Maximum Input and Output Voltage Ratings	16
	Water Tank	16
	Positioning System	18
	Oscilloscope/Waveform Recorder	18
	Commercially Available Measurement Systems	18
	Force Balance Characteristics	18
	Constructing a Force Balance from Components	18
Section 4	CALIBRATION METHODS	23
	Introduction	23
	Traceability and the Calibration Process	23
	Outline of Calibration Procedures	24
	Calibrating the Force-Balance System	26
	Fundamental Force Balance Equations	26
	Reference Source Transducers	26
	Force Balance Calibration	26
	Spot Checks of Force Balance and Reference Source	26
	Calibration and Spot Checks of Working Source	26
	Local Laboratory Checks of Reference Hydrophone	28
	Arrival of the Reference Hydrophone	28
	Comparison Waveform Records	28
	Spot Checks of the Reference Hydrophone	28
	The Comparison Procedure for the Working Hydrophone	29
	Determination of End-of-Cable Loaded Sensitivity of the Working Hydrophone	29
	Spot Checks of Working Hydrophone	29
	Planar Scanning Checks of Hydrophones	30
Section 5	DIAGNOSTIC ULTRASOUND MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES.	31
	Introduction	31
	Outline of Measurement Procedures	31
	Equipment and Setup	33
	Recommended Equipment	33

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Set-up 35
Measurement of Intensities and Pressure in Non-Autoscan System 38
Select Mode Control Setting for Maximum I_{SPPA} (water). 38
Determine the Beam Axis 38
Find the Spatial-Peak Pulse — Average Intensity (I_{SPPA}(water)) 38
Verification of I_{SPPA} Maximum and P_r 38
Waveform Recording 38
Calculate P_r 38
Associated Parameters 39
Set Mode Control Setting for $I_{SPPA.3}(z)$ 39
Determine the Beam Axis. 39
Find the Derated Spatial-Peak Pulse — Average Intensity (ISPPA.3(z)) 39
Verification of $I_{SPPA.3}$ Maximum and Pr.3. 40
Waveform Recording 40
Calculate $P_{r.3}$ 40
Associated Parameters 40
Selected Control Settings for I_{SPTA}(water) 40
Determine the Pulse Repetition Frequency (PRF) 40
Determine the Beam Axis 40
Find the Spatial Maximum P II(z)(water) 40
Verification of Maximum PII(z) 40
Waveform Recording 40
Calculate the I_{SPTA} 40
Associated Parameters Measurement 41
Select Control Setting for $I_{SPTA.3}$ 41
Determine the Pulse Repetition Frequency (PRF) 41
Determine the Beam Axis 41
Find the Spatial Maximum Derated $PII_{.3}(z)$ 41
Verification of Maximum $PII_{.3}$. 41
Waveform Recording 41
Calculate $I_{SPTA.3}$ 41
Measure the Ultrasonic Power 43
Measurement for Intensity and Pressure in Autoscan Mode 43
Introduction to Autoscan Measurements in Combined Modes 43
Measurement of I_{SPTA} in Autoscan Combined Modes 44
Power Measurements 45
Force Balance Methods 45
Non-Autoscan Force Balance Procedure 47
Autoscan Force Balance Procedure 47
Alternate Power Measurement/Calculation Using a Hydrophone and Planar Scanning in Non-Autoscan Mode 48

Appendix A STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS 55
Background 55
Implementation 56

Appendix B NONLINEAR EFFECTS 65
Nonlinear Waveform Distortion 65
Nonlinearity Propagation Parameter 66
Nonlinear Regions 66

Appendix C DIRECTIVITY OF HYDROPHONE 69

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Appendix D ACOUSTICAL OUTPUT QUANTITIES IN WATER AND IN TISSUE MODELS: DERATED VALUES . . . 69
Tissue Models. . . . 71
Determination of Derated Quantities when a Homogeneous - Tissue Model is used . . 72
Calculation of Derated Quantities Using a Fixed - Attenuation Model . . . 73

Appendix E WATER DEGASSING PROCEDURE . . . 77

Appendix F COMBINED MODE WORKSHEET EXAMPLES. . . . 79

Appendix G RATIONALE: RELATIONSHIP OF SELECTED MEASURED PARAMETERS TO CLINICAL SAFETY OR EFFICACY . . . 89
Acoustic Power . . . 89
Spatial Peak Temporal Average Intensity (I_{SPTA}) . . . 89
Spatial Peak Pulse Average Intensity (I_{SPPA}). . . . 89
Peak Rarefactional Pressure P_r . . . 89

Appendix H DETERMINATION OF CONTROL SETTINGS RESULTING IN INTENSITY AND PRESSURE GLOBAL MAXIMA . . . 91

Appendix I HYDROPHONE CALIBRATION BY PLANAR SCANNING TECHNIQUE . . . 93
Introduction . . . 95
Derivation of Calibration Equation . . . 95
Power Measurements on Source Transducer . . . 96
Recording of Time Waveforms and Beam Profiles . . . 96
Correction for Water Attenuation . . . 97
Simplified Scanning Geometries . . . 97
Numerical Evaluation of Integrals . . . 97

Appendix J CONSISTENCY CHECKS . . . 99
Check for I_{SPTA} . . . 99
Check for I_{SPPA} . . . 99
Discussion . . . 99

Appendix K MEASUREMENT OF I_{SPTA} FOR A COMBINED OPERATING MODE . . . 101

Appendix L RATIONALE FOR MEASUREMENTS IN WATER . . . 103

FIGURES

Figure 3-1 Diagram of Hydrophone and Amplifier . . . 17
Figure 3-2 Radiation Force Balance System With Absorbing Target . . . 19
Figure 3-3 Radiation Force Balance System With Reflecting Target at 45° Angle to Direction of Propagation . . . 20
Figure 4-1 Diagram of Major Steps in the Recommended Calibration Procedures . . . 25
Figure 5-1 Steps in the Measurement Process . . . 32
Figure 5-2 Recommended Measurement Sequence for Obtaining Acoustic Output Parameters . . 34
Figure 5-3a Horizontal Scanning Mechanism (Example) . . . 36
Figure 5-3b Vertical Scanning System . . . 37
Figure 5-4 Spatial Peak Voltage Waveform Signal (V_p) As Seen At Maximum I_{SPPA} Location . . . 39
Figure 5-5 A Typical Pulse Intensity Integral (PII) Waveform . . . 40
Figure 5-6 Beam Profile Parameters For Single Element Transducers . . . 42
Figure 5-7 Beam Geometry At The Focus . . . 43
Figure 5-8a Focal Plane Pulse Intensity Integral For Circular Source . . . 44
Figure 5-8b Focal Plane Pulse Intensity Integral For Rectangular Source . . . 45
Figure 5-9 Radiation Force Balance On/Off Time Sequence . . . 53
Figure B-1 Measurements of Waveforms At Three Frequencies . . . 66
Figure D-1 Pulse-Average Intensity Versus Distance From A Spherically Focused Transducer . . . 72

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Figure D-2	Pulse-Average Intensity Distance From A Gaussian Focused Transducer	75
Figure I-1	Temperature Dependence of the Attenuation of Ultrasound in Water, Normalized to f^2	94
Figure I-2	Source Transducer With Cylindrical Symmetry On A Spherical Scanning Geometry For a Hydrophone	95
Figure J-1	Moving Beam Geometries	100

TABLES

Table 3-1	Typical Hydrophone Specification Data at 1 MHz	13
Table 3-2	Typical Hydrophone Specifications	15
Table 3-3	Minimum Hydrophone or Hydrophone-Amplifier	15
Table 3-4	Minimum Hydrophone-Amplifier Specifications	16
Table A-1	$t_{0.95}$ values	56
Table A-2	Example for Determining the Error E_2 for Repeated Measurements	56
Table C-1	Effective Hydrophone Diameter Values From Equation 3.3.3-1	70
Table F-1	Associated Quantities (WATER)	80
Table F-2	Associated Quantities (WATER)	81
Table F-3	Associated Quantities (DERATED)	82
Table F-4	Associated Quantities (DERATED)	83
Table F-5	Associated Quantities (DERATED)	84
Table F-6	Associated Quantities (WATER)	85
Table F-7	Labeling Summary (WATER)	86
Table F-8	Labeling Summary (WATER)	87
Table F-9	Labeling Summary (DERATED)	88
Table K-1	Water Values at I_{SPTA} Maximum for a 2.5 MHz Phased Array	101

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS Not for Resale

Foreword

This Standards Publication has been prepared by a Task Force composed of members of the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine (AIUM) and members of the National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA). Initially the aim of this Task Force was to revise the 1981 document entitled, "The AIUM/NEMA Safety Standard for Diagnostic Ultrasound Equipment." However, considerable progress has occurred in this field recently, necessitating major rewriting to the point where the result is a truly new document. Furthermore, the discussion of safety considerations has been deleted because this is being pursued elsewhere by AIUM and the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP). The International Electro-technical Commission, Technical Committee 87, is also developing standards for ultrasonic output measurement and calibration. Their efforts have been helpful in the development of this document. Relevant IEC standards published by TC 87 can be consulted for further technical information.

User needs have been considered throughout the development of this publication. Proposed or recommended revisions should be submitted to:

Vice President, Engineering Department
National Electrical Manufacturers Association
2101 L Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Scope

This Standards Publication covers all active ultrasound apparatus designed for medical diagnostic use, including ultrasonic echo ranging devices (both manual and automatically scanned), through-transmission devices, Doppler echo equipment, and combinations thereof.

This document establishes measurement procedure standards for acoustic output quantities for ultrasonic diagnostic equipment.

Measurements of acoustic output quantities are to be performed in water. However, in order to provide values more typical of what might occur within tissue, derated values of output quantities are described in addition to the in-water values. To provide an example, detailed derating procedures and requirements are discussed in this standard for a specific model; this is a 0.3 dB/cm-MHz uniform attenuation model and will be notationally designated by the subscript ".3", i.e., $ISPPA_{.3}$.

It is anticipated that in the future, different derating factors will be necessary to better represent different applications. The standard provides for the implementation of different derating schemes by the manufacturer, provided that the implementation of that scheme is described.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Purpose

The objective of this Standards Publication is to describe a set of measurement procedures for ultrasonic output parameters. This document implements this by:

a. Setting forth precise definitions of quantities, primarily those relating to acoustic output levels;

b. Specifying standard procedures for measuring the pertinent acoustic output parameters.

It is hoped that this standard will be found useful to individuals performing the indicated measurements.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Road Map

This Standards Publication provides a consistent methodology for making measurements of acoustic output parameters. A Road Map guide of this document is explained in the following paragraphs for different groups of readers.

Medical personnel who purchase or use ultrasound diagnostic equipment should acquaint themselves particularly with Section 2. In this section, ultrasonic output parameters and other associated parameters are defined. These parameters are selected indices of acoustic pressure, intensity and power, as well as other related information.

The readers who will probably study this standard most intensively are engineers, physicists, and other technical people who are responsible for making the ultrasonic output measurements, or for testing ultrasound equipment.

Sections 3-5 contain information on how the measurements are to be made. For those planning to establish a facility for making these measurements, Section 3 is required reading. It contains lists of equipment, including hydrophones and force balances, required for measurements, as well as equipment needed for calibration and testing of the measurement-devices. Along with the lists are discussions of required characteristics for the devices (especially, the hydrophones) used in measurements and in calibration.

While calibrated hydrophones can be purchased, experience has shown that it is necessary for each measurement facility to have at least its own means of testing the calibration periodically. It is preferable to also have the capability of carrying out independent hydrophone calibrations. Finally, it is also necessary to have means for testing the force balance calibration periodically. Procedures for testing and calibration of hydrophones and force balances are described in Section 4.

The measurement procedures themselves are described in Section 5, as they would be applied to an ultrasound system intended for clinical diagnostic use. Determination of the required pressure quantity and of the two required intensity quantities are carried out with a hydrophone. The total acoustic power is measured with a force balance. A test for self consistency between force balance and hydrophone measurements can be carried out by using a planar scanning technique.

The important analysis that can be used in reporting 95% confidence levels is discussed in Appendix A. Other appendices give additional information on matters which require more detailed treatment than is appropriate in the main text.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Section 1
REFERENCED PUBLICATIONS

AIUM, *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine,* Volume 7 (9) supplement, September, 1988, "Bioeffect Considerations for the Safety of Diagnostic Ultrasound."

Ayme, E. J. Bellegarda, J.R. and Carstensen, E.L., *Proceedings of Ultrasonics International '87,* July 1987, "Cavitation Induced by Finite Amplitude Pulses of Ultrasound," pp. 212-217.

Bacon, D. R., *IEEE Transactions on Sonics and Ultrasonics,* Vol. 29, January 1982, "Characteristics of a PVDF Membrane Hydrophone for Use in the Range 1-100 mHz," pp. 18-25.

Bacon D. R., *Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology,* Vol. 10, March/April 1984, "Finite Amplitude Distortion of the Pulsed Fields Used in Diagnostic Ultrasound," pp. 189-195.

Bacon, D. R., *Proceedings of Physics and Medical Ultrasound,* Conference report of Institute of Physical Sciences in Medicine, April 1987, "The Significance of Nonlinear Propagation in Determining Ultrasonic Exposure."

Bacon, D. R., *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics,* Ferroelectrics and Frequency Control, Vol. 35, March 1988, "Primary Calibration of Ultrasonic Hydrophones Using Optical Interferometry," pp. 152-161.

Beissner, K., *Acustica,* Vol. 50, March 1982, "The Influence of Membrane Reflections on Ultrasonic Power Measurements," pp. 194-200.

Blackstock, D. T., *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America,* Vol. 39, June 1965, "Connection Between the Fay and Fubini Solutions for Plane Sound Waves of Finite Amplitude," pp. 1019-1026.

Carson, P. L., Fischella, P. R., and Oughton, T. V., *Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology,* Volume 3, 1978, "Ultrasonic Power and Intensities Produced by Diagnostic Ultrasonic Equipment," pp. 341-350.

Carson, P. L., *Proceedings of the Twenty-second Annual Meeting of the National Council of Radiation Protection and Measurements,* 1988, "Exposure Measurements in Electromagnetic Radiation, Noninvasive Nonionizing Electromagnetic Radiation and Ultrasound," pp. 308-328.

Carson, P. L., *Proceedings of the Twenty-second Annual Meeting of the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements,* 1988, "Medical Ultrasound Fields and Exposure Measurements," pp. 57-80.

Carson, P. L. and Banjavic, R. A., *American Institute of Physics Document No. PAPS JAS-MA-70-1220-31,* 1980, "Radiation Force Balance System for Precise Acoustic Power Measurement in Diagnostic Ultrasound," pp. 1220-31.

Carson, P. L., Rubin, J. M., and Chang, E. H., *Medicine and Biology,* Vol. 15, (Supp. 1), 1989, "Constant Soft Tissue Distance Model in Pregnancy," pp. 27-30.

Carstensen, E. L. and Muir, T. G., *Tissue Characterization with Ultrasound,* Volume I, Methods, Boca Raton, Florida, CRC Press, 1986.

Center for Devices and Radiological Health, Food and Drug Administration, *510(K) Guide for Measuring and Reporting Acoustic Output of Diagnostic Ultrasound Medical Devices,* Appendix D, Center for Devices and Radiological Health, December, 1985.

Chivers, R. C., Filmore, P. R., and Smith, A. D., *Ultrasonics,* Volume 19, May 1981, "Broadband Measurements on Ultrasonic Tank Lining Materials," pp. 125-133.

Corbett, S. S. III, *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control,* Volume 35, March 1988, "The Influence of Nonlinear Fields on Miniature Hydrophone Calibration Using the Planar Scanning Technique," pp. 162-167.

De Reggi, A. S., Edelman, S., Harris, G. R., Kenney, J. M., Roth, *S.C., Journal of the Acoustical Society of America,* Volume 69, March 1981, "Piezoelectric Polymer Probe for Ultrasonic Applications," pp. 853-859.

Duck, F. A. et al., *British Journal of Radiology,* Volume 58, October 1985, "The Output of Pulse Echo Ultrasound Equipment: A Survey of Powers, Pressures and Intensities," pp. 989-1001.

Duck, F. A. and Starritt, H. C., *Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology,* Volume 12, May 1986, "The Locations of Peak Pressures and Peak Intensities in Finite Amplitude Beams from a Pulsed Focused Transducer," pp. 403-409.

Fick, S. E., Breckenridge, F. R., Eitzen, D. G., and Tschiegg, C. E., *National Bureau of Standards Journal of Research,* Volume 89, 1984, An Ultrasonic Absolute Power Transfer Standard," pp. 209-212.

Filipczynski, L., and Etienne, J., *Acustica,* Volume 28, February 1973, "Theoretical Study and Experiments on Spherical Focusing Transducers and Gaussian Surface Velocity Distribution," pp. 121-128.

Gloersen, W. B., Harris, G. R., Lewin, P. A., and Stewart, W. F., *Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology,* Volume 8, 1982, "A Comparison of Two Calibration Methods for Ultrasonic Hydrophones," pp. 545-548.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Harris, G. R., *IEEE Transactions on Sonics and Ultrasonics,* Volume 29, November 1982, "Sensitivity Considerations for PVDF Hydrophones Using the Spot-poled Membrane Design," pp. 370-377.

Harris, G. R., *Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology,* Volume 11, November/December 1985, "A Discussion of Procedures for Ultrasonic Intensity and Power Calculations from Miniature Hydrophone Measurements," pp. 803-817.

Harris G. R. and Shombert, D. G., *IEEE Transactions on Sonics and Ultrasonics,* Volume 32, November, 1985, "A Pulsed Near Field Technique for Measuring the Directional Characteristics of Acoustic Receivers," pp. 802-808.

Harris G. R., *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics and Frequency Control,* Volume 35, March 1988, "Hydrophone Measurements in Diagnostic Ultrasound Fields," pp. 87-101.

Harris, G. R. and Herman, B. A., *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America,* Volume 72, November, 1982, Calibration of Miniature Ultrasonic Receivers Using a Planar Scanning Technique," pp. 1356-1363.

Jones, S. M., Banjavic, R. A., Carson, P. L., and Meyer, C. R., *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America,* Volume 70, November 1981, "Simplified Technique for the Calibration and Use of a Miniature Hydrophone in Intensity Measurements of Pulsed Ultrasound Fields," pp. 1220-1228.

Kaye, G. W. C., and Laby, T. H., *Tables of Physical and Chemical Constants,* New York, New York, Longman 14th ed., pp. 62-69.

Kossoff, G., *Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology,* Volume 5, 1979, "Analysis of Focusing Action of Spherically Curved Transducers," pp. 359-365.

Kossoff, G. and Carpenter, D. L., *Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology,* Volume 10, March/April 1984, "A Reflection Technique for Measurement of High Acoustic Intensities," pp. 179-199.

Kremkau, F. W. Edmonds, P. D. and Robinson, R. A., *IEEE Medical Ultrasound Field Parameter Measurement Guide,* IEEE 1989, p. 790.

Lewin, P. A., *Ultrasonics,* Volume 19, September 1981, Miniature Piezoelectric Polymer Ultrasonic Hydrophone Probes," pp. 213-216.

Lewin, P. A. and Schafer, M. E., *Medical Device and Diagnostic Industry,* Volume 8, 1986, "Ultrasonic Probes in Measurement Practice," pp. 40-46.

Lewin, P. A., Chivers, R. C. and Schafer, M. E., *Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology,* Volume 13, March 1987, "Factors Affecting the Choice of Preamplification for Ultrasonic Hydrophone Probes," pp. 141-148.

Ludwig, G. and Brendel, K., *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics and Frequency Control,* Volume 35, March 1988, "Calibration of Hydrophones Based on Reciprocity and Time Delay Spectrometry," pp. 168-174.

Martin, K., *Proceedings of the Institute of Acoustics,* Volume 8, Part 2, 1986, "Measurement of I_{SPTA} and I_{SPPA} from Real-time Scanning Machines," pp. 129-135.

Meeks, S. W., and Ting, R. Y., *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America,* Volume 75, March 1984, "The Evaluation of PVF_2 for Underwater Shock-wave Sensor Application," pp. 1010-1012.

National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, *Report No. 74,* 1983, "Biological Effects of Ultrasound: Mechanisms and Clinical Implications," section 2.5.6.

Pedersen, P. D., Bjorno, L., and Lewin, P. A., *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics and Frequency Control,* Volume 35, March 1988, "Application of Time Delay Spectrometry for Calibration of Ultrasonic Transducers," pp. 185-205.

Platt, M. *Ultrasonics,* Volume 23, May 1985, "A Polyvinylidene Fluoride Needle Hydrophone for Ultrasonic Applications," pp. 113-118.

Preston, R. C., Bacon, D. R., Livett, A. J., and Rajendran, K., *Journal of Physics, E. Science and Instrumentation,* Volume 16, August 1983, "PVDF Membrane Hydrophone Performance Properties and their Relevance to the Measurement of the Acoustic Output of Medical Ultrasonic Equipment," pp. 786-796.

Preston, R. C., *Medical and Biological Engineering and Computing,* Volume 24, Part I March 1986 and Part II May 1986, "Measurement and Characterization of the Acoustic Output of Medical Ultrasonic Equipment." pp. 113-120 and 224-234.

Preston, R. C. Bacon, D. R., Corbett, S. C., Harris, G. R., Lewin, P. A., McGregor, J. A., O'Brien, W. D. Jr., and Szabo, T. L., *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics and Frequency Control,* Volume 35, March 1988, "Interlaboratory Comparison of Hydrophone Calibrations," pp. 206-213.

Preston, R. C., *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics and Frequency Control,* Volume 35, March 1988, "The NPL Ultrasound Beam Calibrator," pp. 122-139.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Rooney, J. A., *Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology,* Volume 1, September 1973, "Determination of Acoustic Power Outputs in the Microwatt-Milliwatt Range," pp. 13-16.

Radiation Protection Bureau, *Guidelines for the Safe Use of Ultrasound,* Part 1, Medical and Paramedical Applications, 1982. (Radiation Protection Bureau, Environmental Health Control, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1A-OL2)

Schafer, M. E. and Lewin, P. A., *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics and Frequency Control,* Volume 35, March 1988, "A Computerized System for Measuring the Acoustic Output from Diagnostic Ultrasound Equipment," pp. 102-109.

Shombert, D. G., Smith, S. W., and Harris, G. R., *Medical Physics,* Volume 9, July/August 1982, "Angular Response of Miniature Ultrasonic Hydrophones," pp. 484-492.

Shombert, D. G. and Robinson, R. A., *Ultrasonics,* Volume 21, September 1983, "A Comparison of Two Methods for Determining Ultrasonic Intensity for Medical Transducers," pp. 234-236.

Shombert, D. G., and Harris, G. R., *IEEE Transactions, Ferroelectrics and Frequency Control,* Volume 33, May 1986, "Use of Miniature Hydrophones to Determine Peak Intensities Typical of Medical Ultrasound Devices," pp. 287-294.

Smith, R. A., *Proceedings of the Institute of Acoustics,* Part 2, Volume 8, 1986, "The Importance of the Frequency Response of a Hydrophone When Characterizing Medical Ultrasound Fields," pp. 119-128.

Szabo, T. L., Hempstead, P., and Melton, H. E., Jr., *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics and Frequency Control,* Volume 35, March 1988, "Ultrasonic Output Measurements of Multiple Mode Diagnostic Ultrasound Systems," pp. 220-231.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Section 2
DEFINITIONS

The purpose of this section is to provide precise definitions for the pertinent technical terms used in this document.

ACOUSTIC PRESSURE is the value of the total pressure minus the ambient pressure.

AMPLITUDE MODULATED WAVEFORM is a waveform in which the amplitude modulation factor is greater than 5 percent.

AMPLITUDE MODULATION FACTOR is the value of the expression $100 \times (/A/ - /B/)/A/$ where /A/ and /B/ are the absolute maximum and absolute minimum values of the envelope of a modulated acoustical or electrical carrier (first order quantity), respectively, expressed as a percentage.

ANECHOIC MATERIAL is acoustic absorbing material that exhibits low reflective properties. (IEEE)

AUTOSCAN (auto-scanning) is the electronic or mechanical steering of successive ultrasonic pulses, or series of pulses, through at least two dimensions.

BANDWIDTH is the difference in the frequencies f_1 and f_2 at which the transmitted acoustic pressure spectrum is 71 percent (-3 dB) of its maximum value.

BEAM AXIS is a straight line joining the points of the maximum pulse intensity integral measured at several different distances in the far field. This line, calculated according to regression rules, is to be extended back to the transducer assembly surface.

BEAM CROSS-SECTIONAL AREA is the area on the surface of a plane perpendicular to the beam axis consisting of all points where the pulse intensity integral is greater than 25 percent of the maximum pulse intensity integral in that plane. For situations in which the relative pressure waveform does not change significantly across the beam cross-sectional area, the beam cross-sectional area may be approximated by measuring the area on the surface of a plane perpendicular to the beam axis consisting of all points where the acoustic pressure is greater than 50 percent of the maximum acoustic pressure in the plane.

BROADBAND TRANSDUCER is a transducer with a fractional bandwidth greater than or equal to 15 percent.

CENTER FREQUENCY (f_c) is $(f_1 + f_2)/2$ where f_1 and f_2 are frequencies defined in bandwidth.

CENTROID of a surface is the point whose coordinates are the mean values of the coordinates of a representative sample of all points on the surface.

COMBINED MODE (combined operating mode) is any combination of two or more of the discrete operating modes operating simultaneously.

CONTINUOUS WAVEFORM is a waveform in which the amplitude modulation factor is less than or equal to 5 percent.

CW DOPPLER (continuous wave Doppler) is a Doppler discrete operating mode in which a continuous waveform is generated.

DEPTH OF FOCUS, for a focusing transducer assembly, is the distance along the beam axis between two specific points p_1 and P_2, on opposite sides of L, the I_{SPPA} peak location. Here P_2 is the nearest point on the far side of L at which the pulse average intensity I_{PA} is equal to 0.5 times I_{SPPA}. Similarly p_1 is the point nearest L on its transducer side at which I_{PA} is equal to 0.5 times I_{SPPA}, if such a point exists; if not, then p_1 is at the surface of the transducer.

DERATED INTENSITY is the reduced value obtained when the intensity measured in water is adjusted by the derating factor.

DERATED PRESSURE is the reduced value obtained when the pressure measured in water is adjusted by the derating factor.

DERATED SPATIAL PEAK INTENSITY is the derated intensity in the acoustic field where the derated intensity is a maximum, or is a local maximum within a specified region.

DERATED SPATIAL PEAK PRESSURE is the derated pressure in the acoustic field where the derated pressure is a maximum, or is a local maximum within a specified region.

DERATING FACTOR is that factor which adjusts an acoustic exposure quantity to obtain a derated (reduced) value. (For a uniform 0.3 dB/cm-MHz attenuation model (as referred to in this standard), the derating factor for intensity and power is $e^{-0.069 f_c z}$, where f_c is the center frequency in megahertz and z is the distance of propagation through the attenuating medium. For pressure, the derating factor is $e^{-0.0345 f_c z}$.)

DISCRETE OPERATING MODE is one of the following system operations: A-Mode, M-Mode, static, B-Mode, real-time B-Mode, CW Doppler, pulsed Doppler, static flow mapping, real-time flow napping, or any other single display format for presenting clinical information.

DOPPLER COLOR FLOW IMAGING is a combined B-scan and Doppler technique characterized by the

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

superposition of color-coded blood flow velocity information onto the B-scan image.

DUTY FACTOR is the product of the pulse duration and the pulse repetition frequency for a pulsed waveform.

EFFECTIVE HYDROPHONE DIAMETER (d_e) is twice the effective hydrophone radius.

EFFECTIVE HYDROPHONE RADIUS (a_e) is the radius which is determined by comparison of the measured directional response at frequency f with the theoretical directivity function for the active element at that frequency. More specifically, for use with this standard, the measured half angles at the -3 dB and -6 dB points of the hydrophone directivity pattern at a given frequency are employed in the far field directivity equation of a circular aperture to calculate radii, a_{e3} and a_{e6}, respectively, which are averaged to obtain an effective radius for the hydrophone.

END-OF-CABLE LOADED SENSITIVITY ($M_L(f)$) is the ratio of the voltage at the end of any integral cable or connector of a hydrophone or hydrophone-amplifier combination, when connected to a specified electrical load, to the free-field acoustic pressure at the location of the hydrophone's active element. In general, the sensitivity is a function of frequency, f. The preferred unit is volts per megapascal (V/MPa).

END-OF-CABLE OPEN-CIRCUIT SENSITIVITY (MC(f)) is the ratio of the open-circuit voltage at the end of any integral cable or connector of a hydrophone or hydrophone-amplifier combination to the free-field acoustic pressure at the location of the hydrophone's active element. In general, the sensitivity is a function of frequency, f. The preferred unit is volts per megapascal (V/MPa).

ENERGY FLUENCE is the acoustic energy transmitted in the direction of acoustic wave propagation, per unit area normal to this direction, at the point considered during a given time period. It is equal to the time integral of the instantaneous intensity during the same time period.

ENERGY FLUENCE PER PULSE is the energy fluence during the duration of a single pulse. It is equal to the pulse intensity integral.

ENTRANCE BEAM DIMENSIONS are the dimensions of the beam cross-sectional area where the beam enters the patient. For contact transducers, these dimensions can be taken as the dimensions of the radiating element, if so stated.

ENTRANCE DIMENSIONS OF THE SCAN, for autoscan systems, are the dimensions of the scan cross-sectional area over the surface through which the scan ultrasound beams enter the patient.

ENVELOPE is a smooth curve tangent to and connecting the peaks of successive cycles of a waveform.

EXPOSURE TIME is the total amount of time the transducer assembly is delivering ultrasonic power to the patient or to a specified anatomic region. For pulsed systems, the exposure time includes the intervals between pulses as well as the duration of the pulses.

FAR FIELD is that region of the field in which the acoustic energy flow proceeds essentially as though coming from a point source located in the vicinity of the transducer assembly. (For an unfocused transducer assembly, the far field is commonly at a distance greater than $S/\pi\lambda$, where S is the radiating cross-sectional area and λ is the acoustic wavelength in the medium.)

FAR FIELD TRANSITION LENGTH (L) is the distance from an unfocused transducer surface along the beam axis to the point given by $S/\pi\lambda$ where S is the radiating cross-sectional area of the transducer.

FOCAL AREA is the beam cross-sectional area on the focal surface.

FOCAL DIMENSIONS are the largest dimension of the focal surface and its dimension in the orthogonal direction.

FOCAL LENGTH is the distance along the beam axis from the focal surface to the centroid of the radiating cross-sectional area on the external surface of a focusing transducer assembly.

FOCAL SURFACE is the surface which contains the smallest of all beam cross-sectional areas of a focusing transducer assembly.

FOCUSING TRANSDUCER ASSEMBLY is a transducer assembly in which the ratio of the smallest beam cross-sectional area to radiating cross-sectional area is less than one-fourth.

FRACTIONAL BANDWIDTH is bandwidth divided by center frequency.

FREEZE MODE is a mode involving pulse-echo imaging in which the imaging is stopped. Unless the ultrasound power is zero during this mode, it shall be regarded as a discrete mode for labeling purposes.

GEOMETRICAL HYDROPHONE DIAMETER is twice the geometrical hydrophone radius.

GEOMETRICAL HYDROPHONE RADIUS is the radius defined by the physical dimensions of the hydrophone active element's electroded region.

GLOBAL MAXIMUM (GM) is the greatest value of a quantity evaluated over all times, over all locations, and over all operating conditions for any given operating mode.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

HYDROPHONE CALIBRATION is the process by which $M_C(f)$ or $M_L(f)$ of a hydrophone is measured and recorded at selected frequencies.

INTENSITY is the acoustic power transmitted in the direction of acoustic wave propagation, per unit area normal to this direction, at the point considered. For measurement purposes, this point is restricted to points where it is reasonable to assume that the acoustic pressure and particle velocity are in phase, viz., in the far field or the area near the focal surface.

INSTANTANEOUS INTENSITY (i) is the instantaneous acoustic power transmitted in the direction of acoustic wave propagation, per unit area normal to this direction, at the point considered. It is given in the far field by:

$$i = p^2/\rho c$$

where p is the instantaneous acoustic pressure, ρ is the density of the medium, and c is the speed of sound in the medium.

PULSE AVERAGE INTENSITY (I_{PA}) is the ratio of the pulse intensity integral (energy fluence per pulse) to the pulse duration.

DERATED PULSE AVERAGE INTENSITY ($I_{PA.3}$) is the reduced value obtained when the pulse average intensity is adjusted by the derating factor.

SPATIAL-AVERAGE INTENSITY (I_{SA}) is the same as spatial-average temporal-average intensity. Generally, this parameter is used when characterizing the continuous waveform.

SPATIAL-AVERAGE TEMPORAL-AVERAGE INTENSITY (I_{SATA}), for auto-scanning systems, is the temporal average intensity averaged over the scan cross-sectional area on a surface specified (may be approximated as the ratio of ultrasonic power to the scan cross-sectional area or as the mean value of that ratio if it is not the same for each scan); for non-auto-scanning systems, I_{SATA} is the temporal average intensity averaged over the beam crosssectional area (may be approximated as the ratio of ultrasonic power to the beam cross-sectional area.)

SPATIAL-PEAK PULSE-AVERAGE INTENSITY (I_{SPPA}) is the value of the pulse average intensity at the point in the acoustic field where the pulse average intensity is a maximum or is a local maximum within a specified region.

SPATIAL-PEAK TEMPORAL-AVERAGE INTENSITY (I_{SPTA}) is the value of the temporal average intensity at the point in the acoustic field where the temporal average intensity is a maximum, or is a local maximum within a specified region.

TEMPORAL AVERAGE INTENSITY is the time average of intensity at a point in space. For non-autoscan systems, the average is taken over one or more pulse repetition periods. For autoscan systems, the intensity is averaged over one or more scan repetition periods for a specified operating mode. For autoscan modes, the average includes contributions from adjacent lines that overlap the point of measurement. For combined modes, the average includes overlapping lines from all constituent discrete operating mode signals.

INTENSITY RESPONSE FACTOR (K_f2) is the received hydrophone voltage squared per unit of intensity in a plane wave of specified frequency f in water at a specified temperature.

LOCAL LABORATORY is a laboratory other than a national standard laboratory at which calibrations and measurements, as called for in this standard, are performed.

M-MODE is a discrete operating mode which provides a graphical representation over time of the locations of echoes along a fixed (non-auto scanning) sound path.

MEASUREMENT REPORT is a complete documentation of the methods and results of measurements required to obtain the labeling quantities.

NARROWBAND TRANSDUCER is a transducer with a fractional bandwidth less than 15 percent.

NON-AUTOSCAN (non-autoscanning) is the emission of ultrasonic pulses in a single direction, where scanning in more than one direction would require moving the transducer manually.

NONLINEARITY PROPAGATION PARAMETER (σ_m) is a parameter characterizing the degree of non-linearity in the propagation of an ultrasound beam. A value of less than 0.5 would indicate a linear condition, and a value above 1.5 would indicate a marked non-linear condition.

OPERATING CONDITION is any one of the possible particular control settings for a discrete operating mode or combined operating mode.

OUTPUT CONTROL SETTINGS are the settings of the controls affecting the acoustic output of an ultrasound instrument. Such controls would include the power output control, the focal zone control, the imaging range control, etc.

PEAK COMPRESSIONAL PRESSURE (p_c) at a specified point is the temporal peak compressional pressure amplitude.

PEAK RAREFACTIONAL PRESSURE (p_r) at a specified point is the temporal peak rarefactional pressure amplitude.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

POLED (SPOT-POLED) is a region in a ferroelectric material that has been polarized by the application of an electric field and remains polarized after the electrical field has been removed.

POWER is a quantity describing the rate at which acoustic energy travels per unit time in the direction of propagation. Unless stated otherwise, all references to power measurements in this standard shall be to temporal-average values.

PULSE AVERAGE INTENSITY (I_{PA}) see intensity.

PULSED DOPPLER SPECTRAL ANALYSIS is a Doppler technique using pulsed ultrasound for determining blood velocity within small sample volumes at specified depths in the direction of the sound beam.

PULSE DURATION is 1.25 times the interval between the time when the time integral of intensity in an acoustic pulse at a point reaches 10 percent and when it reaches 90 percent of the pulse intensity integral.

PULSE-ECHO IMAGING is a two dimensional visualization of anatomic echoes using pulsed ultrasound. It is also known as B-Mode or B-Scan imaging.

PULSE INTENSITY INTEGRAL (PII) is the time integral of instantaneous intensity, for any specific point and pulse, integrated over the time in which the envelope of acoustic pressure or hydrophone signal for the specific pulse is non-zero. It is equal to the energy fluence per pulse. For a transducer assembly operating in a non-autoscanning mode, it is equal to the product of temporal average intensity and pulse repetition period.

PULSE REPETITION FREQUENCY, for a pulsed waveform, is the number of pulses generated per second.

PULSE REPETITION PERIOD is the reciprocal of the pulse repetition frequency.

RADIATING CROSS-SECTIONAL AREA is the area of the surface at and parallel to the face of the active transducer element(s) and consisting of all points where the acoustic pressure is greater than 10 percent of the maximum acoustic pressure in that surface. The area of the active element(s) of the transducer assembly may be taken as an approximation for the radiating cross-sectional area.

REFERENCE HYDROPHONE is a stable hydrophone that has been calibrated by a national standards laboratory. It is used primarily for calibrating working hydrophones by the comparison method. It also can be used for the measurement of ultrasonic equipment acoustic output.

REFERENCE SOURCE is a source transducer whose ultrasonic power has been calibrated at one or more frequencies by a national standards laboratory under driving conditions repeatable in a local laboratory. Preferably, the transducer is constructed from an inherently stable piezoelectric crystal, such as quartz, or lithium niobate. It is used for calibrating radiation force balances and hydrophones.

SCAN CROSS-SECTIONAL AREA, for auto-scanning systems, is the area, on the surface considered, consisting of all points located within the beam cross-sectional area of any beam passing through the surface during the scan.

SCAN FORMAT is the two dimensional spatial pattern of a sonographic image display. Scan formats include rectangular, sector, and trapezoidal types.

SCAN INTENSITY INTEGRAL is the sum of the pulse intensity integrals of all pulses arriving at a given point during a single scan repetition period.

SCAN LINE is a single or multiple transmission of acoustic energy in a discrete position and direction during the formation of a complete scan.

SCAN REPETITION FREQUENCY (SRF) is the repetition frequency of a complete scan in angle or position, for autoscan systems only.

SCAN REPETITION PERIOD is the reciprocal of the scan repetition frequency.

SLEW RATE is the rate of rise of output voltage of an amplifier in response to a voltage step input.

SPATIAL AVERAGE INTENSITY (I_{SA}) see intensity.

SPATIAL-AVERAGE TEMPORAL-AVERAGE INTENSITY (I_{SATA}) see intensity.

SPATIAL-PEAK PULSE-AVERAGE INTENSITY (I_{SPPA}) see intensity.

SPATIAL-PEAK TEMPORAL-AVERAGE INTENSITY (I_{SPTA}) see intensity.

SPOT CHECK is the comparison of a hydrophone voltage waveform to a waveform record to determine changes in hydrophone sensitivity, or the comparison of a force balance reading to the output of a reference source to determine changes in calibration.

TEMPORAL AVERAGE INTENSITY see intensity.

TRANSDUCER ASSEMBLY is the transducer(s), the transducer housing (probe), any associated electronic circuitry and any liquids contained in the housing, and the integral cable which connects the transducer probe to an ultrasound console.

ULTRASONIC POWER (W) is the temporal average power emitted in the form of ultrasonic radiation by the transducer assembly.

ULTRASOUND SYSTEM consists of an ultrasound transducer assembly and an ultrasound console.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

ULTRASOUND CONSOLE is that part of an ultrasound system to which the ultrasound transducer assembly is attached. The console provides the electronic circuitry for driving and controlling the ultrasound fields, as well as the display signal reception, processing and features.

WAVEFORM is the graphical characterization of an acoustical or electrical parameter as a function of time.

WAVEFORM RECORD is a permanent plot or photograph of a voltage waveform for a specific hydrophone when excited under specified conditions.

WAVELENGTH (λ) is the ratio of the speed of sound in the medium to the center frequency.

WORKING HYDROPHONE is a hydrophone used in a local laboratory for the majority of daily measurements. It is calibrated relative to the reference hydrophone by comparison, or calibrated relative to the reference source via planar scanning.

WORKING SOURCE is a transducer whose ultrasonic power has been measured on a force balance at a local laboratory. It is used for hydrophone planar scanning comparison calibrations, and spot checks. The working source is typically a piston-type, broad-band, ceramic transducer. The stability of a working source must be checked by frequent measurements with a force balance.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Section 3
MEASUREMENT DEVICE CHARACTERISTICS

3.1 INTRODUCTION

This section discusses the equipment and equipment characteristics needed to perform the measurements and calibrations described in this standard. Specific equipment choices are related to the types of measurement and calibration procedures selected. The devices described are the hydrophone and force balance. The hydrophone measures acoustic pressure and indirectly, intensity and ultrasonic power, while the force balance measures ultrasonic power. Valid data can be obtained only with properly qualified and calibrated devices; minimum requirements for the devices are outlined in this section. specific information regarding calibration is given in Section 4.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

3.2 SCOPE

Section 3.3 discusses in a tutorial manner aspects of hydrophone characteristics essential for good measurement practice, including: sensitivity (3.3.1); hydrophone/amplifier frequency response (3.3.2); effective hydrophone diameter (3.3.3); dynamic range (3.3.4); and, hydrophone field perturbation (3.3.5). Guidelines for selecting a specific hydrophone for a specific measurement are given in 3.4. Additional equipment such as a hydrophone amplifier, a positioning system and a waveform recorder are described in 3.5. Section 3.6 covers the general characteristics of a force balance system for measuring acoustic power.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

3.3 HYDROPHONE CHARACTERISTICS

A hydrophone is an electroacoustic device designed to measure the spatial and temporal characteristics of an acoustic field in water with minimum disturbance to the field. Ideally, the hydrophone should provide an undistorted voltage waveform replica of the acoustic pressure at each point in the field. An essential requirement of a satisfactory probe is a linear relationship between acoustic pressure and the hydrophone or hydrophone-amplifier voltage output. The factor used to convert hydrophone voltage to acoustic pressure, known as the end-of-cable sensitivity of the hydrophone, is discussed in 3.3.1.

Presently available hydrophones are either of ceramic or Polyvinylidene Fluoride (PVDF) construction. Ceramic hydrophones, unlike PVDF hydrophones, fail to meet the important criteria necessary for high performance: extremely wide band width and smooth, wide-angle directivity. PVDF hydrophones usually are of two types of construction: (i) needle; and (ii) spot-poled membrane (Lewin, 1981; DeReggi et al., 1981; Bacon, 1982; Harris, 1982; Preston et al., 1983; Platt, 1985). Needle hydrophones are so named because a small PVDF sensor element (typically 0.5 to 1 mm in diameter) is mounted on the end of a needle of approximately the same diameter. Membrane hydrophones are made of a thin sheet of PVDF polymer which is stretched across a hoop. Though the hoop is large, only a small region in the center (0.5 to 1 mm in diameter), known as the "active element", is poled and electroded. Some membrane hydrophones consist of arrays of poled elements. Spot-poled membrane hydrophones are available in both single layer and bilaminar configurations; the bilaminar model has the best immunity to water conductivity and RF noise. For both types of hydrophones, the available band width is inversely proportional to the thickness of the PVDF element. However, the band width of a rigidly backed needle probe will be roughly half that of a membrane hydrophone having the same film thickness.

The size of the active element may be specified for a hydrophone in one of two ways: the "effective" size; or, the "geometrical" size. The effective hydrophone radius (a_e) or effective hydrophone diameter (d_e) is an experimentally determined value based on a directional response measurement, as described in Appendix C. The geometrical hydrophone radius, geometrical hydrophone diameter or, for the non-circular case, other geometrical dimension, is the result of a physical measurerment of the active element's electroded region. Reported differences between the effective hydrophone radius and geometrical hydrophone radius may be due to variations in hydrophone construction, or small aperture effects (when the aperture is equal to or less than a wavelength). Also, for membrane hydrophones, differences may be due to directional dependence of the piezoelectric sensitivity (Bacon, 1982), or a lack of uniform poling over the active element arising from fringe poling fields (Harris, 1988).

In general, a PVDF hydrophone should have a smooth directional response like that of an ideal, baffled circular piston. Operation is possible over a broad frequency range, but the directional response narrows with increasing frequency. At a given frequency, if the effective diameter of the hydrophone is larger than the beam width of the acoustic field being measured, or if variations in the acoustic field are very steep, spatial averaging may occur, resulting in an underestimation of the pressure field. There is a trade-off between sensitivity and spatial averaging; as the size of an active element

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

gets smaller, the spatial averaging effects decrease, but so does sensitivity. (See Appendix C.)

Hydrophones may be connected by a cable directly to a waveform recorder or, alternatively, an amplifier may be interposed between the hydrophones active element and the recorder. This amplifier may be "external"; i.e., connected by cable to the hydrophone housing, or it may be "integral," in which case it is built into the hydrophone housing.

Hydrophone amplifiers serve two purposes. They boost low amplitude signals to levels compatible with waveform recording devices. Also, they can help eliminate cable-related resonances that can occur at high frequencies. For the latter purpose, if an external amplifier is used, the cable connecting the amplifier to the hydrophone housing should be 15 cm long. The overall band width of a hydrophone/amplifier into a load is dependent on the PVDF element, the cable length, and the preamplifier characteristics.

Ultrasound medical equipment often employs focused transducers that can create nonlinear propagation phenomena in the water, resulting in the generation of higher harmonics (see Appendix B - Nonlinear Effects). Pressure waveforms may contain frequencies much higher than the center frequency of the transmitting transducer. In order to faithfully capture the true shape of this waveform, the entire measurement system, comprising the hydrophone, amplifier, and waveform recording equipment, should have both a uniform frequency response and a sufficiently wide band width.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

3.3.1 Hydrophone Sensitivity

The end-of-cable loaded sensitivity of a hydrophone, $M_L(f)$, when used in a continuous single-frequency sound field of frequency f is defined by:

$$M_L(f) = v/p \qquad (3.3.1\text{-}1)$$

where v is the voltage amplitude developed across designated terminals of the hydrophone or hydrophone amplifier and p is the free-field acoustic pressure; i.e., the acoustic pressure existing in the field with the hydrophone absent. Designation of the electrical loading condition at the terminals is an integral part of the specification of $M_L(f)$. Also, $M_L(f)$ should be expressed explicitly as a function of f when it is important to emphasize that the hydrophone sensitivity may vary with frequency.

An end-of-cable open-circuit sensitivity, $M_C(f)$, is a convenient way of specifying sensitivity independent of loading conditions. If the hydrophone has output impedance, Z, and is connected to an electrical load (Z_{el}), then the two sensitivity factors are related by:

$$M_L = M_C\left(\frac{(\mathrm{Re}(Z_{el}))^2 + (\mathrm{Im}(Z_{el}))^2}{(\mathrm{Re}(Z_{el}) + \mathrm{Re}(Z))^2 + (\mathrm{Im}(Z_{el}) + \mathrm{Im}(Z))^2}\right)^{1/2} \qquad (3.3.1\text{-}2)$$

where Re and Im denote the real and imaginary parts of a complex impedance.

$M_C(f)$ is commonly used to compare the sensitivity of hydrophones calibrated under different load conditions, where the load and hydrophone impedances are specified as a parallel R and C circuit with values R_{el} and C_{el}, respectively. Table 3-1 lists typical hydrophone and load conditions as well as M_L and M_C values at 1 MHz for needle and membrane hydrophones commercially available.

To convert the R and C values specified in Table 3-1 to a real and imaginary impedance specified in Eq. 3.3.1-2, the following equations apply:

$$\mathrm{Re}\,(Z_{el}) = \frac{R_{el}}{1 + \omega^2 R_{el}^{\,2} C_{el}^{\,2}} \qquad (3.3.1\text{-}3)$$

$$\mathrm{Im}\,(Z_{el}) = \frac{-\omega R_{el}^{\,2} C_{el}}{1 + \omega^2 R_{el}^{\,2} C_{el}^{\,2}} \qquad (3.3.1\text{-}4)$$

where the radian frequency is $\omega = 2\pi f$ and f is the frequency for which $M_C(f)$ is specified.

If the impedance of the hydrophone and load can be assumed to be capacitive, which often is the case if no hydrophone amplifier is used, then Eq. 3.3.1-2 reduces to:

$$M_L = M_C\frac{C}{C + C_{el}} \qquad (3.3.1\text{-}5)$$

where C is the hydrophone end-of-cable capacitance, including any integral cable and connector. Thus, under the assumptions leading to Eq. 3.3.1-5, M_L can be calculated for a load C_{el} if C and M_C are known. In a similar manner, if an end-of-cable loaded sensitivity M_{L1} is known for a particular capacitive load C_{el1}, then an end-of-cable loaded sensitivity M_{L2} for load C_{el2} can be found from:

$$M_{L2} = M_{L1}\frac{C + C_{el1}}{C + C_{el2}} \qquad (3.3.1\text{-}6)$$

Equations 3.3.1-5 and 3.3.1-6 are most useful when using an unamplified hydrophone, i.e., a hydrophone essentially comprised of the active element, housing, and cable, connected directly to an oscilloscope or other waveform recording device having known input capacitance and very high input resistance (e.g., 1M Ω).

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

There are two popular configurations for connecting the hydrophone to the waveform recording device: namely, (a) hydrophone, integral amplifier and cable; and, (b) hydrophone, cable and external amplifier. In case (a), the amplifier response cannot be distinguished

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Table 3-1
Typical Hydrophone Specification Data At 1 MHz

Hydrophone Type	End-of-cable Loaded Sensitivity	Specified Load for M_L	Cable Length	End-of-cable Capacitance or Impedance	End-of-cable Open-circuit Sensitivity
	M_L (V/MPa)	R_{el} C_{el}	(m)		M_C (V/MPa)
PVDF Needle-like 1 mm dia.	0.10	10 MΩ 30 pF	1	130 Pf	0.123
PVDF Needle-like 0.6 mm dia.	0.032	10 MΩ 30 pF	1	130 Pf	0.039
PVDF Membrane 1 mm dia.	0.098	50 kΩ 5 pF	0.7	Re(Z)= 170Ω IM(Z)= 1220Ω	0.102
PVDF Membrane 0.5 mm dia.	0.03	50 kΩ 5 pF	0.7	Re(Z)= 220Ω IM(Z)= 1610Ω	0.035

case (a), the amplifier response cannot be distinguished from the overall hydrophone-amplifier response. In case (b), the end-of-cable loaded sensitivity $M_L(f)$ may be specified either (i) at the external amplifier input terminals, in which case the amplifier gain must be known, or (ii) at its output terminals. In either case, the configuration and electrical load must be specified.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

With respect to case (b), if $M_L(f)_i$ and $M_L(f)_o$ are the end-of-cable loaded sensitivities at the amplifier input and output, respectively, and if the amplifier gain into a specified electrical load at frequency f is G, then $M_L(f)_o = G\, M_L(f)_i$.

A standard way of expressing M_L is in units of volts per megapascal (V/MPa) (where the pascal, the SI unit of pressure, is equal to one newton per meter squared). Often M_L appears in terms of dB relative to ("re") 1V/μPa, or:

$$M_L \text{ (dB re 1V/μPa)} = -240 + 20 \log_{10} M_L \text{ (μV/Pa)}. \quad (3.3.1\text{-}7)$$

For medical ultrasound measurements, units of V/MPa are preferred.

The sensitivity $M_L(f)$ can be determined from the voltage of a nonperturbing hydrophone placed in a known, linear, single-frequency pressure field. Two methods for obtaining $M_L(f)$, comparison and planar scanning, are described in detail in Section 4. Other calibration techniques include those based on principles of reciprocity and time delay spectrometry (Pedersen et al., 1988).

A former way of expressing the sensitivity of a hydrophone is through the intensity response factor K_f^2(Harris, 1985, 1988) defined by the relationship:

$$i(t) = [V(t)]^2/K_f^2 \quad (3.3.1\text{-}8)$$

where V(t) is the instantaneous voltage from the hydrophone when it is placed in an ultrasound field where the instantaneous intensity is i(t). This relationship holds when the local ultrasound field is such that the acoustic pressure and particle velocity are in phase, so that $i = p^2/\rho c$(see intensity definition). Using the latter equation, together with Eq. 3.3.1-1, one obtains:

$$K_f^2 = 10^{-8}\, M_L 2\rho c, \quad (3.3.1\text{-}9)$$

in which ρ and c are, respectively, the density and speed of sound for the propagation medium; the units, for convenience, are chosen as follows:

ρ:	kg/m^3
c:	m/s
M_L:	V/MPa
K_f^2:	$V^2W^{-1}cm^2$

In water at 24°C the above equation becomes

$$K_f^2 = 0.015\, M_L 2 \quad (3.3.1\text{-}10)$$

For K_f^2 in the above units, Eq. 3.3.1-8 holds with i(t) in W/cm^2 and v(t)in V.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

3.3.2 Hydrophone System Frequency Response

Ideally, a hydrophone converts the acoustic pressure waveform directly into a voltage waveform replica. This replication implies that the hydrophone has a flat fre-

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

quency response over a wide band width, or equivalently, $M_L(f)$ is constant.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

While such an idealized response is not obtainable, minimum conditions must be met. The following is required in this standard for a hydrophone or hydrophone-amplifier combination to be used in measurements on a device with center frequency fc:

The end-of-cable loaded sensitivity for the hydrophone or hydrophone-amplifier combination shall vary by less than 3 dB over a frequency range extending from $f_c/2$ upward to either 5 f_c or 20 MHz, whichever is less.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

Factors governing the frequency response are the resonance and band width of the PVDF material (determined by its thickness), resonance of the cable (determined by its length), spurious responses, and the flatness and 3 dB frequency of the amplifier (Lewin and Schafer, 1986; Smith, 1986). These factors compete and may either exaggerate or compensate each other.

A wide band width is required because of the generation of higher harmonics by nonlinear propagation in the water medium, as described in Appendix B. In water, asymmetry may develop between compressional and rarefactional regions of a pulse so that the peak compressional pressure, p_c, becomes much greater than the peak rarefactional pressure, p_r. The development of strongly distorted compressional peaks (see Figure B-1 Appendix B) is associated with spectral components whose frequency is much higher than f_c. The degree of nonlinearity of a waveform is influenced by the pressure level on the transducer face, focusing gain, distance, and frequency. These effects can be evaluated by a nonlinearity propagation parameter, σ_m, discussed in Appendix B.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

3.3.3 Effective Hydrophone Diameter

Equations 3.3.3-1 and 3.3.3-2 below may be used as a guideline for choosing the effective hydrophone diameter, d_e (Harris, 1985):

$$d_e < \frac{\lambda Z}{2d_s} \text{ if } z/d_s \geq 1 \qquad (3.3.3\text{-}1)$$

and

$$d_e < \frac{\lambda}{2} \text{ if } z/d_s < 1 \qquad (3.3.3\text{-}2)$$

where d_s is the source diameter or largest source dimension, z is the distance from the hydrophone to the source, and λ is the wavelength. If these criteria cannot be met, a geometrical hydrophone diameter (or greatest dimension) as small as possible but no greater than 0.6 mm shall be used.

When the criteria of Eqs. 3.3.3-1,2 cannot be met, the effective hydrophone diameter is too big and spatial averaging will occur. Averaging decreases the measured pressure amplitude from the actual value and causes lateral broadening of beam widths. For more information refer to Appendix C.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

3.3.4 Dynamic Range and Linearity

The peak compressional pressure pc measured from diagnostic ultrasonic transducers can exceed 8 MPa; therefore, the linearity of the combined hydrophone-amplifier response must be measured over the full range of intended use. The PVDF material has an intrinsic linearity which has been measured up to pressures of 70 MPa or intensities of 330 kW/cm^2 (Meeks and Ting, 1984) so it is the linearity of the amplifier which must be checked. Specifications for amplifier linearity and dynamic range are given in Section 3.5.1.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

3.3.5 Field Measurement Caution

Hydrophones perturb the acoustic field. For membrane-type hydrophones, the membrane reflects a portion of the acoustic field incident on it. For this reason, care must be taken to avoid standing waves when measuring continuous wave fields (e.g., CW Doppler), and to avoid interference with multipath reverberation in pulsed field measurements. This can be accomplished by angling the membrane hydrophone with respect to the acoustic axis, and applying a directivity correction (Preston et al., 1983). Reflectivity data can be obtained from the manufacturer (see also DeReggi et al., 1981 and Preston et al., 1983). For membrane type hydrophones, outer hoop reflections may occur when the beam diameter exceeds the radius of the hoop. Reflections are present to a lesser extent for needle type probes.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

3.4 HYDROPHONE SELECTION AND MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS

Selection of a hydrophone depends on the characteristics of the acoustic fields to be measured, and hence on such factors as transducer assembly geometry, focal spot size, range of acoustic pressure levels, center frequency and noise environment (electrical and acoustic) and the presence of nonlinear distortion. For some applications, no currently available hydrophone will meet all criteria; therefore, the typical performance limits must suffice. Table 3-2 provides a summary of currently available hydrophone specifications. Table 3-3 provides a list of minimum hydrophone or hydrophone-amplifier specifications. Further information can be found in Shombert et al., 1982; Smith, 1986; Preston, 1986; Lewin and Schafer, 1986; and Shombert and Harris, 1986.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Table 3-2
Typical Hydrophone Specifications

Specification	Needle Type		Membrane Type	
Directivity	Close to ideal piston		Close to ideal piston except for $f_c < 2$ MHz and for $d_e < 0.5$ mm.	
Dynamic range	Good, although high rms pressures may cause damage		Good	
Field perturbation	Minimal		Minimal; In CW fields, standing waves may result	
Usable frequency range (Depends on PVDF thickness)	1-40 MHz		1 - 100 MHz	
Linearity	Excellent		Excellent	
Electrical shielding	Excellent		Excellent in bilaminar design; Poor for coplanar	
Geometrical hydrophone Diameter (mm)	0.4	1.0	0.2	1.0

Table 3-3
Minimum Hydrophone or Hydrophone-Amplifier

Material of Active Element	PVDF
End-of-Cable Loaded Sensitivity	> 0.01 V/Mpa (-280 dB re 1 V/MPa)
Frequency response	± 3 dB from 0.5fc to 5fc or to 20 MHz, whichever is less.
Effective Hydrophone Diameter	Eqs. 3.3.3-1 and 3.3.3-2. If these criteria cannot be met, then choose a geometrical hydrophone diameter as small as possible but no greater than 0.6 mm. (See Table C.1 in Appendix C.)

If an amplifier is used with the hydrophone, then the frequency response specification in Table 3-3 must be met by the hydrophone-amplifier combination.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

To meet this specification, the amplifier's frequency response can be used to compensate for variations in the hydrophones response, such as those due to thickness resonance effects.

Also, if an integral amplifier is not used, it may be advisable to reduce the cable length between the hydrophone housing and the waveform recording device or external amplifier to 15 cm or less if cable-related resonances are observed in the hydrophone signal. These resonances, which arise from finite amplitude distortion, appear as high frequency oscillations superimposed upon the hydrophone voltage waveform (see Bacon, 1982; Smith, 1986; Lewin et al., 1987; and Harris, 1988).

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

3.5 HYDROPHONE MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

Several pieces of equipment are essential for both hydrophone calibration and diagnostic ultrasound measurement; these include a hydrophone, amplifier, a water tank with positioning system, and an oscilloscope/waveform recorder.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

3.5.1 Hydrophone Amplifier

Amplifiers used with hydrophones must meet the minimum specifications listed in Table 3-4.

3.5.1.1 Input and Output Impedance

The amplifier input and output impedances, as well as the recommended output load, shall be specified.

3.5.1.2 Maximum Input and Output Voltage Ratings

The maximum amplifier input and output voltage ratings, v_{Imax} and v_{Omax}, shall meet the following inequalities (see Figure 3-1):

$$v_{Imax} > M_L\, p_{max}/G \qquad (3.5.1.2\text{-}1)$$

and

$$v_{Omax} > M_L\, p_{max} \qquad (3.5.1.2\text{-}2)$$

where p_{max} is the estimated maximum value of the acoustic pressure p, G is the maximum amplifier gain, and the end-of-cable loaded sensitivity into load Z_L is $M_L = v_o/p$.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

As an example, for a p_{max} of 10 MPa (which corresponds to a plane wave intensity in water of about 7000 W/cm^2 and is a realistic upper bound for diagnostic ultrasound), a gain of one, and a hydrophone sensitivity M_L of 3×10^{-7} V/Pa (or -130 dB re 1 V/Pa), v_{Imax} >1.5 V and v_{Omax} > 3V is seen. Few polymer hydrophones have sensitivities greater than this; in fact, many with effective hydrophone diameters less than 1 mm have sensitivities less than 10^{-7} V/Pa, which reduces the preamplifier input and output voltage rating requirements to 0.5 V and 1 V, respectively. None of these voltage values should pose a practical limitation.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

3.5.1.3 Amplitude Linearity

The amplifier must be linear to within 0.3 dB over the range of hydrophone voltages encountered (at least 50 dB).

Table 3-4
Minimum Hydrophone Amplifier Specifications
(See Section 3.5.1.2 and Figure 3-1)

Maximum input voltage	> $M_L\, p_{max}/G$
Maximum output voltage	> $M_L\, p_{max}$
Linearity	± 0.3 dB over hydrophone voltage range.
Dynamic range	> $15 f_c M_L\, p_{max}$

3.5.1.4 Slew Rate

The amplifier slew rate (SR) must satisfy the following inequality:

$$SR > 15 f_c M_L p_{max} \qquad (3.5.1.4\text{-}1)$$

where f_c is the center frequency, and M_L and p_{max} are defined in Section 3.5.1.2.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

Note that the slew rate can be no greater than the ratio of the maximum amplifier output current to the load capacitance. The load capacitance includes the sum of the cable capacitance and the input capacitance of the waveform recording device.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

3.5.1.5 Maximum Output Current

Referring to Figure 3-1, the amplifier output current i must be sufficient to sustain without distortion the maximum output voltage V_{Omax} across the load impedance Z_L; i.e.:

$$i_{max} > (v_{Omax}/Z_L = M_L\, p_{max}/Z_L). \qquad (3.5.1.5\text{-}1)$$

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

Here Z_L includes the input impedance of the electrical load, as well as the impedance of the cable connecting the load to the amplifier output. If the inequality in Eq. 3.5.1.5-1 is not satisfied, then (i) Z_L can be increased, (ii) M_L can be reduced by choosing a hydrophone with a less sensitive active element, or (iii) an amplifier having a higher current rating can be chosen. In practice, Z_L can be increased by shortening the cable connecting the amplifier to the electrical load (e.g., the input of the waveform recording device).

NOTE: the amplifier power supply current specification should be sufficient to supply Imax.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

3.5.1.6 Electrical Termination

The cable connecting the amplifier to the waveform recording device shall be terminated in its characteristic impedance, unless a cable length of less than 15 cm is used.

3.5.1.7 Signal-to-Noise Ratio

The signal-to-noise ratio of the combined hydrophone-amplifier system must be adequate to provide a 10 dB range above noise for the weakest signals measured from diagnostic systems. If planar scanning calibration is used, a signal-to-noise margin of greater than 20 dB is required for the working source or reference source levels and frequencies used.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

3.5.2 WATER TANK

All measurements shall be performed in a suitable water tank in which the hydrophone as well as the transducer assembly face under measurement are immersed in water. The size of the tank is governed both

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale




Figure 3-1
Diagram of Hydrophone and Amplifier

Incident pressure P results in output voltage V_O across electrical load Z_L. Overall end-of-cable loaded sensitivity $M_L = V_O/P$. Amplifier has gain G and output current I.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

by the type of calibration and the diagnostic equipment to be evaluated. This size shall be large enough so reflections from the tank walls, preferably lined with a highly anechoic material (necessary for CW measurements), will not interfere with measurements.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

Useful anechoic materials include packing foams (corrugated is better than flat, and foam should be deaerated by user), door mats, brushes, and materials developed for this purpose such as described in Chivers et al. (1981). For a discussion of water preparation, see Appendix E.

In general, the tank depth (or z dimensional axis, if appropriate)should be at least 1.3 times greater than either the approximate far field distance, $S/\pi\lambda$, or the maximum focal length of the reference sources used, where S is transducer area and is the wavelength. A dimensional margin of 30 to 100% should allow for adequate clearance and reduction of spurious effects.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

3.5.3 POSITIONING SYSTEM

A micropositioning system is needed to move the hydrophone to any point in the acoustic field of a transducer at which measurements are required (see, e.g., Schafer and Lewin, 1988). A system capable of translation along three orthogonal axes with a reproducibility of at least $\pm 0.15/fc$ mm is recommended, where fc is in megahertz. (This sentence has been approved as Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992.) The axis perpendicular to the active element of the hydrophone shall be made parallel to the beam axis of the transducer. In addition, the capability of rotation about one and preferably two axes, x and y, shall be provided. Note that either the hydrophone or the transducer can be selected to remain stationary in a tank set-up.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

3.5.4 OSCILLOSCOPE/WAVEFORM RECORDER

For measurements that are made frequently, a computer-controlled transient recorder or digital oscilloscope with sampling rates of 100 MHz is recommended. (This sentence has been approved as Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992.) With the aid of a computer, calculations on waveform scan can be executed automatically with better accuracy and reproducibility than is possible with manual methods. For infrequent measurements, an analog scope with a delayed sweep and a band width of 50 MHz or greater and a Polaroid camera can form the basis of a primitive waveform capture system. Optical probes mounted in XY translators (plotters) or digitizing pens connected to calculators or computers can be used for manual digitization by tracing the photographed waveform. Because of the high frequency content of waveforms, many time-sample points are needed for accuracy and repeatability. A sampling interval of $50/f_c$ ns or 10 ns, whichever is greater, shall be used where fc is in megahertz. A record of the waveform can either be plotted or photographed in the latter system.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

3.5.5 COMMERCIALLY AVAILABLE MEASUREMENT SYSTEMS

Automated systems that perform many of the measurements called for in Section 5 are commercially available (Preston, 1988).

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

3.6 FORCE BALANCE CHARACTERISTICS

A force balance based on principles illustrated in Figures 3-2 and 3-3 should be used for measuring the ultrasonic power from a diagnostic instrument. When the transducer is directed toward a target, the ultrasound beam tends to displace the target from its equilibrium position. This tendency results from a radiation force whose magnitude can be measured in various ways. In one arrangement, an electronically generated constraint force of magnitude (F) is applied which is just sufficient to prevent the displacement. This quantity F is equal to the radiation force; from acoustical principles, it is proportional to the desired ultrasonic power. It is not necessary that the ultrasonic beam be projected upward as shown in Figures 3-2 and 3-3; in other arrangements, the beam is directed downward or horizontally.

Instruments based on the force-balance principle are becoming commercially available for measuring the ultrasonic power emitted by diagnostic devices. Use of reliable commercial instruments for this purpose may reduce the requirements on time and expertise for laboratory staff. Alternatively, in some laboratories, it may be preferable to construct a force-balance system from components; this option is discussed in 3.6.1.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

Whether the force balance power meter is a commercial device or one built from components, it shall be designed and constructed so that, when operated properly, the measurement of ultrasonic power by its use is reproducible to within a standard deviation of 10%.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

3.6.1 Constructing a Force Balance from Components

Recent information on setting up and operating a force-balance system is given in Kremkau et al. (1989), and Carson and Banjavic (1980), the latter reference also containing detailed drawings and lists of parts. Central to the system is an electrobalance of adequate sensitivity and stability; the requirements here depend on the expected range of power outputs for the equip-

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale




Figure 3-2
Radiation Force Balance System With Absorbing Target

1 - Source transducer; 2 - Absorbing target suspended in water bath; and 3 - Tare weight

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale




Figure 3-3
Radiation Force Balance System With Reflecting Target at 45° Angle to Direction of Propagation

1 - Source Transducer; 2 - Reflecting target suspended in water bath; and 3 - Tare weight.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

ment to be measured. For a system of the type represented in either of Figures 3-2 and 3-3, an ultrasound beam transmitting a total power of 1 mW will exert a radiation force equivalent to the weight of 68 μg. It is recommended that the balance operate on a "feedback" (or "null") principle; i.e., the determination of radiation force should be made by providing a measured counter force which results in zero displacement of the balance arm.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

Other required components of the system include the target. Ideally, this is to be either: (i) perfectly absorbing, for the arrangement of Figure 3-2; or, (ii) perfectly reflecting, for the arrangement of Figure 3-3. Materials and designs for approaching these ideals are described in Carson and Banjavic, 1980. The target area must be large enough relative to the beam cross-sectional area or (in a scanning mode) scan cross-sectional area so that the measured radiation force corresponds to the total ultrasonic power.

In the arrangements of Figures 3-2 and 3-3 the target, hung by a thin wire from the balance, is immersed in water within the measurement vessel, fabricated of clear plastic. The water is degassed to minimize disturbances caused by gas bubbles or cavitation. Vibration isolation must be used if the system is set up in a mechanically noisy environment; minimizing the target volume (which may require a trade-off with needs for a large target area) helps to reduce disturbances from vibration (Rooney, 1973).

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

The water temperature should be uniform throughout the vessel to avoid disturbances caused by convection currents; in some arrangements, this condition is obtained by using a water jacket of controlled temperature (Rooney, 1973).

In the arrangements of Figures 3-2 and 3-3, an acoustic window is provided at the bottom of the measurement vessel. This window is a thin sheet of plastic (such as polyethylene) which has been stretched across an opening in the bottom plate; a watertight seal is made with 0-rings, or by some other means. To minimize the perturbing effects of the window, it should be as thin as practical (~ 10-15 μm), and it should be positioned at an oblique angle relative to the beam axis (Beissner, 1982).

In a measurement of ultrasonic power, a transducer assembly of interest is placed in acoustic contact with the window by means of a suitable coupling medium. The arrangement simulates the situation in clinical practice in which a transducer is placed in acoustic contact with a patient. When the transducer is actuated by its driving system, it generates an ultrasound beam that propagates through the coupling medium and plastic membrane into the water in the measurement vessel. Since the plastic window is flexible the transducer can, and should, be oriented suitably relative to the target.

Existence of the ultrasound beam causes acoustic streaming, a steady circulatory notion, to be set up in the water. Effects of this streaming on the target are reduced by placing a thin acoustically-transparent membrane across the beam just below the target. Also, the effects of non-linear losses on force balance measurements (see Appendix B) can be minimized or prevented by reducing the source-to-target distance.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Section 4
CALIBRATION METHODS

4.1 INTRODUCTION

The calibration procedure involves transferring hydrophones and ultrasound sources of accurately known characteristics from a national standards laboratory to the user's local laboratory, where the ultrasound field measurements are to be performed. This section describes a force balance calibration method and two hydrophone calibration procedures: a comparison technique and the planar scanning technique. A step-by-step summary of the main calibration procedures is outlined with reference to traceability. Finally, the calibration methods are explained in detail.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

4.2 TRACEABILITY AND THE CALIBRATION PROCESS

One of the objectives of this standard is to provide accurate and precise means by which ultrasonic field parameters can be measured. This entails careful consideration of both the accuracy and the precision of the measurement process. The accuracy is determined from the closeness of the result to the "true" value, whereas the precision is determined by the reproducibility with which such a measurement can be made, irrespective of whether the measurement is close to the true or actual value.

The precision is influenced by the characteristics of the hydrophone, the translation stage, the oscilloscope, and other physical measurement equipment. The precision can be treated on a statistical basis by performing repeated measurements and calculating the spread of means and standard deviations for the data.

Accuracy, on the other hand, is the extent to which a measurement conforms to a "true" value as defined by a national standards laboratory for the particular measurement process under consideration. In order to insure accuracy in an ultrasound field measurement, the process and equipment used for the measurement should ultimately be traceable to a national standards laboratory.

Two fundamental devices are calibrated: force balances, which respond to acoustic power, and hydrophones, which respond to acoustic pressure. A force balance is calibrated by checking its response to a calibrated source transducer, or reference source, which has been calibrated at one or more frequencies by a national standards laboratory. The national standards laboratory provides operating and drive conditions which are duplicated when the reference source is used in a local laboratory to produce a known and traceable power output. Preferably, the reference source should be constructed of quartz or another inherently stable piezoelectric crystal. The air-backed lithium niobate reference source provided by the U.S. National Bureau of Standards (Fick et al., 1984) is suitable for use as a reference source, although any stable source calibrated by a national standards laboratory can serve as a reference source.

A hydrophone is calibrated most directly by a comparison technique, in which the hydrophone's sensitivity is compared to that of a similar hydrophone calibrated at a national standards laboratory. A hydrophone obtained from such a laboratory for use as a reference hydrophone is calibrated at a laboratory with reference to, for example, a laser interferometric measurement of particle displacement in the acoustic field (Bacon, 1988), from which the pressure can be derived. A reference hydrophone calibration may be checked at a local laboratory by planar scanning; its stability is checked by comparison or planar scanning. [Reciprocity, either alone or in combination with time delay spectrometry, also has been used for calibrating hydrophones (Ludwig and Brendel, 1988; Pedersen et al., 1988).]

The reference source and reference hydrophone are intended primarily for traceability to a national standards laboratory only. A local laboratory also should maintain a working source and a working hydrophone for the majority of actual measurements. The acoustic output of a working source is traceable to a reference source (and thus a national standards laboratory) via a force balance measurement at a local laboratory. A working source normally is used in routine hydrophone calibration and stability checks using the comparison or planar scanning technique, both described below. A working source typically is a broadband ceramic transducer which, while potentially less stable than the reference source, is often more convenient to use because: (a) it is more readily available; and (b), its shorter impulse response makes it suitable for pulsing applications. The stability of the working source is checked via a force balance measurement as part of the calibration process.

A working hydrophone is a hydrophone that has not necessarily been calibrated at a national standards laboratory. Instead, it is calibrated at a local laboratory relative to a reference hydrophone by the comparison technique, or relative to a reference source via the planar scanning technique.

To accomplish a comparison calibration, a reference source or working source, and its associated electronics,

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

provides the reference acoustic pressure field for the hydrophone comparison procedures. When the reference hydrophone is placed in the field of the source, waveforms of its output voltage are recorded. Next, the output waveforms of the working hydrophone, placed in the same locations as the reference hydrophone, are recorded. These waveforms are used to determine the working hydrophone sensitivity, and, when recorded at periodic intervals, to check both reference hydrophone and working hydrophone stability.

To accomplish a calibration check using the planar scanning technique, a measurement of ultrasonic power is related to the hydrophone sensitivity via a spatial and temporal integration of the square of the acoustic pressure over the radiated pressure field (see, for example, Harris and Herman, 1982). The ultrasonic power of a working source with well-behaved spatial and temporal characteristics is measured with a force balance, or alternately a reference source calibrated at a national standards laboratory may be used. If a force balance is used, it is calibrated by measuring the output of a reference source whose output power has been measured at, or is traceable to a national standards laboratory. Although the pressure-squared integral can be related in this way to the ultrasonic power of the source transducer, this indirect process involves additional complexity. Therefore, the planar scanning method is recommended primarily for spot checks of the comparison calibration; however, if a reference hydrophone is not available, then planar scanning is an acceptable approach for determining working hydrophone calibration.

For a reference hydrophone calibrated at a national standards laboratory, the sensitivity can be specified in terms of either the end-of-cable open-circuit sensitivity, $M_C(f)$, or end-of-cable loaded sensitivity, $M_L(f)$ (see Section 3.3.1). If the reference hydrophone has no integral or external amplifier, then $M_C(f)$ commonly is specified. If the reference hydrophone has an integral amplifier, then $M_L(f)$ and the electrical loading conditions (e.g., into 50 ohms) would be specified. If the reference hydrophone has an associated external amplifier, then $M_L(f)$ at the amplifier input could be computed using Equations 3.3.1-2 or 3.3.1-5. Additionally for this latter case, the national standards laboratory may specify $M_L(f)$ for the reference hydrophone/external amplifier combination, along with the electrical loading conditions. It should be recognized that the reference hydrophone sensitivity provided by the national standards laboratory may have to be converted to the value of $M_L(f)$ appropriate to the particular local laboratory measurement set-up.

Because hydrophones potentially are fragile devices and subject to wear and abuse, it is highly desirable for local laboratories to maintain a number of working hydrophones which are used for the majority of actual measurements. The reference hydrophone normally is used solely to calibrate working hydrophones.

The calibration process additionally involves statistically establishing the "error bounds" or the degree of uncertainty of the measurement procedure and equipment, as described in Appendix A. This is accomplished by performing repeated calibrations of the working hydrophone and recording the results to establish the uncertainty for the procedure. This uncertainty is then to be combined with the uncertainty reported for the output measurements of ultrasound systems.

As an alternative to calibrations at a local laboratory, it is permissible to have calibrations performed by an independent testing laboratory which maintains traceability to a national standards laboratory.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

4.3 OUTLINE OF CALIBRATION PROCEDURES

The major steps in the recommended calibration procedures are diagrammed in Figure 4-1. The circled numbers in the figure are the step numbers. The three main procedures are the calibration of the force balance and working source (steps 1-4), local laboratory checks of a reference hydrophone (steps 5-7 and 10), and calibration of a working hydrophone (steps 8-10).

Detailed information about each step can be found in the section number in brackets after each step number listed below.

If a malfunction of either the force balance or one of the reference devices (source or hydrophone) is suspected, then a three-way intercomparison, e.g. steps 3 and 7, will help identify the cause.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

1. National Standards Laboratory Calibration of Reference Source [See 4.4.2]

   A source transducer is obtained from a supplier and is sent to a national standards laboratory. At this laboratory, the source transducer shall be calibrated under reproducible excitation conditions to produce known power levels, W_T, at certain frequencies, thus establishing the source as having primary absolute ultrasonic power traceability to the laboratory. This source transducer is then referred to as a reference source. More than one reference source may be necessary to cover the frequency range desired for the diagnostic ultrasound equipment to be measured. (This sentence approved as authorized engineering information 1-8-1992).

   NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

2. Calibration of Force Balance [See 4.4.3]

   To calibrate a force balance at the local laboratory, the reference source shall be excited under the

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale




Figure 4-1
Diagram of Major Steps in the Recommended Calibration Procedures

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

same conditions and frequencies as used by the national standards laboratory. This process shall be repeated several times, as prescribed in Appendix A, to determine the uncertainty of the calibration.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

3. Periodic Spot Checks of Force Balance and Reference Source [See 4.4.4]

   Each month, or more frequently if a change is suspected, the force balance and reference source should be spot checked to see if they are performing as intended. As a minimum, an annual spot check shall be performed. (This sentence approved as NEMA Standard 1-8-1992). If significant deviations are detected, either repair, adjustment or recalibration of the force balance or recalibration of the reference source at the national standards laboratory, is warranted.

   Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

4. Calibration and Spot Checks of Working Source [See 4.4.5]

   The working source should be calibrated at specified conditions and frequencies using a force balance. This calibration shall be spot checked at least monthly, or more frequently if a change is suspected. (This sentence approved as NEMA Standard 1-8-1992).

   Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

5. National Standards Laboratory Calibration of Reference Hydrophone [See 4.5.1]

   The reference hydrophone is obtained by first purchasing a hydrophone from a supplier, and then sending it to either a national standards laboratory or an independent testing laboratory maintaining traceability for sensitivity calibration at a desired number of frequencies.

   NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

6. Comparison Waveform Records for Reference Hydrophone [See 4.5.2]

   The reference hydrophone shall be tested at the local laboratory by setting up a reference source or working source and the reference hydrophone at prescribed frequencies and separation distances. For each case, calculations shall be performed on each waveform and the waveforms shall be recorded at all calibration frequencies. These reference hydrophone waveforms are to be used for future comparison calibrations of working hydrophones and for stability checks. Repeated measurements shall be used to determine system measurement error, E2 (see Appendix A).

   NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

7. Periodic Spot Checks of Reference Hydrophone [See 4.5.3]

   At least annually, the source transducer and reference hydrophone shall be set up in the same way as was described in step 6., and the waveforms obtained compared with those recorded earlier to determine if significant changes beyond the measurement error bounds have occurred.

   NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

8. Working Hydrophone Comparison Calibration [See 4.6]

   A working hydrophone is obtained by first purchasing a hydrophone from a supplier, and then calibrating it locally. The recommended procedure is the comparison method, in which the working hydrophone shall be put in the same configuration as that used in testing the reference hydrophone (as described in step 6.). (This sentence approved as Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992). By direct comparison of the new working hydrophone's recorded waveforms to those recorded for the reference hydrophone, the new working hydrophone's sensitivity shall be established within a system measurement error. For an alternative working hydrophone calibration, see step 10 below.

   NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

9. Periodic Spot Checks of Working Hydrophone [See 4.7]

   Periodic spot checks shall be made at least annually to determine if the working hydrophone is performing consistently by comparing its present waveforms to those recorded earlier (in step 8) under the same testing circumstances. (This sentence approved as NEMA Standard 1-8-1992). Monthly spot checks are recommended if this equipment is in frequent use. Significant changes warrant recalibration and possible further investigation.

   Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

10. Hydrophone Calibration Checks via Planar Scanning [See 4.8]

    An alternative method for checking the calibration of a reference hydrophone shall be to perform the planar scanning technique at each calibration frequency. Also, in the temporary absence of a reference hydrophone, the calibration of a working hydrophone shall be checked by planar scanning. The planar scanning shall be performed using either a reference source, or a working source with known power output as determined from a force balance measurement. The integrated total power of the source as measured by the hydrophone, W_h, is related to the end-of-cable loaded sensitivity, $M_L(f)$, through equations and the known ultrasonic power, W_T as specified in

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Appendix 1. The quantity W_r shall be obtained either from the reference source calibration or measured by a force balance.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

Although the planar scanning technique is useful, it is not as direct as the comparison calibration technique, and therefore the comparison method is preferred.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

4.4 CALIBRATING THE FORCE-BALANCE SYSTEM

4.4.1 Fundamental Force Balance Equations

From the theory of physical acoustics, the radiation force in the ideal situations represented by Figures 3-2 and 3-3 is given by W/c, where W is the ultrasonic power emitted by the source and c is the speed of sound. Letting F be the radiation force, we obtain the basic force-balance equation:

$$W = Fc. \qquad (4.4\text{-}1)$$

In SI units W is in watts, F in newtons and c in meters per second. The balance is usually calibrated in grams as units of weight. Taking the newton as 102 grams and the speed of sound in water as 1500 meters per second, one obtains the working equation:

Power in milliwatts W = 0.0147 x radiation force in micrograms. (4.4-2)

Equation 4.4-2 is valid for an absorbing target (Figure 3-2) and a reflecting target with a 45 degree target angle (Figure 3-3).

The basic equation, Eq. 4.4-1, has received considerable support from a theoretical standpoint and, to some extent, has been tested experimentally. In practice, however, the conditions are always less than ideal, and some of the assumptions made in deriving Eq. 4.4-1 are not completely valid. It is therefore recommended that the force balance system (whether a commercial unit or one built from components) be tested periodically by using a reference source(s).

4.4.2 Reference Source Transducers

Reference source transducers are unfocused planar transducers which, when driven electrically in a specified manner, provide an absolute ultrasonic power level. Such reference sources have been calibrated by a national standards laboratory at known power levels at one or more frequencies under specified conditions (see, e.g., Fick et al., 1984).

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

4.4.3 Force Balance Calibration

To calibrate a force balance, a reference source is mounted appropriately in the force balance tank. A signal source, with harmonics -40dB or more below the intended calibration frequency, is connected to the reference source. The reference source shall be driven at the prescribed conditions necessary to attain the desired known ultrasonic power output, W_r. The force balance is checked, and adjusted if necessary, so that its reading is brought into agreement with the known ultrasonic power output, W_r, at each calibration frequency. Alternatively, a frequency dependent correction factor C(f) for the force balance equation, Eq. 5.6.1.1-1, can be determined empirically at each calibration frequency. (Theoretically, C(f) is equal to unity.) This process shall be repeated several times as described in Appendix A to determine the error E_2 associated with the process.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

4.4.4 Spot Checks of Force Balance and Reference Source

Any force balance intended for use in characterizing diagnostic equipment shall be tested against a reference source at least annually, and should be tested more often if conditions or suspicions warrant. At that time, the Reference Source power output should be measured and compared against previous weekly readings, as a check of RFB stability and repeatability. If a discrepancy results, re-calibration and/or repair of the RFB, and/or checks of the working source should be performed until the problem is corrected. If balance readings of ultrasonic power differ from those specified for the reference source by an amount greater than the established system measurement error E_2 (see 4.4.3), repair or adjustment of the force balance is required; alternatively, the reference source may be malfunctioning and must be repaired, replaced, or sent to a national standards laboratory for absolute recalibration. Performing the procedure in 4.5.3 will help to isolate the device needing attention.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

4.4.5 Calibration and Spot Checks of Working Source

The working source should be calibrated using the force balance at least monthly, or more often if conditions or suspicions warrant. If balance readings of ultrasonic power differ by an amount greater than the system measurement error E_2 for the initial calibration, repair or adjustment of the working source or force balance is required. Performing the procedure in 4.4.4 will help to isolate the device needing attention. (See Corbett, 1988, for a discussion of calibrating a working source.)

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

4.5 LOCAL LABORATORY CHECKS OF REFERENCE HYDROPHONE

4.5.1 Arrival of the Reference Hydrophone

The reference hydrophone is one for which a sensitivity $M_L(f)$ or $M_C(f)$ has been measured directly by a national standards laboratory. These calibration data shall be reported at several frequencies over the working

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

range for which the hydrophone is intended. At a minimum, the hydrophone calibration must be reported at four frequencies, separated by at least 2.5 MHz, from 1 to 10 MHz.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

Beyond 15 MHz, absolute calibration of the hydrophone is not possible at present. However, a national standards laboratory can be requested to provide a relative frequency response to at least 40 MHz.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

Care must be taken to insure that no damage that may affect the calibration occurs to the hydrophone in shipment or after receipt. Such care normally includes shipment at temperatures between approximately +5°C to + 40°C.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

4.5.2 Comparison Waveform Records

A reference source or working source transducer must be driven at a frequency close to a calibration frequency with a narrow-band quasi CW (10-15 cycle) gated sinusoidal burst having enough amplitude to give a clear and reproducible pressure waveform when the reference hydrophone 4 is located in the far field of the source. The reference hydrophone location and source pulse length must be adjusted so that there will be a flat amplitude region of the pulse in which there are no overlapping reflected signals. Both the source transducer and reference hydrophone shall be allowed to stabilize in the water for at least 10 minutes before the calibration is performed. Air bubbles shall be removed from both the source transducer and reference hydrophone.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

Note that small air bubbles formed on the hydrophone active area can severely affect the sensitivity of the device. A strong squirt of water directed at the hydrophone surface is usually effective in removing air bubbles.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

Using the scanning and positioning system described in 3.5.3, the reference hydrophone is located on the beam axis of the source transducer. The reference hydrophone shall be scanned in a raster pattern to insure that the point of maximum pressure at the given axial distance is located. The waveform generated by the reference hydrophone is then recorded using the oscilloscope or digitizing system specified in 3.5.4. To display a stationary time waveform on the oscilloscope, a trigger synchronous with the excitation of the source transducer must be provided. The time delay between the excitation trigger and the arrival of the pulse at the reference hydrophone can be used to determine the distance of the hydrophone from the source. (This sentence approved as Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992.) Because water velocity and attenuation are functions of temperature, a consistent water temperature must be chosen, maintained and recorded for these measurements.

The source transducer shall be driven at frequencies corresponding to those for which a sensitivity, $M_L(f)$ or $M_C(f)$, has been supplied by the national standards laboratory. Note that if $M_C(f)$ is provided by the national standards laboratory, the $M_C(f)$ for the particular local laboratory set-up must be determined (see 3.3.1) before any waveform computations can be made.

For each frequency, f, the waveform shall be recorded digitally or photographically, and the mean square acoustic pressure, or equivalently temporal average intensity, along with the peak compressional pressure and peak rarefactional pressure must be computed. The measurements must be repeated several times so that the system error E_2 at each frequency can be determined as described in Appendix A. These waveform data can be used as a basis for determining possible future changes through spot checks and for evaluating the sensitivities of working hydrophones at the same temperature. (This sentence approved as Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992.)

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

The planar scanning technique, described in 4.8 and Appendix L, also can be used to check the calibration of the reference hydrophone. At a minimum, this spot check shall be done annually. (This sentence approved as NEMA Standard 1-8-1992.)

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

4.5.3 Spot Checks of the Reference Hydrophone

Each month, the reference hydrophone may be spot checked by repeating measurements in the configuration used on its arrival. As a minimum, this spot check shall be done annually. (This sentence approved as NEMA Standard 1-8-1992.) By direct comparison of waveform data to the data recorded earlier, the long term stability of the reference hydrophone should be established. A calibration log should be kept to track any changes in hydrophone behavior. If differences exceeding the measurement error bounds for the temporal average intensity or peak pressures are observed, the reference hydrophone or calibrating equipment may have changed. If the source and related equipment are unchanged, as checked on a force balance, then repair, replacement or recalibration of the hydrophone at a national standards laboratory shall be required.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

4.6 THE COMPARISON PROCEDURE FOR THE WORKING HYDROPHONE

4.6.1 Introduction

After confirmation of the accuracy of the reference hydrophone, a comparison procedure shall be performed whereby the acoustic pressure field of a refer-

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

ence source or working source transducer is first characterized at a point on-axis in the far field using the reference hydrophone (Section 4.5.2), and then compared to the response measured using a working hydrophone to be calibrated. In this procedure, great care must be taken to locate and orient both the reference hydrophone and working hydrophone at exactly the same location in the acoustic pressure field of the source. Also, source excitation conditions must be the same. If the reference hydrophone and working hydrophone have different effective hydrophone diameters, then the transmitted beam variations as a function of radial distance at the measurement location will be negligible over the larger effective hydrophone diameter. Otherwise, a correction to account for different effective hydrophone diameters must be made (Preston et al., 1988; Schafer and Lewin, 1988).

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

NOTE: To ensure adequate frequency response of the working hydrophone, an additional comparison of the spectral consistency of the pulse from the source as recorded by the two hydrophones can be made. For this measurement it may be more meaningful to pulse the source with a broadband impulse, although for a CW source the harmonics generated due to nonlinear propagation can be used also.

The most convenient way to perform this broadband comparison is to use a membrane hydrophone having a thickness of 12 μm or less as the reference. Either an integral amplifier or an external amplifier with short (≤ 15 cm) hydrophone cable should be used. The -1 dB band width of the amplifier should be ≥ 50 MHz. The amplifier also should meet the minimum requirements of Table 3-4. Under these conditions, the relative frequency response of the working hydrophone can be obtained from the ratio of the frequency responses of the working hydrophone and the reference membrane hydrophone when a broadband source transducer is used (Bacon, 1982; Harris, 1988).

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

4.6.2 Determination of End-of-Cable Loaded Sensitivity of the Working Hydrophone

The end-of-cable loaded sensitivity $M_L(f)$ in V/Pa of the working hydrophone, at a given frequency f, in water at a specified temperature, shall be calculated from new working hydrophone measurements and the corresponding waveform records of the reference hydrophone as:

$$M_L(f)_w = M_L(f)_r \, (h_w/h_r)^{1/2} \quad \text{(V/Pa)} \quad \text{(4.6.2-1)}$$

where $M_L(f)_w$ and $M_L(f)_r$ are the end-of-cable loaded sensitivities of the working hydrophone and reference hydrophone, respectively, and:

$$hw = \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T Vw^2(t)dt \; (v^2) \quad \text{(4.6.2-3)}$$

$$hr = \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T Vr^2(t)dt \; (v^2) \quad \text{(4.6.2-4)}$$

Here, $V_w(t)$ and $V_r(t)$ are the hydrophone waveforms in volts recorded from the working hydrophone and reference hydrophone, respectively, and T is a time interval over which these voltage waveforms repeat themselves. For a CW source, T is any integral multiple of (1/f). For a repetitively pulsed source, T is any integral multiple of (1/PRF). For pulsed waveforms, T also can be the sampling interval over which the waveform is recorded, assuming no signal is present at the beginning or end of the interval.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

4.7 SPOT CHECKS OF WORKING HYDROPHONE

Periodically, the working hydrophone may be spot checked by repeating measurements in the configuration used on its arrival, using either the comparison or the planar scanning technique. As a minimum, this check shall be done annually. (This sentence approved as NEMA Standard 1-8-1992.) By direct comparison of waveform data to the data recorded earlier, the long term stability of the working hydrophone should be established. A calibration log should be kept to track any changes in hydrophone behavior. If differences exceeding the measurement uncertainty in $M_L(f)$ are observed, the working hydrophone or calibrating equipment may have changed. If the source and related equipment are unchanged, as checked on a force balance, repair, replacement or recalibration of the hydrophone will be required.

The frequency of the spot checks depends on the long and short term stability of the hydrophone. The procedure is to spot check the hydrophone daily before use so that the user can be assured that the hydrophone is functioning properly. At least for the first month of use, a daily log shall be kept to establish how stable the hydrophone is and also to determine system uncertainty. (This sentence approved as NEMA Standard 1-8-1992.) Based on these data, less frequent spot checks shall be considered to be adequate. However, at a minimum, monthly checks shall be made of the working hydrophone stability/calibration. (This sentence approved as NEMA Standard 1-8-1992.)

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

4.8 PLANAR SCANNING CHECKS OF HYDROPHONES

Planar scanning calibration (see Appendix I for details) can provide an alternative means of cross checking hydrophone sensitivities (below 10 MHz) obtained by comparison or other calibration methods. For information about how different calibrating methods compare, including planar scanning, see Preston et al., 1988 and Gloersen et al., 1982.

Note that greater care is required with the planar scanning technique above 6 MHz. However, the sensitivities so obtained should be within the system measurement uncertainty and differences should be no greater than 15% when compared to sensitivities obtained otherwise (by a national standards laboratory or by the comparison method).

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

Planar scanning calibration is useful for checking the reference hydrophone both on its arrival or whenever changes in its performance are suspected. (This sentence approved as Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992.) In those cases in which the working hydrophone is the reference hydrophone, planar scanning checks shall be made on the receipt of the hydrophone and at least at six month intervals. Spot checks of the working hydrophone calibration and/or stability should be made at least weekly. (This sentence approved as Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992.)

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Section 5
DIAGNOSTIC ULTRASOUND MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES

5.1 INTRODUCTION

Recommended procedures for measuring acoustic and geometric parameters are detailed in this section. Alternative methods may be employed so long as they can be shown to be equivalent to those outlined in this section.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

Results from alternative methods which exceed the corresponding values measured using the procedures in this section should be noted.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

Systematic and random errors relative to any alternative methods may be determined and these data provided in the final measurement report. The measurement report should consist of complete documentation of the methods and results of measurements used to obtain any reported acoustical parameters. Waveform records, for example, should be included. The report should be retained by the manufacturer to help answer future questions.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

The recommended methods of this section are selected to be as consistent and straightforward as possible, even though they may not have the accuracy of more thorough techniques, such as those used in national standards laboratories. The procedures are described in sufficient detail to make the performance of these measurements possible for the widest number of potential users of this standard. It is neither reasonable nor efficacious to attempt to provide step-by-step procedures that could be employed by a first time user with no previous experience in quantitative acoustic measurements as defined in this standard. Such procedures cannot be made general enough to meet the wide variety of acoustic fields, equipment and available personnel for which this standard is intended. When the procedures outlined in this section are followed, measurements can be made with reasonable accuracy using basic instrumentation. Sufficient information is provided whereby, with straightforward modifications and a basic understanding of the concepts involved, the user of this standard should be able to apply these procedures to more elaborate multi-mode or unique instruments.

Because of the nature of acoustic output measurements and the great potential for accumulated error, the extreme care and precision required from the user in order to obtain accurate, reliable results cannot be overstated. It is strongly recommended that persons new to the performance of acoustic output measurements as described in this standard familiarize themselves completely with the equipment and procedures before attempting a formal series of measurements. A series of repetitive "pre-measurements" to establish reproducibility and familiarity with the specific equipment in use is suggested.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

All measurements should be performed in bubble-free water using apparatus equivalent to that specified in Section 3 as selected in this section. General outlines of the measurements are presented in Section 5.2.

It will also prove helpful to read several of the references which employ a variety of related techniques, some of which form the basis for these recommended procedures (Carson and Banjavic, 1980; Harris, 1985; Preston, 1986; Duck and Starritt, 1986; CDRH, 1985; RPB, 1982; Smith, 1986; Shombert and Harris, 1986; Harris, 1982; Jones et al., 1981; Martin, 1986; Lewin, 1981; Pedersen et al. 1988; Schafer and Lewin, 1988).

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

5.2 OUTLINE OF MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES

A careful set of cross checks is required to assure continued stability of equipment and accuracy of acoustical measurements. (This sentence approved as NEMA Standard 1-8-1992.) Figure 5-1 shows the relationships between procedural steps (in ellipses) recommended for carrying out measurements and cross checks and the devices used in carrying them out (in boxes). The working source or reference source consists of a transducer, whose output is stable while in use with the radiation force balance, and a stable pulser or pulse burst generator which drives it. Optional procedures and relations are presented by dashed figures and lines.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

The procedural steps, listed by number in Figure 5-1 are as follows:

1. Immediately prior to a set of measurements, the stability of the hydrophone (and source), with a measurement of pr at the point of maximum I_{SPPA} on the axis of the reference source or working source, should be verified. The standard deviation of such measurements, carried out from time to time, should be no more than 5%.

   Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

2. For the system under test, hydrophone measurements and calculations to measure the appropriate or desired pressures, intensities and associated quantities should be performed as required. A suggested sequence for these measurements is outlined in the flow chart of Figure 5-2. These measurements should be repeated for each

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale




Figure 5-1
Steps in the Measurement Process

Relationships between procedural steps (in ellipses) recommended for carrying out measurements, cross checks, and the devices used in carrying them out (boxes).

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

discrete operating mode and for the combination made which yields the highest values of the acoustic parameters of interest.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

3. If a radiation force balance is unavailable for step 5 below, or if a comparison between the hydrophone and the balance is desired, place the working hydrophone in the field, perform planar scanning and compute the total transmitted power, W_h, for the desired modes and control settings. Such comparisons between the hydrophone and balance are usually worthwhile checks.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

4. The stability of the force balance (and source) with a measurement of the ultrasonic power, W from the working source or reference source should be verified. The latter shall be operated at the settings that were employed in Step 1. The standard deviation of such measurements, carried out from time to time, should be no more than 3%.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

5. At the same settings of the system under test that were used for measuring the maximum I_{SPTA} in water, the balance output for the ultrasound system should be placed under test, coupling and decoupling the transducer to the balance N times, where N3 should be recorded. The system ultrasonic power, W_b, and the measured standard deviations, particularly the standard deviation between couplings, should be computed.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

6. The internal consistency and reasonableness of the data should be checked. The power determined with the balance and hydrophone, W_b and W_h, should agree within the expected uncertainty (two standard deviations). See Appendix A—Statistical Considerations.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

Figure 5-2 is an outline of procedures for measurement of the acoustic output quantities for a given non-autoscan mode. The procedures are given in more detail in Section 5.4.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

5.3 EQUIPMENT AND SETUP

The measurements described in Sections 5.3 - 5.5 shall be performed for the transmitted beam with a hydrophone and associated amplifier, properly terminated. The excitation source and standard transducer used to perform the calibration of the hydrophone system must meet the requirements as outlined in Sections 3 and 4 for assurance of proper termination, calibration and traceability to a national standards laboratory. Section 5.4 describes testing for a non-autoscan mode and Section 5.5, testing for an autoscanning mode. Figure 5-2 provides a testing flow chart to assist the operator in acquiring the proper data at the proper location and sequence in the procedure.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

5.3.1 Recommended Equipment

5.3.1.1 Polyvinylidene Fluoride (PVDF) Hydrophone. The hydrophone may include a preamplifier that is either an integral part of the hydrophone or is coupled to the hydrophone output by properly terminated cables. Adequate frequency response and directivity for the transducers under test are essential. (This sentence approved as NEMA Standard 1-8-1992.) The frequency response and directional characteristics of the hydrophone as a function of frequency are generally supplied in the specifications by the hydrophone manufacturer or calibration service. Refer to Sections 3.3 - 3.5 of this standard for further information.

5.3.1.2 An X, Y, Z motion positioning device with the capability of two orthogonal angle adjustments (i, m) on either the hydrophone or source mountings (see Figures 5-3a and b). This equipment is very useful.

5.3.1.3 A Digital waveform processing oscilloscope should be used. See sections 3.5.4 and 5.4.3 for specifications. A conventional analog oscilloscope is generally inadequate for determining the location of maximum I_{SPTA}. The oscilloscope will suffice if a stepless gate and square and integrate circuitry which operates over the measurement bandwidth is available.

5.3.1.4 A working source or reference source transducer and driver, with known output as specified in Section 4, to be used for stability checks of the measuring system is essential.

5.3.1.5 A direct electronic trigger source from the instrument under test. In the event a reliable trigger source is not available from the instrument under test, an alternate method that provides some success is the use of a ceramic or PVDF probe hydrophone as an auxiliary scope trigger source. An unshielded wire to pick up the RF signal radiated by the main bang is a second suitable alternative.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

5.3.1.6 A Water tank filled with water to be conditioned as explained in Appendix E. See also Appendix L - Rationale for Measurements in Water.

5.3.1.7 Computation facility whereby the data obtained from the oscilloscope can be processed to generate the desired values. This may be as simple as a calculator or as elaborate as a computer with on-line digitization and report generation capability.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale




Figure 5-2
Recommended Measurement Sequence for Obtaining Acoustic Output Parameters

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

5.3.1.8 Hard copy capability (film or plotter).

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

5.3.2 SET-UP

5.3.2.1 GENERAL SET-UP

Assemble the water tank and x,y,z positioning device onto a sturdy and vibration free surface so as to minimize external vibrations. Care should be taken in the set-up to prevent inadvertent jarring of the equipment during the measurement process. Install the **working source** or **reference source** transducer and the calibrated hydrophone in their respective mounts.

5.3.2.2 SOURCE TRANSDUCER AND HYDROPHONE ALIGNMENT PROCEDURES FOR THE DEVICE TO BE CHARACTERIZED

The specific alignment procedure is dependent on the assembly and hydrophone in use. The following is a complete procedure which may provide training and assurance of skill in measurements. Simpler procedures should work, but will depend on quality of the apparatus and the skill of the user.

Mount the **working source** and align its beam, or at least check its alignment, so that the beam is parallel to the z axis of the x, y, z positioning device. This can be accomplished by maximizing the pulse echo signal from a flat surface which is known to be normal to the z axis, (such as the wall of the scanning tank or a plate on the hydrophone holder). See Figures 5-3a and b. A dial indicator is useful in establishing and verifying that the plate is normal to the z axis and parallel to the x,y scan plane (note: x is the scan direction). With the hydrophone at a distance from the source greater than 1.3 times the **far field transition length**, scan in x and y and maximize the received hydrophone signal to center the hydrophone on the beam axis. Then rotate the hydrophone about its active element in two orthogonal angles, $_x$ and $_y$, and maximize the signal so the beam axis coincides with the direction along which the hydrophone is most sensitive. It cannot be assumed that this direction is parallel to the axis of the housing except possibly with a membrane hydrophone. When using a membrane type hydrophone, it is possible to achieve very accurate alignment by maximizing the echo reflected off the membrane surface.

With the **reference source** or **working source** being driven at a standardized setting for hydrophone stability checks (step 1 in Section 5.2), position the source in z to the range for which previous data have been taken. Scan in x and y to verify that the hydrophone is still on the **beam axis**.

Record the signal level and verify that it is the same, within your hydrophone stability specifications, as was achieved at the last calibration of the hydrophone. Significant misalignment indicates a failure in the above procedures and the need for their repetition.

The attainment of the same signal level as in previous tests indicates facility with the measurement procedures as well as stability of the equipment and water medium. Use of simpler procedures which rely on the assumption that the **beam axis** on the hydrophone or source is aligned with a mechanical axis or marker should be avoided due to the critical nature of this alignment.

This type of alignment is a critical part of the entire measurement procedure, particularly when performed on the system under test. Extreme care should be taken to ensure that it is done properly. As with all critical maximizations by alignment or positioning, extreme care means that the alignment or positioning should be readjusted to confirm that the alignment or position found is a true maximum and not just a local or erroneous maximum.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

A log of the values and waveform records obtained from the **working source** shall be maintained.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

5.3.2.3 SET-UP AND ALIGNMENT OF THE TRANSDUCER UNDER TEST

Replace the source transducer with the transducer to be tested. With the system under test operating with a fixed beam, e.g., in M- or A-mode, repeat the alignment procedures given immediately above in Section 5.3.2.2. That is:

- Align the beam of the transducer under test (the source transducer) with the z axis of the positioner. Figure 5-2 lists each of the steps necessary to accomplish the complete testing for the **non-autoscan** mode as outlined in Section 5.4.
- Align the hydrophone in the center of the beam at a point well into the far field of the source, e.g. greater than 1.3 times the near-to-far field transition length.
- Verify that the orientation of the hydrophone yields the maximum response.
- Scan in z until the temporal peak of the hydrophone signal is maximized.
- Verify that the hydrophone is still on the beam axis.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

If the ultrasound system under test has no stationary beam mode, or difficulty is encountered in synchronizing with the scan or pulse repetition period, a second hydrophone, mounted so as not to obstruct the field detected by the PVDF hydrophone (ideally mounted under the primary hydrophone), should be used as an alternate external trigger source. For scanning modes, the trigger hydrophone often is mounted on the edge of the scan plane such that the first pulse of the scan is detected most strongly. For stationary beam modes the

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale




Figure 5-3a
Horizontal Scanning Mechanism
(example)

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale




Figure 5-3b
Vertical Scanning System
(example)

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

hydrophone should be mounted at a greater distance from the source than the measurement hydrophone. Instruments which have no "front panel" M-mode function may have an internal test mode which emulates M-mode sufficiently to permit acoustic output measurements with a stationary beam. Consult the manufacturer of the specific instrument under test for details.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

5.4 MEASUREMENT OF INTENSITIES AND PRESSURE IN NON-AUTOSCAN SYSTEM

5.4.1 Select Mode Control Setting for Maximum I_{SPPA} (water)

For each of the **discrete operating modes** (e.g. 2-D, M-mode, Doppler-mode, etc.), the user should select the system control setting that produces the maximum I_{SPPA} (see Appendix H). In the **measurement report** all the settings and relevant conditions should be recorded so that this set-up can be repeated.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

NOTE: If the control settings producing the maximum I_{SPPA} are not known, an iterative process may be necessary in order to find this maximum value, i.e. repeat steps 5.4.1 through 5.4.6. In general, with more complex systems, a detailed understanding of the interaction of the operating parameters (pulser voltage, aperture, focal length, etc.) will be necessary in order to predict a priori which operating conditions will produce the maximum I_{SPPA}.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

5.4.2 Determine the Beam Axis

Repeat, as necessary, the alignment procedure accomplished in Section 5.3. Verify that the **spatial-peak pulse-average intensity** (I_{SPPA}) of the transducer under test shall be maximized locally in all coordinate directions where:

$$I_{SPPA} = PII / PD \quad (W/cm^2) \qquad (5.4.2\text{-}1)$$

and

$$PII = \frac{\int V^2(t)dt}{10^4 \rho c M_L{}^2(f_c)} \quad (J/cm^2) \qquad (5.4.2\text{-}2)$$

where ρ is density (kg/m^3), c is the speed of sound (m/s), $M_L(f_c)$ is the hydrophone **end-of-cable loaded sensitivity** (V/Pa), PII is the **pulse intensity integral** (J/cm^2) and PD is **pulse duration** expressed in seconds. See equation 3.3.1-7 to convert M_L in dB to M_L in V/Pa.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

A useful starting point for finding the maximum I_{SPPA} is the location of the maximum p_c along the axis.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

5.4.3 Find the Spatial-Peak Pulse-Average Intensity (I_{SPPA} (water))

The spatial-peak pulse-average intensity (I_{SPPA}) and the position at which it occurs shall be determined by moving the hydrophone along the z-axis and determining the **pulse average intensity** at each of a series of positions.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

It is assumed that an on-line wide-band digital oscilloscope, sampling at a minimum 10 points per half-cycle per Section 3.5.4, with multiple programmable functions, or a digital oscilloscope with an associated computing controller is available. If not, the procedure may be carried out by use of a hand calculator. Because of the tedious and error-prone nature of this manual technique, it is not recommended for extensive data collection. It may be indicated for measurements made at previously specified locations.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

5.4.4 Verification of I_{SPPA} Maximum and p_r

The maximum I_{SPPA} and p_r location shall be verified by testing the surrounding points in all coordinate directions. If maxima have not been found, the procedure beginning at step 5.4.2 shall be repeated.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

If it is necessary to test other control settings to maximize output, repeat the overall procedure beginning at 5.4.1.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

5.4.5 Waveform Recording

The hydrophone **waveforms** at the maximum I_{SPPA} and p_r location should be recorded photographically or with a digital waveform recorder. (See Section 3.5.4.)

The gain should be adjusted to allow at least seven (7) significant bits in the digitized **waveform** (see Figures 5-4 and 5-5). Record the control settings at which these **waveforms** are taken.

These **waveforms** and control settings may be recorded for each of the independent operational modes of the system under test for inclusion in the **measurement report.**

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

5.4.6 Calculate p_r

The maximum p_r at this location shall be calculated by:

$$p_r = -V / M_L(f_c) \quad (MPa) \qquad (5.4.6\text{-}1)$$

where -V is equal to the hydrophone's output voltage in volts corresponding to the **peak rarefactional pressure,** p_r, at the same point of $I_{SPPA}(z)$, and the hydrophone **end-of-cable loaded sensitivity** ($M_L(f_c)$), is expressed in V/MPa.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS

Not for Resale




Figure 5-4
Spatial Peak Voltage Waveform Signal (V_p) As Seen At Maximum I_{SPPA} Location

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale




Figure 5-5
A Typical Pulse Intensity Integral (PII) Waveform

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

5.4.7 Associated Parameters

5.4.7.1 Pulse Repetition Frequency (PRF)

The **pulse repetition frequency** shall be measured by means of a miniature hydrophone in water using the equipment specified in Section 5.3.1, or electronically by the use of a frequency counter.

5.4.7.2 Center Frequency

For either **continuous waveforms** or **amplitude modulated waveforms**, the **waveform** shall be measured using the oscilloscope and hydrophone/amplifier system located at the point of the I_{SPPA}. The hydrophone's output voltage shall be used to generate a frequency spectrum display from which the **center frequency** (f_c) parameters shall be determined. One of the following shall be used for generating this frequency spectrum:

1. An automatic spectrum analyzer with an operating range encompassing the frequency envelope of the detected signal.
2. A computational system capable of performing Fourier transforms and either displaying the spectrum or calculating the desired values.

The **center frequency** for the transducer under test shall be determined in a manner consistent with the requirements in Section 5.4.3 of this standard. The received hydrophone output voltage as a function of frequency shall be determined and the pertinent values calculated by:

$$f_c = (f_1 + f_2)/2 \quad \text{(MHz)} \qquad (5.4.7\text{-}1)$$

where f_1 and f_2 are the frequencies at which the hydrophone voltage spectrum is -3 dB (71%) of its maximum value.

5.4.7.3 Beam Profile and Other Geometrical Parameters

The beam profile parameter measurements shall be made at the **global maximum** I_{SPPA}.

To determine the **depth of focus,** the I_{SPPA} **waveform** shall be evaluated at the two points along the **beam axis** at which the axial intensity is -3 dB below the value of maximum I_{SPPA} for that mode.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

Measurement procedures and examples of the remaining parameters for a single-element transducer (which may easily be applied to a multi-element transducer) are well defined in references (Harris, 1985; Shombert and Robinson, 1983).

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

Beam profile and **entrance beam dimensions** for non-circular apertures shall be measured in both the x-z scan plane (in-plane) and the y-z scan plane (out-of-plane) directions in order to adequately characterize the beam.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

Assurance that the ultrasound beam is symmetrical about the z-axis in the plane where I_{SPPA} is located is obtained by moving the hydrophone laterally in that plane. For a single-element, circular diameter transducer, the beam profile parameters are similar to Figure 5-6. For a rectangular sector scanner, the beam profiles are plotted in two directions, $\pm$ x and $\pm$ y, as shown in Figure 5-7. Plots of beam profiles are shown in Figure 5-8a for a circular aperture and in Figure 5.8b for a rectangular one.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

5.4.8 Set Mode Control Setting for $I_{SPPA.3}(z)$

For each of the **discrete operating modes** (e.g. 2-D, M-mode, Doppler-mode, etc.), the user should select the system control setting that produces the maximum $I_{SPPA.3}$ (see Appendix H). In the **measurement report** all the settings and relevant conditions should be recorded so that this set-up can be repeated.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

NOTE: If the control settings producing the $I_{SPPA.3}$ are not known, an iterative process may be necessary in order to find this maximum value, i.e. repeat steps 5.4.8 through 5.4.13. In general, with more complex systems, a detailed understanding of the interaction of the operating parameters (pulser voltage, aperture, focal length, etc.) will be necessary in order to predict **a priori** which operating conditions will produce the $I_{SPPA.3}$.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

The **center frequency,** as outlined in Section 5.4.7, shall be measured, and $I_{SPPA.3}$ shall be determined by equating it to the maximum with respect to z of $I_{PA.3}$, derated pulse average intensity, in the following equation:

$$I_{PA.3}(z) = \exp(-0.23 \times 0.3 \times f_c \times z) \times I_{PA}(z) \qquad (5.4.8\text{-}1)$$

where f_c is the **center frequency** (MHz) and z is the distance (one way) in cm along the **beam axis** from the **transducer assembly** to the measurement point.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

This most convenient and fastest technique employs an on-line, wide-band digital oscilloscope with multiple programmable functions. Manual off-line calculations and analog wide-band oscilloscopes as specified in 3.5.4 can also be used.


Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

5.4.9 Determine the Beam Axis


Repeat, if necessary, the alignment procedure accomplished in Section 5.3. The **spatial-peak pulse-average intensity** ($I_{SPPA.3}$) of the transducer under test shall be verified to be maximized locally in all coordinate directions.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale



FOCUSED



UNFOCUSED

A = FOCAL LENGTH
B = DEPTH OF FOCUS (CM)
C = FOCAL AREA (CM^2)
D = RADIATING CROSS-SECTIONAL AREA, S
E = BEAM AXIS
F = BEAM CROSS-SECTIONAL AREA (CM^2)
G = TRANSITION DISTANCE = $\frac{S}{\pi A}$
H = BEAM CROSS-SECTIONAL AREA AT TRANSITION DISTANCE (CM^2)
I = ENTRANCE BEAM DIMENSIONS (CM^2)

Figure 5-6
Beam Profile Parameters For Single Element Transducers

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale




Figure 5-7
Beam Geometry at the Focus

Beam geometry at the focus of one pulse (i.e. image line) for the section scanning rectangular transducer assembly. The z axis is the beam axis for the image line being depicted. The x-y plane is the image plane.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale




Figure 5-8a
Focal Plane Pulse Intensity Integral
For Ciruclar Source

Plot of PII (normalized J/cm^2) Along a Diameter Through the Focus of a Circular Source Transducer.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale




Figure 5-8b
Focal Plane Pulse Intensity Integrals
For Rectangular Source

Plot of PII (normalized J/cm^2) Along a Diameter Through the Focus of a Rectangular Transducer Assembly. The x and y axis plots are taken along lines that intersect and are parallel to the sides of the transducer assembly. (See Figure 5-7)

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

5.4.10 Find the Derated Spatial-Peak Pulse-Average Intensity ($I_{SPPA.3}(z)$)

The spatial-peak value ($I_{SPPA.3}$) and the position at which it occurs shall be determined by moving the hydrophone along the z-axis and determining the pulse average intensity at each of a series of positions. This axial scan shall be made no closer to the surface of the radiating cross sectional area than the smallest dimension of the surface of the radiating cross sectional area due to near field variations.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

It is assumed that an on-line wide-band digital oscilloscope, sampling at a minimum 10 points per half-cycle (per Section 3.5.4) with multiple programmable functions, or a digital oscilloscope with an associated computing controller is available. If not, the procedure may be carried out by use of a hand calculator. Because of the tedious and error prone nature of this manual technique, it is not recommended for extensive data collection. It may be indicated for measurements made at previously specified locations.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

5.4.11 Verification of $I_{SPPA.3}$ Maximum and $p_{r.3}$

The $I_{SPPA.3}$ and maximum $p_{r.3}$ locations shall be verified by testing the surrounding points in all coordinate directions. If it has not been found, the procedure beginning at step 5.4.9 shall be repeated.

If it is necessary to test other control settings to maximize output, repeat the overall procedure beginning at 5.4.8.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

5.4.12 Waveform Recording

The hydrophone **waveforms** at this location shall be recorded as described in Section 5.4.5.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

5.4.13 Calculate $p_{r.3}$

p_r shall be calculated per Section 5.4.6 and then shall be multiplied by the constant "$\exp(-0.115 \times 0.3 \times f_c \times z)$," where f_c is defined in 5.4.7 and z is defined in Section 5.4.8.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

5.4.14 Associated Parameters

The associated parameters for the derated conditions shall be measured as outlined in Section 5.4.7.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

5.4.15 Select Control Settings for I_{SPTA} (water)

For each of the **discrete operating modes** (e.g. 2-D, M-mode, Doppler-mode, etc.), the user should select the system control setting that produces the maximum I_{SPTA}. In the **measurement report** all the settings and relevant conditions shall be recorded so that this set-up can be repeated.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

NOTE: If the control settings producing the I_{SPTA} are not known, an iterative process may be necessary in order to find this maximum value, i.e. repeat steps 5.4.15 through 5.4.21 (see Appendix H). In general, with more complex systems, a detailed understanding of the interaction of the operating parameters (pulser voltage, aperture, focal length, etc.) may be necessary in order to predict a priori which operating conditions will produce the I_{SPTA}.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

5.4.16 Determine the Pulse Repetition Frequency (PRF)

The **pulse repetition frequency** shall be measured by means of a miniature hydrophone in water, using the equipment specified in Section 5.2.1, or electronically by the use of a frequency counter as appropriate.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

5.4.17 Determine the Beam Axis

Repeat, if necessary, the alignment procedure described in Section 5.3. The **pulse intensity integral** (PII) of the transducer under test shall be verified to be maximized in all three axes, both directions.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

5.4.18 Find the Spatial Maximum PII(z) (water)

The maximum PII(z) (water) for a standard location shall be located to calculate the $I_{SPTA}(z)$ (water).

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

NOTE: The above technique assumes that an on-line wide-band digital oscilloscope sampling at a minimum 10 points per half-cycle per Section 3.5.4 with multiple programmable functions or a digital oscilloscope with an associated computing controller is available. If not, the maximum PII(z) may be found via tedious digitizing and a hand calculator. Because of the tedious and error prone nature of this manual technique, it is not recommended for extensive data collection. It may be indicated for measurements made at previously specified locations.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

5.4.19 Verification of Maximum PII(z)

The maximum PII(z) location shall be verified by testing the surrounding points in all three axes. If it has not been found, the procedure beginning at step 5.4.13 shall be repeated.

If it is necessary to test other control settings to maximize output, repeat the overall procedure beginning at 5.4.11.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

5.4.20 Waveform Recording

The hydrophone **waveforms** at this point shall be recorded as described in Section 5.4.5.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS

Not for Resale

5.4.21 Calculate the I_{SPTA}

The ISPTA for this position shall be calculated by:

$$I_{SPTA} = PRF \times \max PII(z) \quad (mW/cm^2) \qquad (5.4.21\text{-}1)$$

where PII and PRF are the **pulse intensity integral** and **pulse repetition frequency** in J/cm^2 and Hz, respectively.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

5.4.22 Associated Parameters Measurement

The center frequency (f_c) of the waveform and the -6 dB beam width at the point of I_{SPTA} shall be measured using the procedures outlined in Section 5.4.7.

The pulse repetition frequency shall be measured electronically or by means of a miniature hydrophone in water, using the equipment specified in Section 5.2.1, in conjunction with a frequency counter as appropriate.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

5.4.23 Select Control Setting for $I_{SPTA.3}$

For each of the **discrete operating modes** (e.g. 2-D, M-mode, Doppler-mode, etc.), the user should select the system control setting that produces the maximum $I_{SPTA.3}$ (see Appendix H). In the **measurement report,** all the settings and relevant conditions should be recorded so that this set-up can be repeated.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

NOTE: If the control settings producing the $I_{SPTA.3}$ are not known, an iterative process may be necessary in order to find this maximum value, i.e. repeat steps 5.4.23 through 5.4.28. In general, with more complex systems, a detailed understanding of the interaction of the operating parameters (pulser voltage, aperture, focal length, etc.) may be necessary in order to predict a priori which operating conditions will produce the $I_{SPTA.3}$.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

5.4.24 Determine the Pulse Repetition Frequency (PRF)

The **pulse repetition frequency** shall be measured electronically or by means of a miniature hydrophone in water, using the equipment specified in Section 5.2.1, in conjunction with a frequency counter as appropriate.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

5.4.25 Determine the Beam Axis

Repeat, as necessary, the alignment procedure described in Section 4.3. Verify that the **derated pulse intensity integral** ($PII_{.3}$) of the transducer under test shall be maximized locally in all coordinate dimensions.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

5.4.26 Find the Spatial Maximum Derated $PII_{.3}(z)$

The maximum $PII_{.3}(z)$ for a standard location shall be located to calculate the spatial maximum $I_{SPTA.3}(z)$. This shall be accomplished by moving along the z-axis, towards the transducer under test, in an iterative fashion, hunting for the maximum derated $PII_{.3}(z)$, where derated parameters will now be indicated with a .3 subscript.

The center frequency shall be measured as outlined in Section 5.4.7 for use in order to calculate the PII.3 according to the following equation:

$$PII_{.3}(z) = \exp(-0.23 \times 0.3 \times f_c \times z) \times PII(z) \qquad (5.4.26\text{-}1)$$

where f_c is the center frequency (MHz) and z is the distance (one way) in cm along the **beam axis** from the **transducer assembly** to the measurement location.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

This most convenient and fastest technique assumes an on-line, wide-band digital oscilloscope with multiple programmable functions. Manual off-line calculations and analog wide-band oscilloscopes, as specified in 3.5.4, can also be used.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

The spatial-peak value ($I_{SPPA.3}$) and the position at which it occurs shall be determined by moving the hydrophone along the z-axis and determining the derated pulse average intensity at each of a series of positions. This axial scan shall be made no closer to the surface of the radiating cross sectional area than the smallest dimension of the surface due to near field variations.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

It is assumed that an on-line wide-band digital oscilloscope, sampling at a minimum 10 points per half-cycle per 3.5.4, with multiple programmable functions, or a digital oscilloscope with an associated computing controller is available. If not, the procedure may be carried out by use of a hand calculator. Because of the tedious and error prone nature of this manual technique, it is not recommended for extensive data collection. It may be indicated for measurements made at previously specified locations.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

5.4.27 Verification of Maximum $PII_{.3}$

The maximum $PII_{.3}$ location shall be verified by testing the surrounding points in all coordinate directions. If it has not been found, the procedure beginning at step 5.4.25 shall be repeated.

If it is necessary to test other settings to maximize output, repeat the overall procedure beginning at 5.4.23.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

5.4.28 Waveform Recording

The hydrophone **waveforms** at this location shall be recorded as described in Section 5.4.5.

5.4.29 Calculate $I_{SPTA.3}$

I_{SPTA} shall be calculated per Section 5.4.21 and then shall be multiplied by the constant "$\exp(-0.23 \times 0.3 \times f_c \times z)$," where f_c is defined in 5.4.25 and z is as determined in Section 5.4.25.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

5.4.30 Measure the Ultrasonic Power

The **ultrasonic power** "W" under the Control Settings for maximum I_{SPTA} (water) shall be measured. See Section 5.6 for the detailed procedure.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

5.5 MEASUREMENT FOR INTENSITY AND PRESSURE IN AUTOSCAN MODE

In **autoscan** mode, a series of interrogating beams are steered through a succession of azimuthal (i.e., in-plane lateral) directions within a single or succession of target planes. The rate at which this azimuthal scanning pattern is repeated is the **scan repetition frequency** (SRF). The inverse of this rate, the **scan repetition period,** is the time over which **intensity** is averaged in order to calculate **temporal average intensity**.

In the simplest **autoscan** mode, all transmitted beams exhibit the same focal characteristics along their respective **beam axes**. The beams differ only in the orientation of the **beam axes**. Thus, the temporal peak and pulse average parameters of all beams (considered separately), as well as the **autoscan** mode itself, are identical. The temporal average parameters for the mode are determined by the pulse-average parameters of an individual beam, the degree of spatial overlap of the beams in the formation of the overall scan and the **scan repetition frequency**.

In more complex **autoscan** modes, the transmitted beams may exhibit two or more sets of focal characteristics. In some systems, certain scanning modes may employ two or more distinct focal patterns, each focussed at a different depth, to create a single, overall scan. In still other systems, such as phased array sector devices, beam focal characteristics may vary with steering angle as well. In these more complex modes, the temporal-peak and pulse-average parameters for a given mode are determined by the maximum values that occur for any beam within the scan. The temporal average parameters for the mode are determined by the pulse average parameters of the different beam types, the degree and pattern of spatial overlap of the different beam types in the formation of the overall scan, and the **scan repetition frequency**. In the most general case, precise measurement of temporal average parameters in these modes, involving multiple focal patterns, can be extremely complex, tedious, and time consuming.

In yet another class of systems, the plane of examination is automatically swept through the target space in the elevation direction. Thus, while the scanning pattern may appear to be repetitive in the range-azimuth plane, the scanning pattern is not repetitive at any point in the target volume due to the motion of the scan plane. Nevertheless, as considered in this Standard, this elevation motion is disregarded and **temporal average intensity** parameters are determined over the period of repetition in the range-azimuth plane.

Stated simply, the general principles for measuring acoustic output parameters for **autoscan** modes can be summarized as follows.

⇒ Temporal-peak and pulse-average parameters for the mode are determined by the temporal-peak and pulse average quantities of the individual beams considered SEPARATELY. Such parameters as **scan repetition frequency** and **pulse repetition frequency** and such factors as spatial overlap of beams, do not affect temporal-peak and pulse-average overlap parameters for the mode.

⇒ Temporal average parameters are determined by the cumulative effects of the overlap of beams generated during the **scan repetition period**. As the most important such parameter, **temporal average intensity** for an **autoscan** mode, when measured at a point, is determined by the sum of the **energy fluences** at a point, resulting from the various beams during the scan, and the **scan repetition frequency**.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

5.5.1 Introduction to Autoscan Measurements in Combined Modes

Autoscan in **combined modes** refers to those operational conditions of the scanning instrument where the beam shape, focus, amplitude or **pulse duration** change within a single scan; a single scan being one cycle of a repetitive sequence of emitted acoustic pulses. More specifically, **autoscan** modes are those conditions where the scanning of the beam cannot be stopped and the beam measured with the knowledge that the measured data is consistent throughout the entire scan. A simple example would be a B-mode image with a Doppler signal, interleaved through some smaller part of the overall scan plane, in the form of a single point interrogation or a 2-D flow image.

By its very nature, measurement in **autoscan** modes can be, at best, difficult without detailed information regarding the sequence, sources and direction of the transmitted beams. This level of detail may be available from the manufacturer; if not, tedious scanning of the scan plane with a hydrophone becomes the required means for defining the pulse sequence of a particular front panel setting. Complex array systems, using interleaved transmit foci for each of the different **combined modes,** make this an undesirable, if not unreliable, approach.

Proceeding further with **autoscan** measurements without accurate pulse sequence information is not recommended. In this case, the **non-autoscan** data defining each operational mode which make up the **autoscan combined mode** as measured in 5.4, will suffice as an

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

adequate definition of the acoustic output capacity of the instrument/probe under evaluation. (Note: The technical staff of a manufacturer is assumed to be knowledgeable enough about their equipment to be able to perform complete autoscan measurements.)

In **combined autoscan modes**, each instrument manufacturer's scheme of operation is considerably varied. Algorithms to assist in identifying the control setting "region" producing the values under search can be very useful. An example of one such algorithm is given in Appendix H. A step by step procedure, unique to the individual instrument under test, should be developed and followed.

Because of the difficulties and complexities described above, the remainder of this section does not detail technical and mathematical procedures for obtaining the desired values, but instead provides only guidelines.

Appendix F provides the details of a series of **combined autoscan** mode measurements of a hypothetical scanning system.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

5.5.2 Measurement of I_{SPTA} in Autoscan Combined Modes

The basic equation determining the **spatial-peak temporal-average intensity** in an **autoscan** mode shall be the **global maximum** within the scan plane of the following:

$$I_{SPTA} = \underset{\text{over } (x,\,z)}{\text{maximum}} \sum_{i=0}^{n} PII_i\,(x, y=0, z)\ SRF \qquad (5.5.2\text{-}1)$$

where $PII_i\,(x, y=0, z)$ is the **pulse intensity integral** (or **energy fluence per pulse**) of the i-th beam as measured at the point (x,y = 0,z), SRF is the **scan repetition frequency**, and n is the number of beams.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

NOTE: For rectilinear scan formats, x is the azimuth dimension variable, y the elevation, and z is the range. For sector formats, x is the azimuthal dimension variable, y is the elevation, and r is the range variable. Thus, for rectilinear formats, the scan plane is described by (x,y = 0, z); for sector formats, the scan plane is given by (x,y = 0, r).

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

The summation in 5.5.2-1, indicating the contributions of all the pulses that affect point (x,y,z) during a single scan, shall be defined as the **scan intensity integral** (SII) at a general point

$$SII\,(x,y,z) = \sum_{i} PII_i\,(x,y,z) \qquad (5.5.2\text{-}2)$$

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

Thus, just as PII(x,y,z) is the time integral of intensity at a point due to a single pulse, SII(x,y,z) is the time integral of intensity at a point during a single scan, resulting from all the pulses during the scan that insonify the point, either on- or off-axis.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

Thus, for **auto-scanning** systems, I_{SPTA} shall be:

$$I_{SPTA} = \underset{\text{over } (x,\,z)}{\text{maximum}}\ SII(x,0,z)\ SRF \qquad (5.5.2\text{-}3)$$

and for **non-auto scanning** systems, I_{SPTA} shall be:

$$I_{SPTA} = \underset{\text{over } (x,\,z)}{\text{maximum}}\ PII(x,0,z)\ PRF \qquad (5.5.2\text{-}4)$$

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

Certain simplifications are possible when all of the beams comprising the scan share the same focal properties (aperture size, and weighting (apodization), focal length, etc.) and are at an equal spacing in the azimuthal direction at a given range such that,

$$PII_i(x, y, z) = PII_0(x\text{-}x_i, y, z) \qquad (5.5.2\text{-}5)$$

In such case, the SII(x,y,z) may be expressed as the summation

$$SII(x, y, z) = \sum PII_0\,(x\text{-}x_i, y, z) \qquad (5.5.2\text{-}6)$$

where $PII_0(x\text{-}xi,y,z)$ is the **pulse intensity integral**, measured at (x,y,z) of a beam whose **beam axis** passes through (x = xi,y = 0,z), where xi = x - i Δx, Δx(z) is the azimuthal spacing between beams at range z and i is the number of lines which overlap the point during one **scan repetition period**.

Further simplification is possible in the limit as Δx(z) becomes small in comparison to the beam pattern (i.e., beam overlap factors predominate in the summation forming SII(x,y,z)), and edge effects near the lateral edges of the scan plane can be ignored. Then it can be shown that SII(x,y,z) ceases to be dependent on x, and can be approximated as

$$SII(y,z) = \frac{\int PII_0\,(x, y, z)\,dx}{\Delta x\,(z)} \qquad (5.5.2\text{-}7)$$

Thus, when all beams making up the scan share the same focal properties, are at a constant azimuthal spacing at a given range, and beam spacing is small:

$$I_{SPTA} = \underset{\text{over } z}{\text{maximum}}\ \frac{\int PII_o\,(x, 0, z)\,dx}{\Delta x\,(z)} \qquad (5.5.2\text{-}8)$$

Thus measurements can be made at one central location in the scan plane or by scanning a stationary beam.

In this case where all beams in the scan have the same focal properties, the total power W can be expressed as:

$$W = \int\int PII_0(x,y,z)\,dx\,dy\,(X/\Delta x)\ SRF \qquad (5.5.2\text{-}9)$$

where the area integral of $PII_0(x,y,z)$ is the total acoustic energy per pulse (constant for all z) and X is the linear width of the scan. Hence, (X/ x) is the number of pulses per scan.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Combining the above, an expression for I_{SPTA} can be given as:

$$I_{SPTA} = \underset{\text{over z}}{\text{maximum}} \frac{\int PII_0(x,0,z)\,dx}{\iint PII_0(x,y,z)\,dx\,dy} \frac{W}{X} \quad (5.5.2\text{-}10)$$

Note that the ratio of the two integrals above has the dimensions of reciprocal width of a scan of equal power and elevational intensity profile, i.e.: an energy equivalent elevation width Dy(z). Thus, I_{SPTA} is:

$$I_{SPTA} = \underset{\text{over z}}{\text{maximum}} \frac{W}{Dy(z)\,X} \quad (5.5.2\text{-}11)$$

where Dy(z) is given by:

$$Dy(z) = \frac{\iint PII_0(x,y,z)\,dx\,du}{\int PII_0(x,y=0.z)\,dx} \quad (5.5.2\text{-}12)$$

and where Dy(z) may vary with the particular beam type.

Still further simplification may be justified in an important class of cases in which the elevation focus properties (aperture, size and weighting (apodization), and focal length) of all beams are identically determined by a fixed mechanical lens or other means (as in sequenced linear arrays and linear phased arrays).In these cases, the integral in 5.5.2-7 can be separated because the yaxis beam pattern is independent of x. Equation 5.5.2-7 is separated as:

$$PII_0(x,y,z)\,dx = PII_0x(x,z)\,dx\,PII_0y(y,z) \quad (5.5.2\text{-}13)$$

Likewise, the area integral in 5.5.2-9 may be expressed as:

$$PII_0(x,y,z)dxdy = PII_0x(x,z)dx = PII_0y(y,z)dy \quad (5.5.2\text{-}14)$$

Thus, in the case where the beam patterns are separable, the energy equivalent width Dy can be expressed as:

$$Dy(z) = \frac{PII_{oy}(y,z)dy}{PII_{oy}(y=0,z)} \quad (5.5.2\text{-}15)$$

In this important case, the location of minimum Dy(z), which is also the location of maximum I_{SPTA}, is identical for all beam patterns sharing the same elevation focal characteristics. Even where there may be multiple transmit azimuth apertures and focal points, if all beams share the same elevation focus and aperture, as well as lateral scan dimension X, then 5.5.2-8 may be stated as:

$$I_{SPTA} = \text{maximum over z} \frac{\sum W_k}{Dy\,(z)\,X} \quad (5.5.2\text{-}16)$$

where W_k is the total **ultrasonic power** in the scan associated with the k-th azimuthal beam pattern.

While 5.5.2-16 is directly applicable to rectilinear formats, a similar expression holds for sector formats. As defined above, the azimuthal variable can be expressed as θ, and the range variable given as r. When the azimuthal size of the scan plane is given as θ, and under the conditions cited above (ignoring edge effects and assuming narrow beam spacing compared to the azimuthal beam widths), I_{SPTA} is:

$$I_{SPTA} = \text{maximum over z} \frac{\sum W_k}{Dy(r)\,r} \quad (5.5.2\text{-}17)$$

Again, W_k is the total **ultrasonic power** in the scan associated with the k-th azimuthal beam pattern, steered in a sector format. Note that in the sector case, I_{SPTA} is not maximized where Dy(r) is minimum (as I_{SPTA} is minimized for the rectilinear format where Dy(z) is minimum). Rather, sector format I_{SPTA} in 5.5.2-17 is maximized at the range r where the product Dy(r) r is minimized. Under the above assumptions, the location of this minimum for Dy(r) r is the same, however, for all beam patterns having the same elevation focus characteristics.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

5.6 POWER MEASUREMENTS

5.6.1 Force Balance Methods

Force balance systems, equations governing their operation, and procedures for testing them are described in 3.6 and 4.4. In a **local laboratory**, a calibrated force balance system or the planar scanning method of 5.6.2 should be used for periodic tests of **reference sources** and **working sources** and pulser drivers. A calibrated force balance is the preferred and more accurate testing method. The force balance procedure for measuring the **ultrasonic power** is the same as for measuring the same quantity for a **reference source**, discussed in 4.4.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

It is the primary function of the force balance system to measure **ultrasonic power** emitted by diagnostic systems. For this purpose, the procedures are the same as for measuring the same quantity for **reference sources** or **working sources**, except that for diagnostic systems the ultrasound is usually emitted in pulses. Whether the ultrasound is pulsed or not, it is the **ultrasonic power** that is given by Equation 4.4-2, since the radiation force measured is a temporal average.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

It is necessary to know which aperture or ultrasound line creates the greatest intensities since some lines may carry more energy or focus more tightly than others. If measuring a **non-autoscan** mode or an **autoscan** mode with the sweep arrested, the power measurements must be made using this line.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

It is strongly recommended that all measurements, **autoscan, non-autoscan** or **combined modes**, be made with the beam sweep arrested, with all pulses coming

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

from the aperture creating the greatest intensities. This ensures that all ultrasound beams strike the absorbing target at an angle of incidence of no more than 10 degrees from normal.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

The PRF corresponding to the system settings being measured must be known, particularly if these measurements are to be used to compare with data collected using a hydrophone raster scan (see 5.6.2). Likewise for multimode and unique systems, the sequencing of the pulses (i.e.how many B-mode, Doppler etc. lines/second/frame) must be known. For autoscan and non-autoscan combined modes, one can measure each separately provided each portion is pulsed exactly the same way when separated as when combined.

Because ultrasound heats the absorbing target in a force balance, the buoyancy, and thus the measured weight of the absorbing target, will drift with exposure time. In addition, from power-on to power-off, an overshoot of the target occurs and a short damped oscillation period follows as the target settles. Therefore, a short delay time is necessary before taking data after power-on/power-off. Since the target weight also drifts due to cooling and heating of the chamber fluid, an extrapolation technique is necessary in order to accurately determine the target weight at power-on/power-off.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

One extrapolation technique is to take a weight reading and then turn power on (this is the time zero power-off/power-on) (Carson et al., 1978). Take a power-on weight reading at 10 seconds and another at 20 seconds. Use the 10 and 20 second readings to extrapolate back to the time zero power-off/power-on weight. If R(t) is the balance reading at time t:

$$R(0) = 2R_{10} - R_{20} \qquad (5.6.1\text{-}1)$$

Repeat the procedure but going from power-on to power-off. Wait a sufficient period of time before repeating the cycle. The power-off period allows the water and target to cool down. Note that the target cools down slower than it warms up. There should be no systematic difference between the magnitudes of the power-off to power-on and power-on to power-off measurements. Any such differences may indicate a significant warm-up or shut-down time of the system under test and an alternative method of interrupting the transmitter voltage may be required. The difference between the power-off (time zero) and power-on (interpolated back to time zero) weights is then divided by the 68 g/mW conversion factor to get the uncorrected power measurement. Figure 5-9 illustrates the interpolation.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

Because the ultrasound beam is attenuated somewhat during travel to the target (due to fluid (Kaye & Laby, 14th ed.) and membrane attenuation), a correction factor must be applied to the measured value. Acoustic calibration of the balance over the **working frequency range** is required (see 4.4).

Averaging a number of measurements will produce more accurate results. In addition, the transducer under test shall be decoupled from the balance, recoupled, realigned and another series of measurements taken. The number of measurements between recouplings (N1) shall be five or more; the number of recouplings (N2), three or more. The average and standard deviation for each set of N1 readings shall be found, as shall the standard deviation between the N2 averages. The reported power shall be the average power of the N2 sets (see Appendix A).

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

5.6.1.1 NON-AUTOSCAN FORCE BALANCE PROCEDURE

A force balance procedure using the techniques described in the preceding section shall be used to measure **ultrasonic power**. Included in this **non-autoscan** procedure are **autoscan** and **combined operating modes** where the sweep of the beam has been arrested.

a. Calibrate balance and system per 4.4.
b. Determine the "worst-case" aperture of the imaging device.
c. Apply couplant to the transducer and couple it to the balance membrane such that the ultrasound beam strikes the target.

   Dimpling of the balance membrane is not necessary provided that sufficient couplant is maintained between the transducer and balance membrane. If possible, use the 2-D mode to verify the beam alignment. Mechanically secure the transducer in place.
d. Starting from power-off, take a weight reading and switch power on. Take weight readings at 10 and 20 seconds. Extrapolate back to the time zero power-off/power-on weight. Use the following equation to determine power:

   Power (mW) = (ABS(W2 - W1)/68) × C(f)

   where; W2 = power-off, time zero weight (μg)
   W1 = power-on, time zero weight (μg)
   C(f) = frequency dependent attenuation correction factor determined during balance calibration (Section 5.4.3)

   (5.6.1.1-1)
e. Repeat weight/power measurement N1 times where N1 ≥ 5. Find the average power and standard deviation of the set of values.
f. Decouple the transducer from the membrane, recouple and re-align.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

g. Repeat steps D - F a total of N2 times where N2 ≥ 3.

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992

5.6.1.2 AUTOSCAN FORCE BALANCE PROCEDURE

It is recommended that **autoscan** and **combined modes** be measured with the sweep arrested (where possible). This is to prevent errors due to the ultrasound beams not striking the target at the optimum angle. A special target specifically designed for the transducer in question, such that the incident ultrasound beams strike the target optimally, would otherwise be necessary.

More detailed information on power measurements using radiation force balances is contained in references (Carson and Banjavic, 1980; Fick et al., 1984; Preston, 1986.)

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

5.6.2 Alternate Power Measurement/Calculation Using a Hydrophone and Planar Scanning in Non-autoscan Mode

The **ultrasonic power**, W, may be measured for labeling purposes with a hydrophone instead of a radiation force balance. The accuracy and precision generally will not be as high as with the balance, but at relatively low powers, a higher uncertainty may be acceptable. A measurement of **ultrasonic power**, W_h, with the hydrophone, also is extremely useful for comparison with the balance-determined **ultrasonic power**, W_b, as an independent check on all the measurements and calibrations. In the absence of a hydrophone calibration, the measured power, W_h, in 5.6.2-3 below, may be replaced by W and the equation used to determine an effective hydrophone calibration factor, M_L, for the ultrasound frequency spectrum being measured.

The derivation of the planar scanning equations is the same for this power measurement of the system under test (the ultrasound system) as it is for hydrophone calibration; see Appendix I for that derivation. The scanning techniques and calculations also are similar to those for hydrophone calibration in Appendix I.

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

The source transducer and hydrophone shall be aligned and then the waveform recorded at the point of maximum PII for the waveform signal squared integral h(P),(5.6.2-1 and Equation I.5). The wavform signal squared integral must be calculated, or the waveform recorded, at each point, P, across the necessary number of beam profiles required to calculate the spatial signal-squared integral, H (5.6.2-2 and Equation I.7).

$$h(\bar{P}) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T V^2(P,t)\ dt \qquad (5.6.2\text{-}1)$$

where, V is the hydrophone output voltage and T is the **pulse repetition period**. In **autoscan** mode with a single pulse shape, T shall be replaced with the scan repetition period.

$$H_S = \int_S \int h\ (\bar{P})\ ds \qquad (5.6.2\text{-}2)$$

where S is the surface of a plane normal to the axis in the far field of the acoustic wave containing the maximum I_{SPPA}. The scan over the surface S shall include at least the region within which the PII exceeds 0.25% of its maximum value in that plane. The total hydrophone measured power, W_h, is:

$$W_h = \frac{1}{\rho c\ M_L{}^2(f_c)} H_S \qquad (5.6.2\text{-}3)$$

NEMA Standard 1-8-1992


When a hydrophone calibration is desired, $M_L(f_c)$ in 5.6.2-3 is an effective method. M_L (EFF), for the source field used in measuring H_S if W_h is replaced by the balance measured power W_b,

$$M_L{}^2(EFF) = \frac{1}{\rho c} (W_b)^{-1}\ H_S \qquad (5.6.2\text{-}4)$$

Authorized Engineering Information 1-8-1992

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale



TIME

FOR N = 5

$$\text{AVG POWER} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{5} \Delta W_i\right]\left[\frac{1}{68\ \mu g/MW}\right]\left[\frac{1}{5}\right][C(F)]^{*}$$

* C(F) = FREQUENCY DEPENDENT CORRECTION FACTOR

Figure 5-9
Radiation Force Balance On/Off Time Sequence

Illustration of an R.F.B measurement of one sequence of N = 5 cycles. Extrapolation technique is shown. Sequence should be repeated 3 or more times with the transducer recoupled each time.

NEMA UD*2 92 ■ 6470247 0501142 158 ■

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS

Not for Resale

Appendix A
STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

A1.1 BACKGROUND

This appendix provides the statistical basis for presenting output data. If only one measurement is supplied for each output variable, these statistics do not apply. Recommended numbers of measurements for determining system measurement error are given, as well as the effect of several measurements of each output parameter on the overall measurement error.

Statistical methods can be very helpful in allowing for the determination of the most probable value or values of a quantity from a limited group of data. That is, given an experiment and the resulting data, it is possible to assess which value is the most likely to occur if the experiment is repeated. Furthermore, the probable error of one observation and the uncertainty in the best estimate obtained can also be determined.

However, a statistical evaluation cannot improve the accuracy of a measurement. The laws of probability utilized by statistics operate only on random errors and not on systematic errors. The systematic errors must be small compared to the random errors if the results of the statistical evaluation are to be meaningful.

The need to estimate population parameters from sample data stems from the fact that it is too expensive and/or not feasible to enumerate complete populations to obtain the required information. Statistical estimation procedures provide the means to obtain estimates of population parameters with desired precision.

Statistics is concerned with the theory and methodology for drawing inferences that extend beyond the particular set of data examined. Sample data are observed (or measured) in order to make inferences or decisions about the populations from which the samples are drawn.

Two different types of estimates of population parameters are of interest: point estimates and interval estimates. A point estimate is a single number used as an estimate of an unknown population parameter such as the mean. Although any single point estimate is intended to be the true value, it will most likely deviate from it to some extent. It is thus necessary to have some measure of the error that might be involved in using this point estimate.

An interval estimate of a population parameter is a statement of two values between which we have some confidence that the (point estimate of the) parameter lies. There may be a great deal of confidence or very little confidence that the population parameter is included in the range of the interval estimate, so it may be necessary to attach some sort of probabilistic statement to the interval. The procedure used is confidence interval estimation and is an interval estimate of the (point estimate of the) population parameter.

A. Large Sample Size

When the sample size is large, the sampling distribution of the mean may be assumed to be normally (Gaussian) distributed, even if the population is not normally distributed. This results from the remarkable fact that for almost all types of population distributions, the sampling distribution is approximately normal for sufficiently large samples. This relationship between the shapes of the population distribution and the sampling distribution of the mean has been summarized in one of the most important theorems of statistical inference, the Central Limit Theorem which states: If a population distribution is nonnormal, the sampling distribution of the mean may be considered to be approximately normal for large samples.

Let us now consider some notation. Let N_p denote the population size. This could represent the total number of ultrasound systems that are manufactured in a particular series. For this population, let

μ_p denote the population mean and

σ_p denote the population standard deviation.

Now, let N_s denote the sample size which is less than the population size, that is, $N_s < N_p$. For this sample size, let

μ_s denote the sample mean and

σ_s denote the sample standard deviation.

These mean and standard deviation quantities represent some quantifiable characteristics of ultrasound systems. From the sample mean and sample standard deviation, an estimate of the population mean and population standard deviation can be made (assuming the estimates are unbiased) to be

$$\mu_p = \mu_s \qquad \text{(A1.1-1)}$$

$$\sigma_p = \sigma_s/\sqrt{N_s} \qquad \text{(A1.1-2)}$$

If $N_s > 30$, the interval of one sample standard deviation about the sample mean, that is $\mu_s \pm \sigma_s$, comprises 68.27% of the total area under the distribution. Thus, we can be confident of finding the sample mean 68.27% of the time + σ_s, that is, within the interval σs on either side of the mean μ_s. This percentage is called the confidence limit, and the value $\mu_s + \sigma_s$ is called the upper 68.27% confidence limit.

In general, the confidence limits for the population means are given by:

$$\mu_p \pm z_c \sigma_s/\sqrt{N_s} \qquad \text{(A1.1-3)}$$

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

where, for a 95% confidence interval, the confidence coefficient $z_c = 1.96$. That is, for a sample size of N_s, the interval

$$\mu_s + 1.96\,\sigma_s/\sqrt{N_s} \qquad \text{(A1.1-4)}$$

will include the true mean value, μs, 95% of the time.

B. Small Sample Size

The distinction between large and small sample sizes is important when the population standard deviation is unknown and therefore estimated from sample observations. For large sample sizes, the sample distribution is approximately normally distributed according to the Central Limit Theorem. Thus, the z_c statistic is normally distributed for large samples even if the population is not normally distributed. Even if the standard error of the mean is estimated from the sample standard deviation, the sampling distribution may be assumed to be a standard normal distribution for practical purposes.

For small sample sizes, the theoretically correct distribution is the t distribution. As noted in A-1(a), the z_c statistic represents a known standard error, because it is based on a known population standard deviation. For small sample sizes, the t statistic represents an estimated standard error because s is an estimator of the population standard deviation

$$s = \frac{\sqrt{\Sigma(x_i - \mu_\sigma)^2}}{N_s - 1} \qquad \text{(A1.1-5)}$$

and the number N_s-1 in the formula is referred to as the number of degrees of freedom. The symbols x_i represents the individual values.

NOTE: The t distribution has been derived mathematically under the assumption of a normally distributed population. Just as is true of the standard normal distribution, the t distribution is symmetrical and has a mean of zero. However, the standard deviation of the t distribution is greater than that of the normal distribution, but approaches the latter figure as the number of degrees of freedom, and therefore the sample size, becomes large. As a rule of thumb, for a sample size of more than 30, the standard normal distribution may appropriately be used as an approximation to the t distribution.

In general, confidence intervals for population means can be represented by

$$\mu s \pm t_c \sigma s/\sqrt{N_s} \qquad \text{(A1.1-6)}$$

where the values + t_c, called critical values or confidence coefficients, depend on the level of confidence desired and the sample size. For 95% confidence limits, Table A-1 provides the $t_{0.95}$ value as a function of the degrees of freedom (df), that is N_s-1, and sample size (N_s).

TABLE A-1

$t_{0.95}$ values (95% confidence limits) as a function of degrees of freedom (df = N_s-1) when N_s is the sample size.

N		t	N		t
df	s	0.95	df	s	0..95
1	2	12.71	19	20	2.09
2	3	4.30	20	21	2.09
3	4	3.18	21	22	2.08
4	5	2.78	22	23	2.07
5	6	2.57	23	24	2.07
6	7	2.45	24	25	2.06
7	8	2.36	25	26	2.06
8	9	2.31	26	27	2.06
9	10	2.26	27	28	2.05
10	11	2.23	28	29	2.05
11	12	2.20	29	30	2.04
12	13	2.18	30	31	2.04
13	14	2.16	40	41	2.02
14	15	2.14	60	61	2.00
15	16	2.13	120	121	1.98
16	17	2.12	Infinite		1.96
17	18	2.11			
18	19	2.10			

A2.1 IMPLEMENTATION

Acoustic quantities should be characterized and reported for a mean value + 95% confidence interval, and the number of samples utilized in obtaining the mean value. The confidence interval is based on the total uncertainty in the measurement process.

Two sources of error are considered in reporting the uncertainty of the quantity measured, viz., the uncertainty of the measurement system and the uncertainty of the diagnostic ultrasound systems. The uncertainty of the measurement system is assessed by a calibration procedure which is traceable either to the U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology Standard Reference Material 1855 (Ultrasonic Power Transducer Standard) or to the U.K. National Physical Laboratory's ultrasonic pressure standard. The uncertainty of the diagnostic ultrasound systems is assessed by measuring the appropriate labeling quantities of an adequate number of systems of the same series with the calibrated measurement system.

A. Measurement System Uncertainty - Ultrasonic Power

The measurement of ultrasound power is performed with a force balance, which shall be calibrated with

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

respect to a known power source traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology Ultrasound Power Transducer Standard. This reference source has a known output (denoted as Π) and a source uncertainty (denoted as E_3), viz., a 95% confidence interval which is expressed as a percentage relative to Π.

A minimum of ten independent measurements of power output of the U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology Ultrasonic Power Transducer Standard (or known power source traceability to the National Institute of Standards and Technology Ultrasonic Power Transducer Standard) should be performed at a minimum of two frequencies within the band width of the diagnostic ultrasound system to be calibrated. Independence is defined operationally to mean that the entire set-up, measurement and shut down procedures be utilized each and every time the measurement is performed. This requires a complete shut down of the measurement system in accordance with those adopted procedures for end-of-day shut down and start up of the measurement system in accordance with those adopted procedures at the start of the work day between each measurement.

The mean, μ_s, and standard deviation, σ_s, are then calculated for the (at least ten) values of the applicable ultrasonic quantity.

For the case when the ultrasonic quantity is power, error E_1 is calculated as the percentage deviation between the measured mean s of Ns samples, and the presumed known power, μ , as:

$$E_1 = \frac{\Pi}{\mu_s} \times 100 \qquad \text{(A2.1-1)}$$

This represents the percentage correction multiplier that should be used for each measurement to be performed using this system.

For example, Table A-2 lists ten independent measurements of a known power source traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology. The known ultrasound power is 1.0 W and the source uncertainty, provided by National Institute of Standards and Technology, is 4%. From Table A-2, the mean and standard deviation (from A1.1-5) are 1.024 W and 86 mW, respectively. The percentage correction multiplier, E_1, which should be applied to every power measurement made with the measurement system is (1.000/1.024) x 100 = 97.7%. In other words, each power measurement should be multiplied by 0.977 to correct for the bias in the measurement system.

Since the variation of these measurements is a function of the sample size, the uncertainty of the 10 measurements, in Table A-2, as represented by the standard deviation value, will not be used as represented here. Rather, the measurement system uncertainty will be incorporated into the total uncertainty after evaluating a set of diagnostic ultrasound systems.

TABLE A-2
Example for determining the error E_2 for repeated measurements (see A-9).

Actual Measurement	Corrected Measurement
0.95	0.928
0.94	0.918
1.02	0.996
0.90	0.879
1.08	1.055
1.12	1.094
1.11	1.084
1.09	1.064
0.93	0.908
1.10	1.074
mean = 1.024	mean = 1.000
S.D. = 0.086	S.D. = 0.084
E_2 = 1.96 (0.084)	(100)/(1.000 10) = 5.2%

Error E_2 represents the uncertainty of the measurement system. It includes random errors in the force balance system and random errors in the transducer positioning system. E_2, which is stated as a percentage in terms of a 95% confidence interval, is given by:

$$E_2 = \frac{1.96\sigma_s \times 100}{\mu_s} \qquad \text{(A2.1-2)}$$

for a single measurement.

When the system is used to make m repeated measurements of the same source output, the uncertainty can be reduced such that :

$$E_2 = \frac{1.96\,\sigma_s \times 100}{\mu_s \sqrt{m}} \qquad \text{(A2.1-3)}$$

for the mean of m repeated measurements.

The error E_3 denotes the source uncertainty as given by NBS for its source, that is 4% as stated above. Therefore, the overall measurement system uncertainty is given by:

Overall measurement uncertainty

$$= \sqrt{E_2^2 + E_3^2} \qquad \text{(A2.1-4)}$$

If you were to evaluate the overall measurement uncertainty of the known power source, then
E_2 = 1.96 (0.084) (100)/1.000$\sqrt{10}$ = 5.2%, E_3 = 4%
and $\sqrt{E_2^2 + E_3^2}$ = 6.6%.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

This value is not reported but may be useful for in-house routine evaluation of the measurement system.

B. Measurement System Uncertainty - Ultrasonic Pressure

The same procedure should be followed as that for determining the measurement system uncertainty for ultrasonic power. In this case, a minimum of ten independent measurements of a U.K. National Physical Laboratory's calibrated hydrophone for known ultrasonic pressure should be performed at a minimum of two frequencies within the band width of the diagnostic ultrasound system to be calibrated.

C. Diagnostic Ultrasound Systems Uncertainty

The number of diagnostic ultrasound systems of a particular equipment model that need to be measured with the calibrated measurement system should not be specified. In general, the numerical value of uncertainty of any quantity measured will be larger when fewer systems are measured.

The number of systems measured is denoted as N_s, the sample size. For whichever quantity is being determined, the mean, μ_s, and standard deviation, σ_s, are calculated from the N_s values. The 95% confidence limits are then calculated from A1.1-6 using $t_c = t_{0.95}$ for this measurement set from Table A-1. The percentage error E_4 of this measurement set is calculated as:

$$E_4 = \frac{t_{0.95_s}/\sqrt{N_s}}{\mu_s} \times 100 \qquad \text{(A2.1-5)}$$

D. How to Specify Mean and Uncertainty of Ultrasonic Power

The ultrasonic power of five ultrasound transducers ($N_s = 5$) is measured by the calibrated system to be 65, 98, 112, 85, and 74 mW (after correction by the E_1 term), yielding an overall mean of 87.0 mW and an overall standard deviation of 18.56 mW (see Example A-1). In this example, only one measurement each is made for each of the five transducers ($m = 1$ in A2.1-3).

To determine the 95% confidence limits, note that for five measurements (four degrees of freedom), the confidence coefficient from Table A-1, $t_{0.95}$, is 2.78. Example A-1 summarizes the results. Error $E_2 = 41.81\%$, error E_3 (a given) = 3% and Error $E_4 = 26.52\%$. The total uncertainty (U) of the measurement is

$$U = \sqrt{E_2^{\,2} + E_3^{\,2} + E_4^{\,2}} \qquad \text{(A2.1-6)}$$

which for this example yields 49.61%. In terms of units of mW for this example, the total uncertainty of the measurement is 43.2 mW. The ultrasonic power of the transducer will be reported as:

Ultrasonic Power = 87.0 + 43.2 mW (5) (A2.1-7)

where the number 5 in parenthesis represents the number of transducers measured.

Example A-2 includes two additional, independent measurements for each of the five ultrasonic transducers reported in Example A-1. In general, more than one measurement would be made for each transducer. In this case, the total uncertainty of the measurement is 24.0%.

Example A-3 includes seven additional, independently repeated measurements for each of the five transducers reported in Example A-2. In this example, the individual transducer means for the five transducers were approximately equal to those of Example A-2. For example, for transducer A in Example A-3, the individual transducer mean is 80.70 mW whereas for that in Example A-2, it is 80.67 mW. Likewise the overall means of the means were approximately equal at 87.5 mW for both Examples A-2 and A-3. The only initial difference between these examples is the number of independently repeated measurements for each transducer, viz., Example A-2, $m = 3$, and Example A-3, $m = 10$. By increasing the number of independent, repeated measurements, the total uncertainty of the measurement decreases from 24.0% to 18.3%.

To show the effect of the number of transducers evaluated, Examples A-3, A-4, and A-5 represent, respectively, 5, 4 and 3 diagnostic ultrasound systems. The same data were utilized for these three examples with the exception that in Example A-4, transducer E was deleted and in Example A-5, transducer D was also deleted. The overall mean of the means for these three examples remained relatively constant. The overall standard deviations tended to increase as the number of transducers (hence the number of measurements) decreased. Error E_2 tended to increase slightly as the number of transducers decreased. This increase resulted from the increasing overall standard deviation. Error E_4 increased markedly as the number of transducers decreased. This increase was due to two competing effects. The increasing value of the confidence coefficient as N_s decreased in the numerator and the decreasing square root of N_s in the denominator. There was also the influence of the increasing overall standard deviation in the numerator as N_s decreased.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Example A-1
N = 5 and m = 1

This example consists of m rows and N columns where N is the number of transducers measured and m is the number of repeated measurements for each of the N transducers

	A	B	C	D	E
	65.00	98.00	112.00	85.00	75.00
INDIVIDUAL TRANSDUCER MEANS:	65.00	98.00	112.00	85.00	75.00
OVERALL MEAN OF MEANS:			87.00		
OVERALL STANDARD DEVIATION:			18.56		
E2 = (1.96 × 18.56)/(87.00 × 1) =				41.81%	
E3 = 3% =				3.00%	
E4 = (2.78 × 18.56)/(87.00 × 2.236) =				26.52%	
U =				49.61%	

ULTRASONIC POWER = 87.00 + 43.2 mW (5)

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Example A-2
N = 5 and m = 3

This example consists of m rows and N columns where N is the number of transducers measured and m is the number of repeated measurements for each of the n transducers

	A	B	C	D	E
	65.00 83.00 94.00	98.00 73.00 77.00	112.00 99.00 85.00	85.00 101.00 87.00	75.00 95.00 84.00
INDIVIDUAL TRANSDUCER MEANS:	80.67	82.67	98.67	91.00	84.67
INDIVIDUAL TRANSDUCER STD. DEV.:	11.95	10.96	11.03	7.12	8.18
OVERALL MEAN OF MEANS:			87.53		
OVERALL STANDARD DEVIATION:			12.40		
E2 = (1.96 × 12.40)/(87.53 × 1.732) =					16.03%
E3 = 3% =					3.00%
E4 = (2.78 × 12.40)/(87.53 × 2.236) =					17.61%
U =					24.00%

ULTRASONIC POWER = 87.5 + 21.0 mW (5)

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Example A-3
N = 5 and m = 10

This example consists of m rows and N columns where N is the number of transducers measured and m is the number of repeated measurements for each of the n transducers

	A	B	C	D	E	
	65.00	98.00	112.00	85.00	75.00	
	83.00	73.00	99.00	101.00	95.00	
	94.00	77.00	85.00	87.00	84.00	
	85.00	91.00	98.00	75.00	84.00	
	66.00	74.00	106.00	88.00	80.00	
	99.00	88.00	103.00	95.00	91.00	
	74.00	83.00	78.00	105.00	86.00	
	78.00	79.00	89.00	93.00	95.00	
	80.00	89.00	111.00	86.00	88.00	
	83.00	75.00	105.00	95.00	68.00	
INDIVIDUAL TRANSDUCER MEANS:	80.70	82.70	98.60	91.00	84.60	
INDIVIDUAL TRANSDUCER STD. DEV.:	10.28	8.04	10.73	8.21	8.13	
OVERALL MEAN OF MEANS:			87.52			
OVERALL STANDARD DEVIATION:			11.36			
E2 = (1.96 × 11.36)/(87.52 × 3.162) =					8.05%	
E3 = 3% =						3.00%
E4 = (2.78 × 11.36)/(87.52 × 2.236) =						16.14%
U =						18.28%

ULTRASONIC POWER = 87.5 + 15.0 mW (5)

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Example A-4

N = 4 and m = 10

This example consists of m rows and N columns where N is the number of transducers measured and m is the number of repeated measurements for each of the n transducers

	A	B	C	D
	65.00	98.00	112.00	85.00
	83.00	73.00	99.00	101.00
	94.00	77.00	85.00	87.00
	85.00	91.00	98.00	75.00
	66.00	74.00	106.00	88.00
	99.00	88.00	103.00	95.00
	74.00	83.00	78.00	105.00
	78.00	79.00	89.00	93.00
	80.00	89.00	111.00	86.00
	83.00	75.00	105.00	95.00
INDIVIDUAL TRANSDUCER MEANS:	80.70	82.70	98.60	91.00
INDIVIDUAL TRANSDUCER STD. DEV.:	10.28	8.04	10.73	8.21
OVERALL MEAN OF MEANS:			88.25	
OVERALL STANDARD DEVIATION:			11.93	
E2 = (1.96 × 11.93)/(88.25 × 3.162) =				8.38%
E3 = 3% =				3.00%
E4 = (3.18 × 11.93/(88.25 × 2.0) =				21.49%
U =				23.26%

ULTRASONIC POWER = 88.3 + 20.5 mW (4)

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Example A-5
N = 3 and m = 10

This example consists of m rows and N columns where N is the number of transducers measured and m is the number of repeated measurements for each of the n transducers

	A	B	C	
	65.00	98.00	112.00	
	83.00	73.00	99.00	
	94.00	77.00	85.00	
	85.00	91.00	98.00	
	66.00	74.00	106.00	
	99.00	88.00	103.00	
	74.00	83.00	78.00	
	78.00	79.00	89.00	
	80.00	89.00	111.00	
	83.00	75.00	105.00	
INDIVIDUAL TRANSDUCER MEANS:	80.70	82.70	98.60	
INDIVIDUAL TRANSDUCER STD. DEV.:	10.28	8.04	10.73	
OVERALL MEAN OF MEANS:			87.33	
OVERALL STANDARD DEVIATION:			12.83	
E2 = (1.96 × 12.83)/(87.33 × 3.162) =				9.11%
E3 = 3% =				3.00%
E4 = (4.30 × 12.83/(87.33 × 1.732) =				36.47%
U =				37.41%

ULTRASONIC POWER = 87.3 + 32.9 mW (4)

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS

Not for Resale

Appendix B
NONLINEAR EFFECTS

B1.1 NONLINEAR WAVEFORM DISTORTION

The observed behavior of ultrasonic pressure waveforms in water generally cannot be explained on the basis of linear acoustics. For example, Figure B-1 shows measurements of pressure waveforms at three frequencies. Each measurement was made at the far field transition length distance, $d^2/4$, where d is the diameter of the circular unfocused transducer and λ is acoustic wavelength. The ultrasonic power emitted by the transducer is 800 mW in each case, but the waveforms indicate a progressive distortion from a sinusoid shape as frequency is increased. As frequency and distance increase, the pressure waveforms become asymmetric so that the compressional half-cycles (at the bottoms of pictures of Figure B-1) evolve into sharp peaks and the (top) rarefactional half-cycles become more shallow, rounded and extended in time. These distortions are a natural consequence of the nonlinear propagation of pressure waves in water under these conditions. Finite amplitude or nonlinear effects require special care and adequate instrumentation in ultrasonic output measurement.

As evident from Figure B-1, the compressional and rarefaction half-cycles need each be accounted for separately as measurement quantities. The notations, p_c for peak compressional pressure, and pr for peak rarefaction pressure, are used rather than the designations, p_+ and p_-, respectively. The reason this notation is used is that the polarity shown on a video display of the compressional half-cycles for a display may be either positive or negative, depending on the internal lead attachments of the hydrophone and/or a possible 180° inversion by the associated amplifier (Harris, 1988). For example, the waveforms in Figure B-1 appear inverted.

A simplified explanation of nonlinear effects is that as a pressure waveform propagates through water, compressional and rarefactional pressures travel at different effective speeds and phases. Ultrasonic waveform distortions increase with distance from the transducer, strength of focusing gain and frequency as well as transducer drive level, as explained more fully in the next section. More information about nonlinear effects can be found in Carstensen and Muir (1986) and Bacon (1984).

The asymmetric pressure waveforms associated with nonlinear effects contain harmonic frequencies that exceed the radiating transducer's bandpass and center frequencies; consequently, a wide bandwidth hydrophone system meeting the minimum requirements of 3.3.2 may be necessary to faithfully reproduce the pressure waveform. These harmonics, observed through a spectrum analyzer or spectral analysis of the hydrophone voltage waveform, can be used as indicators of the severity of nonlinearity. A sinusoidal pressure wave undergoing maximum finite amplitude distortion eventually evolves into a sawtooth shaped waveform under idealized conditions neglecting diffraction. For this sawtooth, harmonic frequency amplitudes are proportional to 1/m where m is the harmonic (integer) multiple of the center frequency (Blackstock, 1965). This result is a simplification of reality in which diffraction and focusing modify the harmonic relationships. Inadequate hydrophone bandwidth may only pass the fundamental frequency and only a few harmonics, resulting in an underestimation of actual pressure levels.

Because of the redistribution of frequencies in transducer beams affected by nonlinearities, spatial beam characteristics also may change. For example, for severe nonlinearities, narrowing of the beam width can occur. The locations of the peak values of pressure, p_c and p_r, change in different ways from the linear range spatial peak location according to drive level applied and the focusing configuration (Duck and Starritt, 1986). These deviations from linear conditions require special care in ultrasonic output measurement.

Because of nonlinear effects, peak pressure values cannot be used to accurately estimate temporal average intensity. Other waveform features are more appropriate for hydrophone calibration (see 4.6 and 4.8 and Corbett, 1988). Nonlinear waveform distortion and its significance in altering conditions for onset of cavitation is a topic of current research (Ayme' et al., 1987); see Appendix G.

B2.1 NONLINEARITY PROPAGATION PARAMETER

The degree of nonlinearity of a waveform can be evaluated by the nonlinearity propagation parameter, σ_m. For Figure B-1, for example, the cases shown represent a range of σ_m from 0.18 to 0.62.


For the far field of unfocused transducers, (Bacon, 1984) σ_m is:

$$\sigma_m = \frac{\rho\omega 2\pi r_o p_o}{\rho c^3} \sinh^{-1}(z/r_o) \qquad \text{(B2.1-1)}$$


where β is the nonlinearity parameter ($\beta = 3.5$ for pure water at 20°C), ω is the angular frequency, $\omega = 2\pi f_c$, z is the distance from the face of the transducer, ρ is the density and c is the sound speed (of the undisturbed medium water, for example), p_o is the source pressure

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

A.



B.



C.




Figure B-1
Measurements of Waveforms At Three Frequencies

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

at the face of the transducer, and r_o is the Rayleigh length, $r_o = d^2/4$.

For focused transducers, (Bacon, 1984) σ_m is defined as:

$$\sigma_m = \frac{\beta \omega z p_m}{\rho c^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{F_g - 1}} \ln[\sqrt{F_g - 1} + \sqrt{F_g}] \quad \text{(B2.1-2)}$$

where p_m is the mean peak cycle acoustic pressure at the point in the acoustic field corresponding to the spatial peak temporal peak acoustic pressure at axial distance z, and F_g is 0.69 times the ratio of the geometrical area of the ultrasonic transducer to the -6 dB beam area at z.

NOTE: The equation given above is applicable to ultrasonic fields in which $F_g > 2.1$.

B3.1 NONLINEAR REGIONS

As mentioned above, the propagation of ultrasound in water at the acoustic pressure and frequencies frequently encountered in ultrasonic field is not a linear process, and the shape of the acoustic pulse waveform often approximates a sawtooth. In diffractive fields, enhanced differences exist between the peak positive acoustic pressure and the peak negative acoustic pressure. To determine the significance of the distortion in the characterization of an ultrasonic field, the degree of nonlinear propagation can be predicted by calculation of the nonlinear propagation parameter, σ_m.

The following regimes may be defined:

(1) $\sigma_m < 0.5$: Little nonlinear distortion has occurred. The amplitude at the center frequency differs by less than 5% from the value in the absence of nonlinear effects.

(2) $0.5 < \sigma_m < 1.5$: Considerable nonlinear distortion has occurred. A broadband hydrophone should be used with a sensitivity which varies by less than 3 dB over the frequency range up to three octaves above the center frequency. The amplitude in a one-half octave band centered at the center frequency would differ from its value in the absence of nonlinear effects by between 5% and 25%.

(3) $\sigma_m < 1.5$: Considerable nonlinear distortion and also loss in energy from the wave due to shock propagation has occurred. A broadband hydrophone should be used as in (2) above. The amplitude in a one-half octave band centered at the center frequency would differ by more than 25% from the value in the absence of nonlinear effects.

These regions and the σ_m parameter provide guidelines for estimating the degree of distortion. For transient wide band acoustic pulses from diagnostic equipment, the application of these guidelines should be viewed with caution. Differences in pressures and intensity measurements from linear expectations may be significant even for $\sigma_m < 0.5$ if inadequate instrumentation is used. For situations in which ultrasonic parameters can be controlled, such as calibration, these guidelines may be helpful in identifying the variables affecting nonlinear effects and the amount by which they might be changed in order to reduce distortion.

--`,,`,`-`-`,,`,,`,`,,`---

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS

Not for Resale

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Appendix C
DIRECTIVITY OF HYDROPHONE

Ideally, a hydrophone should sample an acoustic field without spatially averaging it significantly. Because of the wide range of measurement situations, commercially available probes cannot always meet this ideal. Spatial averaging effects results when the effective size of the hydrophone's active element is too large. The effective size can be found experimentally from a measurement of the hydrophone's directional response, or directivity pattern.

To perform a directional response measurement, the hydrophone is positioned in the far field of a single element circular ultrasonic transducer, operated by using tone burst pulses of at least 15 cycles at the approximate frequency for which the directional response is required. (This frequency is normally the center frequency of the diagnostic source transducer to be characterized.) The hydrophone is aligned for maximum received signal first by translating it normally to the beam axis (i.e., z axis). Then, while keeping the hydrophone centered on this point, it is rotated about the two axes passing through the plane of the hydrophone's active element.

Measurements of the received signal as a function of angular rotation of the hydrophone are made using one of the two rotation axes that pass through the plane of the active element (i.e., the x and y axes). The directional response is determined by dividing the received signal at a particular angle by the maximum received signal.

The effective hydrophone radius a_e in mm of the active element is determined by inserting the measured half angle of the directivity function at the -3 dB and -6 dB points into the theoretical directivity function of a uniform, circular receiver and calculating the two values a_e. For circular hydrophone apertures of radius a_e, incident waves of wavelength, λ, and measured half angle, δ, the pressure directivity function is:

$$\frac{2J_1[(2\pi a_e/\lambda)\,\mathrm{Sin}\delta 1]}{(2\pi a_e/\lambda)\,\mathrm{Sin}\,\delta} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{ for } -3\text{dB} \\ \frac{1}{2} \text{ for } -6\text{dB} \end{cases} \qquad \text{(C-1)}$$

where J_1 is a first order Bessel function of the first kind. If δ_3 and δ_6 are the measured half angles at the -3 dB and -6 dB points, respectively, then the corresponding effective radii, $^{a}e_3$ and $^{a}e_6$, are

$$a_{e_3} = \frac{1.62\lambda}{2\pi \mathrm{Sin}\,\delta 3} \text{ and } a_{e_6} = \frac{2.22\,\lambda}{2\pi \mathrm{Sin}\,\delta 6} \qquad \text{(C-2)}$$

The effective hydrophone radius a_e is determined from the arithmetic mean of $^{a}e_3$ and $^{a}e_6$. (Note: If in practice the -6 dB point occurs at an angle greater than 30°, then $^{a}e_3$ may be taken as the effective hydrophone radius.)

In the case of a hydrophone that is constructed such that it has a non-circular active element, it is still possible to use this procedure to determine its approximate effective dimension in any specified direction. In this case, the rotational axis used for the directional response measurements would be normal to the direction of interest.

Alternatively, for rectangular hydrophones with sides g = b or c, a similar procedure can be adopted by using the theoretical directivity function of a uniform, rectangular receiver. A linear scan is made along each of the coordinate axes aligned parallel to the edges of the hydrophone. Effective aperture sizes $g_e = b_e$ or c_e can be determined by the appropriate relationships below. When:

$$\frac{\mathrm{Sin}(\pi\, g_e\, \mathrm{Sin}\,\delta/\lambda)}{(\pi\, g_e\, \mathrm{Sin}\,\delta/\lambda)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{ for } -3dB \\ \frac{1}{2} \text{for} -6dB \end{cases} \qquad \text{(C-3)}$$

$$\text{Then, } g_{e_3} = \frac{0.443\,\lambda}{\mathrm{Sin}\,\delta 3} \qquad \text{(C-4)}$$

$$\text{and, } g_{e_6} = \frac{0.603\lambda}{\mathrm{Sin}\,\delta 6} \qquad \text{(C-5)}$$

Equations 3.3.3-1 and 3.3.3-2 in Section 3 are used in choosing the effective hydrophone diameter, d_e. Table C-1 gives d_e dimensions (in mm) for z/d_s ratios from 2 to 10 and center frequencies from 1 MHz to 10 MHz.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Table C-1
Effective Hydrophone Diameter Values From Equation 3.3.3-1

Frequency (MHz)	z/d_s =	d_c in mm				
		2	4	6	8	10
1.0		1.5	3.0	4.5	6.0	7.5
2.5		0.60	1.2	1.8	2.4	3.0
3.5		0.43	0.86	1.3	1.7	2.1
5.0		0.30	0.60	0.90	1.2	1.5
7.5		0.20	0.40	0.60	0.80	1.0
10		0.15	0.30	0.45	0.60	0.75

NOTE: A less conventional but still useful approach for finding directional response characteristics of hydrophones is based on broadband pulse rather than tone burst measurements (Harris and Shombert, 1985). In this method, a pulse spectrum obtained from a hydrophone oriented at two different angles with respect to the beam axis is used to calculate an effective radius.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Appendix D
ACOUSTICAL OUTPUT QUANTITIES IN WATER AND IN TISSUE MODELS: DERATED VALUES

In this standard it is specified that the acoustical output parameters of interest are to be measured in water. Rationale for this requirement is presented in Appendix L. However, calculations and measurement procedures should be carried out in order to estimate the in-situ derated quantities for all appropriate parameter values, as discussed below.

D1.1 TISSUE MODELS

In clinical practice it would be desirable to know what intensities actually exist *in situ*, i.e., at points of interest in the patient's body during an ultrasound examination. Since it is not feasible to measure *in situ* values, they may be estimated on the basis of the best available information. The general process of estimating these values is called derating and the estimates are called derated values.

Such estimates are necessarily rough and uncertain because of the wide variations in attenuation of the acoustic beam which occur in different clinical situations. Adding to the problem is the fact that acoustical measurements in water may be greatly influenced by nonlinear propagation, while effects of nonlinearity in tissue are uncertain.

In one class of models for estimating *in situ* values, the body tissue is assumed to be homogeneous with an attenuation coefficient equal to an average of values measured in "soft tissue" (e.g., liver, spleen, brain) in laboratory experiments. (These homogeneous-tissue models are simple conceptually although - as will be seen - they present some difficulties in implementation.) One supposes that a source transducer transmits an ultrasound beam of frequency f in the z direction into either water or tissue, the output power being the same for both situations. A derating factor ϕ at any point in the medium is then defined as follows:

$$\text{derated intensity} = \phi \times \text{intensity in water} \qquad \text{(D1.1-1)}$$

For the peak rarefactional pressure, p_r, the corresponding relationship is:

$$\text{derated } p_r = \phi^{0.5} \times p_r \text{ in water} \qquad \text{(D1.1-2)}$$

The following equation has been used (CDRH, 1985) to calculate the factor ϕ for a specific homogeneous-tissue model:

$$\phi = e^{-0.23 \times 0.3 \times f \times z} = e^{-0.069fz} \qquad \text{(D1.1-3)}$$

where 0.23 is the conversion factor from decibels to nepers, 0.3 is the assumed tissue attenuation coefficient of 0.3 dB/cm-MHz, f is the ultrasonic frequency in MHz and z is the distance (in cm) of propagation through the attenuating medium. Suppose, for example, that f is 3 MHz and that an intensity of 120 mW/cm^2 is produced in water at a propagation distance z of 5 cm. From D1.1-3 we find that ϕ is 0.355; hence (by D1.1-1) the corresponding derated intensity is:

$$0.355 \times 120 = 43 \text{ mW/cm}^2. \qquad \text{(D1.1-4)}$$

Even for a homogeneous-medium soft-tissue model, possibilities for error exist because of uncertainties in the attenuation coefficient for tissue. Another expression which has been used for ϕ based on the value of the attenuation coefficient of 0.435 dB/cm-MHz (NCRP, 1983), is:

$$\phi = e^{-0.1fz}; \qquad \text{(D1.1-5)}$$

here f and z have the same meanings as in D1.1-3. As expected, for given values of f and z, D1.1-5 leads to smaller values of ϕ (and, hence, smaller values of the *in situ* intensity for a given value of the intensity in water) than does D1.1-3, that is, 27 mW/cm^2 under the same conditions as that of D1.1-4.

Of course, tissue is not really homogeneous; an ultrasound beam passes through media of differing acoustical properties as it propagates through the human body. Primary features of a given type of clinical situation can be taken into account by using a model specific to the situation.

For fetal scanning a "worst-case" model has been proposed (NCRP, 1983; Carson, 1988) in which the medium between the source and the fetus consists of several parallel layers. One of the layers is composed of liquid (urine in the bladder) in which the acoustic attenuation is assumed negligible; the thickness of this layer varies from patient to patient. The other layers cause a total attenuation which does not vary greatly between patients and, for simplicity, is assumed to be the same for all. For different patients the focal length used will depend on the thickness (say, L) of the liquid but the total attenuation is assumed independent of L. For this model the factor ϕ does not vary with distance z and, instead of D-3 or D-5, we have:

$$\phi = e^{-0.23 \times 0.75 \times f} = e^{-0.17f}. \qquad \text{(D1.1-6)}$$

Equation D-6 has been proposed specifically for fetal scanning during the second trimester (Carson et. al., 1989). It may be noted that values of ϕ from D1.1-6 are the same as those from D1.1-5 when z = 1.7 cm. (This agrees with estimates of a minimum value for the total thickness of solid-tissue layers in recent studies by Carson et. al., 1989. For the same situation considered

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale




Figure D-1
Pulse-average Intensity Versus Distance From A Spherically Focused Pulsed Transducer

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

above, where the frequency is 3 MHz and the intensity in water at z = 5 cm is 120 mW/cm^2, one obtains 0.60 for ϕ and 72 mW/cm^2 for the derated intensity.

If the model proposed by D1.1-6 were used, the labeling quantities could be designated by the subscript addition ".F7" (for an assumed fixed attenuation of 0.75 dB/MHz) as, for example, $I_{SPTA.F7}$.

In general, the acoustical field in the body is complicated and cannot be related to the field in water by any existing simple model. The velocity of sound and the density vary along all three coordinates; this causes refraction and reflection of the ultrasound beam and, consequently, changes in spatial patterns of the acoustic pressure and intensity. Shadowing and diffraction caused by structures produce further nonuniformity in the acoustic field.

Another important complication in relating acoustical parameters measured in water to the values these parameters have in a patient's body, arises from the distortion associated with nonlinear propagation. As explained in Appendix B, nonlinear propagation affects the shape of the waveform and, correspondingly, its frequency content. The extent of the distortion depends on acoustical properties of the medium as well as on the intensity level of the ultrasound beam. Because most tissues are much more highly absorbing than water, intensity levels (for a given source level) are correspondingly lower, and the distortion is typically much less in tissue than water. Since the expressions for ϕ in D1.1-3, D1.1-5, and D1.1-6 are based on the assumption of linearity, they do not apply when the water values are influenced by nonlinear propagation.

In spite of the difficulties discussed above, it is useful to choose a model whose acoustical properties roughly approximate those of body tissues. Although crude, the model has been found to provide a meaningful basis for comparing different exposures at a particular biological site.

D2.1 DETERMINATION OF DERATED QUANTITIES WHEN A HOMOGENEOUS-TISSUE MODEL IS USED

The procedures required for determining the derated quantities required for labeling depend on the tissue model selected. For a homogeneous-tissue model the derating procedures are described in Section 5. The derating calculations for any model are based on D1.1-1 and D1.1-2. For the illustrative procedures described in Section 5, the derating factor ϕ is given by D1.1-3. The latter equation comes from an assumption that the amplitude attenuation coefficient is 0.3 dB/cm-MHz (equivalent to 0.0345 Np/cm-MHz). The symbols $p_{r.3}$, $I_{SPTA.3}$, and $I_{SPPA.3}$ were chosen to serve as reminders of this assumption. Equations D1.1-1, D1.1-2, and D1.1-3 (with f equated to the center frequency, fc) are equivalent to the derating expressions specified in Sections 5.4.8, 5.4.10, 5.4.19, and 5.4.21.

A complication arises when using the homogeneous-tissue model since attenuation not only reduces the acoustic intensity and pressure, but also changes their spatial distributions. An example, obtained from computations, is shown in Figure D-1. In these plots the ordinates give the intensity (scaled to a maximum of unity) which, for definiteness, is chosen to be the pulse average intensity. The intensity is plotted versus distance for a pulsed focused beam along the axis of a spherically curved source of diameter 1 cm, frequency 5 MHz and radius of curvature 4.2 cm. [These results are based on linear theory, such as that discussed for CW focused beams by Kossoff, 1979.] It is assumed that the pulsing produces a spectral intensity distribution given by $\exp(-x^2/2)$, where x is equal to (f-5)/2. The solid (upper) curve applies when the propagation medium is water, in which the attenuation is assumed negligible. For the dotted (lower) curve the ordinate is the derated intensity, calculated with ϕ given by D1.1-3 (with f= f_c). Since f_c is 5 MHz one obtains:

$$\phi = \exp^{-0.345z} \qquad \text{(D2.1-7)}$$

For example, at z ϕ= 3.0 cm, the ordinate of the upper curve is 0.75, the factor is 0.355 and the corresponding ordinate of the lower curve is 0.267.

In the upper curve, the peak occurs at z_m. This intensity peak is the spatial peak pulse average intensity I_{SPPA}. Comparing the lower curve with the upper one, it is seen that a peak occurs here also, the derated spatial peak pulse average intensity $I_{SPPA.3}$. However, the latter peak occurs at a value of z less than z_m. Furthermore, $I_{SPPA.3}$ is greater than I , the derated intensity (ϕ I_{SPPA}) at z_m. Specifically, a calculation shows that $I_{SPPA.3}/I$ is equal to (0.304/0.289), i.e., 1.05 in Figure D-1. Thus, if $I_{SPPA.3}$ were to be approximated as ϕ I_{SPPA}, the value determined would be too small by 5%. (Even when the error is small, as in this example, the procedure in Section 5.4.8. should be followed.)

Figure D-1 applies to the field of a "piston-type" transducer, all of whose surface elements vibrate with equal amplitude and phase. In modern instruments annular arrays are often used, whose elements are concentric rings which can be driven independently. Filipczynski and Etienne (1973) have discussed the acoustic field of a focused annular-array transducer for which the surface vibration amplitude decreases with distance from its center according to a Gaussian function.

In Figure D-2, the plots are similar to those in Figure D-1, but are for a focused pulsed annular-array transducer. Its radius of curvature is 6 cm and its center

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

frequency is 5 MHz. Driven in a pulsed mode, its spectral distribution is assumed to be Gaussian as for Figure D-1, but narrower, the quantity x being (f-5)/1.25. Its ring elements are so driven that the amplitude at a distance r (in centimeters) from the center is proportional to $\exp(-r^2/0.16)$.

Examining Figure D-2, we see that the lower curve, for the derated intensity, is very different form the upper one in that the peak is reduced and is very broad. Here the ratio $I_{SPTA.3}/I$ is 1.19, larger than in Figure D-1. For this situation the procedure specified in Section 5.4.8 will clearly yield a more accurate determination of $I_{SPPA.3}$.

In general, weighted aperture transducers have extended depths-of-field which can exaggerate axial peak shifts under *in situ* conditions.

In still other situations, such as rectangular apertures, there may be multiple peaks along the axis, such that a peak which is of secondary magnitude in water becomes the primary peak after derating, Szabo et al. (1988). A form of the procedure in Section 5.4.8 is then required in order to locate the highest of the derated peaks.

D3.1 CALCULATION OF DERATED QUANTITIES USING A FIXED-ATTENUATION MODEL

In the "worst-case" model which has been proposed for applications to fetal scanning, the derating factor ϕ is given by D1.1-6, i.e., by $e^{-0.17f}$. For fixed-attenuation models of this kind, the procedures for determining derated quantities are much simpler than for homogeneous-tissue models. This may be seen by considering Figures D-1 and D-2, which show water-values (upper curve) and derated values (lower curve) of the pulse-average intensity plotted versus position z. Since a z-dependent expression for ϕ was used in calculations for the lower curves, the peaks occur at different positions than for the upper curves, as was noted earlier. However, for a fixed-attenuation model, ϕ does not vary with z. Hence, the spatial peak of the derated quantity occurs at the same position as the spatial peak of the corresponding water value. For the specific model represented by D1.1-6, and for the frequency (5 MHz) to which Figure D-1 and Figure D-2 apply, the derating factor is given by:

$$\phi = e^{-0.85} = 0.43 \qquad \text{(D3.1-8)}$$

If a third curve were added to each of Figures D-1 and D-2, showing the pulse-average intensity derated according to Eq. D1.1-6, it would be identical to the upper curve except that all ordinates would be reduced by the factor 0.43. In particular, $I_{SPPA.F7}$ would be equal to 0.43 I_{SPPA} and the two peaks would occur at the same position in each figure. In addition, $I_{SPTA.F7}$ would be equal to 0.43 I_{SPPA} at the same frequency (5 MHz) and, again, the two peaks would occur at the same position. Since $\phi\ 0^5$ is 0.66 in this example, the derated compressional peak $p_{r.F7}$ is equal to 0.66 p_r.

Other simplifications in procedures when using a fixed-attenuation model come from the fact that the operating conditions for the derated labeling quantities are the same as those for the corresponding quantities measured in water.

It is seen from the examples in Figures D-1 and D-2 (and many others) that the "derated" quantities are lower than the corresponding "water" values. This reflects the fact that expected values of acoustical pressures and intensities in the patient's body, i.e., *in situ* values, are lower than the values measured in water. It should be realized, however, that the "derated" quantities are, by no means, to be considered as true *in situ* values. While the latter may often be closer to the (calculated) derated values than to the measured values in water, the difference may be small, and the opposite may sometimes be true. (The latter possibilities would be realized at the higher ranges of f and z if the derated quantities were calculated from D1.1-3 or D1.1-5, for clinical situations that are better represented by D1.1-6. For example, suppose D1.1-6 gives the correct result for an application where f is 5 MHz and z is 7 cm. For these conditions ϕ is 0.30 from D1.1-6, 0.089 from D1.1-3 and 0.030 from D1.1-5. Then the water values of intensity and acoustic pressure are 5.2 dB too high, while the derated value from D1.1-3 is 5.3 dB too low and that from D1.1-5 is 10 dB too low). In general, the actual *in situ* levels will usually fall far outside the 95% confidence limits for either the measured or the derated quantities.

It is believed that, to informed users, the availability of both the measured and the derated quantities will be found helpful.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale




Figure D-2
Pulse-average Intensity Distance From A Gaussian Focused Pulsed Transducer

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS

Not for Resale

Appendix E
WATER DEGASSING PROCEDURE

Obtaining large volumes of degassed water involves the use of a centrifical pump and a standard 2000 watt circulation heater. The pump and circulation heater are connected in series with the heater connected on the outward flow from the pump. The inward flow side of the pump is connected with very strong tubing to the lowest portion of the measurement tank. On the far side of the heater a comparably strong tubing is connected to the topmost portion of the measurement tank just immediately below the normal water level. The length of the tube from the water tank to the inward flow side of the pump should be approximately six feet and the tube length returning the water from the heater to the tank should also be approximately six feet.

The measurement tank is filled with tap water which has passed through a deionization process by an ion exchange resin. With the measurement tank filled with water, the pump and heater are turned on. The water degassing process may take upwards to three hours from the initial filling of water. The degassing process occurs by virtue of the high speed, high-volume pump pulling the water from the measurement tank in such a way that the water within the tube between the measurement tank and the inflow to the pump is at an extremely low pressure. Within this tube outgassing of water generally occurs. The water passes through the pump and through the heater and returns at the uppermost portion of the water level within the tank.

This arrangement allows for the control of the water temperature with the circulation heater. The continuous flow of water through the pump heater system maintains adequate degassing. Fresh water can be added to the system at any time. In general, the water should be completely replaced once a week.

The oxygen content of freshly degassed water should be approximately 1 ppm or less. This can be checked conveniently by using a colorimetric technique. As gas content increases, it is possible that microbubbles could form on the hydrophone and source surfaces, as well as radiation force targets, thus perturbing the ultrasonic field and measurements. Although little effect of increasing gas content on hydrophone measurements in a pulsed field has been reported (Harris, 1988), it still would be prudent to keep the dissolved gas concentration as low as practical (< 5-8 ppm O_2), and the use of wetting agents may be advisable as well. (Note that if for any reason ultrasonic powers of greater than 10 watts are being measured, the oxygen concentration should be kept below 4 ppm.)

One simple way to extend the time over which a water bath remains degassed is to place an air barrier on the water surface (e.g., kitchen plastic wrap or hollow plastic spheres).

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Appendix F
COMBINED MODE WORKSHEET EXAMPLES

Here, a method for reporting acoustic output parameters is included as a suggested format for recording acoustic parameters with a system operating in combined modes. Notice that the I_{SPTA} for the combined mode of B/M mode is greater than that for either B-Mode or M-Mode separately.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Table F-1
Associated Quantities (WATER)

ULTRASOUND CONSOLE: Sono-Widget
TRANSDUCER ASSEMBLY: 3.5 Linear
TRANSDUCER TYPE: Linear
OPERATING MODE: Real Time B-Mode

ASSOCIATED QUANTITIES FOR CONDITIONS OF MAXIMUM ISPPA (GM)	UNITS	MEAN	+ OR - DEVIATION	NUMBER OF SAMPLES
CENTER FREQUENCY	MHz	3.6	.4	6
PULSE DURATION	cm	2.6	.2	6
ENTRANCE BEAM DIMENSION (X DIRECTION)	cm	2.5	N/A	N/A
ENTRANCE BEAM DIMENSION (Y DIRECTION)	cm	1.2	N/A	N/A
FOCAL LENGTH	cm	5.2	.6	6
DEPTH OF FOCUS	cm	1.2	N/A	N/A
FOCAL AREA	cm^ 2	2	.12	6
FOCAL SURFACE DIMENSION (X DIRECTION)	cm	4	.06	6
FOCAL SURFACE DIMENSION (Y DIRECTION)	cm	6	.08	6
PULSE REPETITION FREQUENCY	Hz	3840	N/A	N/A
FOCUSING METHOD		Electrically in Y and Mechanically in X		
METHOD FOR DIRECTING ULTRASOUND FIELD*		Linear Array		
SCAN CROSS-SECTIONAL AREA*	cm^ 2	120	N/A	N/A
SCAN ENTRANCE DIMENSION (X DIRECTION)*	cm	1.2	N/A	N/A
SCAN ENTRANCE DIMENSION (Y DIRECTION)*	cm	10	N/A	N/A
SCAN FORMAT (X DIMENSION)*		Rectangular		
SCAN FORMAT (Y DIMENSION)*	cm	10	N/A	N/A
SCAN FORMAT (Z DIMENSION)*	cm	12	N/A	N/A
SCAN REPETITION FREQUENCY*	cm	30	N/A	N/A
ULTRASOUND TRANSMISSIONS PER LINE*		1	N/A	N/A
ULTRASOUND TRANSMISSIONS PER SCAN*		125	N/A	N/A
TIME OF SINGLE FRAME SCAN*	msec	33.3	N/A	N/A
RATE OF SCAN PLANE TRANSLATION**	cm/sec	N/A	N/A	N/A

* FOR AUTO SCANNING MODES ONLY

** FOR MULTI-PLANE SCANNING MODES ONLY

OPERATING MODE DESCRIPTION FOR MAXIMUM ISSPTA 30 frames per second, 32 lines per frame, focal zone 1

OPERATING MODE DESCRIPTION FOR MAXIMUM ISPPA 30 frames per second, 128 lines per frame, focal zone 6

	ISPTA	ISPPA
Z (cm)	2.0	5.2
fc (MHz)	3.5	3.6

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Table F-2
Associated Quantities (WATER)

ULTRASOUND CONSOLE: Sono-Widget
TRANSDUCER ASSEMBLY: 3.5 Linear
TRANSDUCER TYPE: Linear
OPERATING MODE: M-Mode

ASSOCIATED QUANTITIES FOR CONDITIONS OF MAXIMUM ISPPA (GM)	UNITS	MEAN	+ OR - DEVIATION	NUMBER OF SAMPLES
CENTER FREQUENCY	MHz	3.6	.4	6
PULSE DURATION	cm	2.6	.2	6
ENTRANCE BEAM DIMENSION (X DIRECTION)	cm	2.5	N/A	N/A
ENTRANCE BEAM DIMENSION (Y DIRECTION)	cm	1.2	N/A	N/A
FOCAL LENGTH	cm	5.2	.6	6
DEPTH OF FOCUS	cm	1.2	N/A	N/A
FOCAL AREA	cm^ 2	2	.12	6
FOCAL SURFACE DIMENSION (X DIRECTION)	cm	4	.06	6
FOCAL SURFACE DIMENSION (Y DIRECTION)	cm	6	.08	6
PULSE REPETITION FREQUENCY	Hz	1000	N/A	N/A
FOCUSING METHOD	Electrically in Y and Mechanically in X			
METHOD FOR DIRECTING ULTRASOUND FIELD*	N/A			
SCAN CROSS-SECTIONAL AREA*	cm^ 2	N/A	N/A	N/A
SCAN ENTRANCE DIMENSION (X DIRECTION)*	cm	N/A	N/A	N/A
SCAN ENTRANCE DIMENSION (Y DIRECTION)*	cm	N/A	N/A	N/A
SCAN FORMAT (X DIMENSION)*				
SCAN FORMAT (Y DIMENSION)*	cm	N/A	N/A	N/A
SCAN FORMAT (Z DIMENSION)*	cm	N/A	N/A	N/A
SCAN REPETITION FREQUENCY*	cm	N/A	N/A	N/A
ULTRASOUND TRANSMISSIONS PER LINE*		N/A	N/A	N/A
ULTRASOUND TRANSMISSIONS PER SCAN*		N/A	N/A	N/A
TIME OF SINGLE FRAME SCAN*	msec	N/A	N/A	N/A
RATE OF SCAN PLANE TRANSLATION**	cm/sec	N/A	N/A	N/A

* FOR AUTO SCANNING MODES ONLY

** FOR MULTI-PLANE SCANNING MODES ONLY

OPERATING MODE DESCRIPTION FOR MAXIMUM ISSPTA Focal Zone 1

OPERATING MODE DESCRIPTION FOR MAXIMUM ISPPA Focal Zone 6

	I_{SPTA}	I_{SPPA}
Z (cm)	2.0	5.2
fc (MHz)	3.5	3.5

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Table F-3
Associated Quantities (DERATED)

ULTRASOUND CONSOLE: Sono-Widget
TRANSDUCER ASSEMBLY: 3.5 Linear
TRANSDUCER TYPE: Linear
OPERATING MODE: M-Mode

DERATING METHOD: Derate by .3 dB/cm/MHz

ASSOCIATED QUANTITIES FOR CONDITIONS OF MAXIMUM ISPPA (DERATED) (GM)	UNITS	MEAN	+ OR - DEVIATION	NUMBER OF SAMPLES
CENTER FREQUENCY	MHz	3.6	.4	6
PULSE DURATION	cm	2.6	.2	6
ENTRANCE BEAM DIMENSION (X DIRECTION)	cm	2.5	N/A	N/A
ENTRANCE BEAM DIMENSION (Y DIRECTION)	cm	1.2	N/A	N/A
FOCAL LENGTH	cm	5.2	.6	6
DEPTH OF FOCUS	cm	1.2	N/A	N/A
FOCAL AREA	cm^ 2	2	.12	6
FOCAL SURFACE DIMENSION (X DIRECTION)	cm	4	.06	6
FOCAL SURFACE DIMENSION (Y DIRECTION)	cm	6	.08	6
PULSE REPETITION FREQUENCY	Hz	1000	N/A	N/A
FOCUSING METHOD	Electrically in Y and Mechanically in X			
METHOD FOR DIRECTING ULTRASOUND FIELD*	Linear Array			
SCAN CROSS-SECTIONAL AREA*	cm^ 2	N/A	N/A	N/A
SCAN ENTRANCE DIMENSION (X DIRECTION)*	cm	N/A	N/A	N/A
SCAN ENTRANCE DIMENSION (Y DIRECTION)*	cm	N/A	N/A	N/A
SCAN FORMAT (X DIMENSION)*				
SCAN FORMAT (Y DIMENSION)*	cm	N/A	N/A	N/A
SCAN FORMAT (Z DIMENSION)*	cm	N/A	N/A	N/A
SCAN REPETITION FREQUENCY*	cm	N/A	N/A	N/A
ULTRASOUND TRANSMISSIONS PER LINE*		N/A	N/A	N/A
ULTRASOUND TRANSMISSIONS PER SCAN*		N/A	N/A	N/A
TIME OF SINGLE FRAME SCAN*	msec	N/A	N/A	N/A
RATE OF SCAN PLANE TRANSLATION**	cm/sec	N/A	N/A	N/A

* FOR AUTO SCANNING MODES ONLY

** FOR MULTI-PLANE SCANNING MODES ONLY

OPERATING MODE DESCRIPTION FOR MAXIMUM ISSPTA Focal Zone 1

OPERATING MODE DESCRIPTION FOR MAXIMUM ISPPA Focal Zone 6

	I_{SPTA} (DERATED)	I_{SPPA} (DERATED)
Z (cm)	1.8	4.8
fc (MHz)	3.5	3.6

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Table F-4
Associated Quantities (DERATED)

ULTRASOUND CONSOLE: Sono-Widget
TRANSDUCER ASSEMBLY: 3.5 Linear
TRANSDUCER TYPE: Linear
OPERATING MODE: Real Time B-Mode

DERATING METHOD: Derate by .3 dB/cm/MHz

ASSOCIATED QUANTITIES FOR CONDITIONS OF MAXIMUM ISPPA (DERATED) (M)	UNITS	MEAN	+ OR - DEVIATION	NUMBER OF SAMPLES
CENTER FREQUENCY	MHz	3.6	.4	6
PULSE DURATION	cm	2.6	.2	6
ENTRANCE BEAM DIMENSION (X DIRECTION)	cm	2.5	N/A	N/A
ENTRANCE BEAM DIMENSION (Y DIRECTION)	cm	1.2	N/A	N/A
FOCAL LENGTH	cm	5.2	.6	6
DEPTH OF FOCUS	cm	1.2	N/A	N/A
FOCAL AREA	cm^ 2	2	.12	6
FOCAL SURFACE DIMENSION (X DIRECTION)	cm	4	.06	6
FOCAL SURFACE DIMENSION (Y DIRECTION)	cm	6	.08	6
PULSE REPETITION FREQUENCY	Hz	3840	N/A	N/A
FOCUSING METHOD	Electrically in Y and Mechanically in X			
METHOD FOR DIRECTING ULTRASOUND FIELD*	Linear Array			
SCAN CROSS-SECTIONAL AREA*	cm^ 2	120	N/A	N/A
SCAN ENTRANCE DIMENSION (X DIRECTION)*	cm	1.2	N/A	N/A
SCAN ENTRANCE DIMENSION (Y DIRECTION)*	cm	10	N/A	N/A
SCAN FORMAT (X DIMENSION)*	Rectangular			
SCAN FORMAT (Y DIMENSION)*	cm	10	N/A	N/A
SCAN FORMAT (Z DIMENSION)*	cm	12	N/A	N/A
SCAN REPETITION FREQUENCY*	cm	30	N/A	N/A
ULTRASOUND TRANSMISSIONS PER LINE*		1	N/A	N/A
ULTRASOUND TRANSMISSIONS PER SCAN*		128	N/A	N/A
TIME OF SINGLE FRAME SCAN*	msec	33.3	N/A	N/A
RATE OF SCAN PLANE TRANSLATION**	cm/sec	N/A	N/A	N/A

* FOR AUTO SCANNING MODES ONLY

** FOR MULTI-PLANE SCANNING MODES ONLY

OPERATING MODE DESCRIPTION FOR MAXIMUM ISSPTA 30 frames per second, 32 lines per frame, Focal Zone 1

OPERATING MODE DESCRIPTION FOR MAXIMUM ISPPA 30 frames per second, 128 lines per frame, Focal Zone 6

	I_{SPTA} (DERATED)	I_{SPPA} (DERATED)
Z (cm)	1.8	4.8
fc (MHz)	3.5	3.6

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Table F-5
Associated Quantities (DERATED)

ULTRASOUND CONSOLE: Sono-Widget
TRANSDUCER ASSEMBLY: 3.5 Linear
TRANSDUCER TYPE: Linear
OPERATING MODE: M-Mode

DERATING METHOD: Derate by .73 dB/MHz

ASSOCIATED QUANTITIES FOR CONDITIONS OF MAXIMUM ISPPA (DERATED) (GM)	UNITS	MEAN	+ OR - DEVIATION	NUMBER OF SAMPLES
CENTER FREQUENCY	MHz	3.6	.4	6
PULSE DURATION	cm	2.6	.2	6
ENTRANCE BEAM DIMENSION (X DIRECTION)	cm	2.5	N/A	N/A
ENTRANCE BEAM DIMENSION (Y DIRECTION)	cm	1.2	N/A	N/A
FOCAL LENGTH	cm	5.2	.6	6
DEPTH OF FOCUS	cm	1.2	N/A	N/A
FOCAL AREA	cm^ 2	2	.12	6
FOCAL SURFACE DIMENSION (X DIRECTION)	cm	4	.06	6
FOCAL SURFACE DIMENSION (Y DIRECTION)	cm	6	.08	6
PULSE REPETITION FREQUENCY	Hz	1000	N/A	N/A
FOCUSING METHOD	Electrically in Y and Mechanically in X			
METHOD FOR DIRECTING ULTRASOUND FIELD*	N/A			
SCAN CROSS-SECTIONAL AREA*	cm^ 2	N/A	N/A	N/A
SCAN ENTRANCE DIMENSION (X DIRECTION)*	cm	N/A	N/A	N/A
SCAN ENTRANCE DIMENSION (Y DIRECTION)*	cm	N/A	N/A	N/A
SCAN FORMAT (X DIMENSION)*				
SCAN FORMAT (Y DIMENSION)*	cm	N/A	N/A	N/A
SCAN FORMAT (Z DIMENSION)*	cm	N/A	N/A	N/A
SCAN REPETITION FREQUENCY*	Hz	N/A	N/A	N/A
ULTRASOUND TRANSMISSIONS PER LINE*		N/A	N/A	N/A
ULTRASOUND TRANSMISSIONS PER SCAN*		N/A	N/A	N/A
TIME OF SINGLE FRAME SCAN*	msec	N/A	N/A	N/A
RATE OF SCAN PLANE TRANSLATION**	cm/sec	N/A	N/A	N/A

* FOR AUTO SCANNING MODES ONLY

** FOR MULTI-PLANE SCANNING MODES ONLY

OPERATING MODE DESCRIPTION FOR MAXIMUM ISSPTA Focal Zone 1

OPERATING MODE DESCRIPTION FOR MAXIMUM ISPPA Focal Zone 6

	I_{SPTA} (DERATED)	I_{SPPA} (DERATED)
Z (cm)	2.0	5.2
fc (MHz)	3.5	3.6

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Table F-6
Associated Quantities (Water)

ULTRASOUND CONSOLE: Sono-Widget
TRANSDUCER ASSEMBLY: 3.5 Linear
TRANSDUCER TYPE: Linear
OPERATING MODE: Real Time B-Mode

DERATING METHOD: Derate by .73 dB/MHz

ASSOCIATED QUANTITIES FOR CONDITIONS OF MAXIMUM ISPPA (GM)	UNITS	MEAN	+ OR - DEVIATION	NUMBER OF SAMPLES
CENTER FREQUENCY	MHz	3.6	.4	6
PULSE DURATION	cm	2.6	.2	6
ENTRANCE BEAM DIMENSION (X DIRECTION)	cm	2.5	N/A	N/A
ENTRANCE BEAM DIMENSION (Y DIRECTION)	cm	1.2	N/A	N/A
FOCAL LENGTH	cm	5.2	.6	6
DEPTH OF FOCUS	cm	1.2	N/A	N/A
FOCAL AREA	cm^ 2	2	.12	6
FOCAL SURFACE DIMENSION (X DIRECTION)	cm	4	.06	6
FOCAL SURFACE DIMENSION (Y DIRECTION)	cm	6	.08	6
PULSE REPETITION FREQUENCY	Hz	3840	N/A	N/A
FOCUSING METHOD		Electrically in Y and Mechanically in X		
METHOD FOR DIRECTING ULTRASOUND FIELD*		Linear Array		
SCAN CROSS-SECTIONAL AREA*	cm^ 2	120	N/A	N/A
SCAN ENTRANCE DIMENSION (X DIRECTION)*	cm	1.2	N/A	N/A
SCAN ENTRANCE DIMENSION (Y DIRECTION)*	cm	10	N/A	N/A
SCAN FORMAT (X DIMENSION)*		Rectangular		
SCAN FORMAT (Y DIMENSION)*	cm	10	N/A	N/A
SCAN FORMAT (Z DIMENSION)*	cm	12	N/A	N/A
SCAN REPETITION FREQUENCY*	cm	30	N/A	N/A
ULTRASOUND TRANSMISSIONS PER LINE*		1	N/A	N/A
ULTRASOUND TRANSMISSIONS PER SCAN*		128	N/A	N/A
TIME OF SINGLE FRAME SCAN*	msec	33.3	N/A	N/A
RATE OF SCAN PLANE TRANSLATION**	cm/sec	N/A	N/A	N/A

* FOR AUTO SCANNING MODES ONLY

** FOR MULTI-PLANE SCANNING MODES ONLY

OPERATING MODE DESCRIPTION FOR MAXIMUM ISSPTA 30 frames per second, 32 lines per frame, Focal Zone 1

OPERATING MODE DESCRIPTION FOR MAXIMUM ISPPA 30 frames per second, 128 lines per frame, Focal Zone 6

	I_{SPTA} (DERATED)	I_{SPPA} (DERATED)
Z (cm)	2.0	5.2
fc (MHz)	3.5	3.6

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Table F-7
Labeling Summary (Water)

ULTRASOUND CONSOLE: Sono-Widget
TRANSDUCER ASSEMBLY: 3.5 Linear

		I SPTA (mW/cm^ 2)			I SPPA (W/cm^ 2)			Pr (MPa)			W (mW)		
MODE OPERATION		MEAN	+ OR-	NO.	MEAN	+ OR-	NO.	MEAN	+ OR-	NO.	MEAN	+ OR-	NO.
Real	DISCRETE VALUE	42.3	8.3	6	24.8	10.2	6	2	6	6	10	1.2	6
Time	COMBINED VALUE	202.6	6.5	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
B-Mode	COMBINED MODE	B/M-Mode			N/A			N/A					
DISCRETE VALUE		160.3	20.3	6	248.6	10.2	6	2	.6	6	2.6	.5	6
	COMBINED VALUE	202.6	6.5	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
M-Mode	COMBINED MODE	B/M Mode			N/A			N/A					
	DISCRETE VALUE												
	COMBINED VALUE												
	COMBINED MODE												
	DISCRETE VALUE												
	COMBINED VALUE												
	COMBINED MODE												
	DISCRETE VALUE												
	COMBINED VALUE												
	COMBINED MODE												
	DISCRETE VALUE												
	COMBINED VALUE												
	COMBINED MODE												
	DISCRETE VALUE												
	COMBINED VALUE												
	COMBINED MODE												

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Table F-8
Labeling Summary (DERATED)

ULTRASOUND CONSOLE: Sono-Widget
TRANSDUCER ASSEMBLY: 3.5 Linear

DERATING METHOD: Derate by .3 dB/cm/MHz

MODE OPERATION		I SPTA (DERATED) (mW/cm^ 2) MEAN	+ OR-	NO.	I SPPA (DERATED) (W/cm^ 2) MEAN	+ OR-	NO.	Pr (DERATED) (MPa) MEAN	+ OR-	NO.
Real Time	DISCRETE VALUE	27.4	1.2	6	89.3	5.2	6	2	.6	6
	COMBINED VALUE	31.2	6.3	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
B-Mode	COMBINED MODE	B/M-Mode				N/A			N/A	
	DISCRETE VALUE	27.4	1.2	6	89.3	5.2	6	2	.6	6
M-Mode	COMBINED VALUE	131.2	6.3	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	COMBINED MODE	B/M-Mode				N/A			N/A	
	DISCRETE VALUE									
	COMBINED VALUE									
	COMBINED MODE									
	DISCRETE VALUE									
	COMBINED VALUE									
	COMBINED MODE									
	DISCRETE VALUE									
	COMBINED VALUE									
	COMBINED MODE									
	DISCRETE VALUE									
	COMBINED VALUE									
	COMBINED MODE									
	DISCRETE VALUE									
	COMBINED VALUE									
	COMBINED MODE									

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Table F-9
Labeling Summary (DERATED)

ULTRASOUND CONSOLE: Sono-Widget **DERATING METHOD:** Derate by .73 dB/MHz
TRANSDUCER ASSEMBLY:

MODE OPERATION		I SPTA (DERATED) (mW/cm^ 2) MEAN	+ OR-	NO.	I SPPA (DERATED) (W/cm^ 2) MEAN	+ OR-	NO.	Pr (DERATED) (MPa) MEAN	+ OR-	NO.
Real	DISCRETE VALUE	23.3	2.5	6	157	5.3	6	1.1	05	6
Time	COMBINED VALUE	111.7	3.2	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
B-Mode	COMBINED MODE	B/M-Mode				N/A			N/A	
	DISCRETE VALUE	88.4	3.6		157	5.3	6	1.1	05	6
M-Mode	COMBINED VALUE	111.7	3.2	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	COMBINED MODE	B/M Mode				N/A			N/A	
	DISCRETE VALUE									
	COMBINED VALUE									
	COMBINED MODE									
	DISCRETE VALUE									
	COMBINED VALUE									
	COMBINED MODE									
	DISCRETE VALUE									
	COMBINED VALUE									
	COMBINED MODE									
	DISCRETE VALUE									
	COMBINED VALUE									
	COMBINED MODE									
	DISCRETE VALUE									
	COMBINED VALUE									
	COMBINED MODE									

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Appendix G
RATIONALE FOR THE MEASUREMENT OF SELECTED PARAMETERS

In this standard, certain acoustic information is specified which is to be supplied by manufacturers of diagnostic ultrasound equipment. This information consists of values for selected acoustic parameters, chosen for their relevance to performance and safety, or a combination of safety and performance. For example, the quantities "frequency", "pulse duration" and "source diameter" are important primarily for their relevance to achievable resolution, but also to expected achievable intensities in various anatomical locations. At the other extreme, "acoustic intensity" is most critical in determining penetration (i.e., the ability to obtain signals from deep-lying regions in a patient's body). In this section, each of the labeling parameters is taken up and its importance to safety and/or efficacy discussed.

G1.1 ACOUSTIC POWER (W)

During an ultrasound examination, virtually all of the acoustic energy generated by the source is transmitted into the patient's body and is there converted into heat. Hence, the thermal energy produced in the patient's body during an exposure of duration t is equal to Wt. (If W is 0.1 watts and t is 20 seconds, the heat produced is 2 joules or 0.48 calories). The temperature pattern produced will depend on how the heat is distributed, on blood perfusion and on the thermal properties of the tissue.

G2.1 SPATIAL PEAK TEMPORAL AVERAGE INTENSITY (I_{SPTA})

Knowing the water value of the spatial peak temporal average intensity, one can estimate the highest value of the temporal average intensity in tissue, i.e., the *in situ* I_{SPTA} intensity (see Appendix D). This quantity is important to performance as well as safety. (1) It relates to performance since the time averaged acoustic power scattered by body structures of interest during an ultrasound examination is proportional to the local intensity. It is this scattered power that carries the desired diagnostic information; it needs to be high enough to yield a satisfactory signal/noise ratio. (2) The *in situ* I_{SPTA} intensity is important as a one of the factors in determining whether bioeffects are produced.

G3.1 SPATIAL PEAK PULSE AVERAGE INTENSITY (I_{SPPA})

Knowing the water value of the spatial peak pulse average intensity, one can estimate the *in situ* I_{SPPA} intensity. This quantity is important to performance as well as safety. (1) It relates to performance since the acoustic power scattered by a body structure of interest during a pulse is proportioned to the local I_{SPPA} intensity. This power multiplied by the pulse duration gives the acoustic energy of an echo. (2) Its relevance to safety is primarily in its importance to non-thermal effects.

G4.1 PEAK RAREFACTIONAL PRESSURE p_r

This quantity probably has essentially the same significance for performance as does the I_{SPPA} intensity, but has been found to be much better than the latter as an indicator for cavitation (Ayme' et al., 1987). Comparison of I_{SPPA} and p_r can give some indication of the pulse shape. Furthermore, the units of pressure may be more familiar to many scientists involved in generation and bioeffects of cavitation.

Associated Quantities

Center frequency. Affects the resolution, the penetration depth, the absorption coefficient (and hence, the possibility of thermal bioeffects) and other aspects of performance and safety.

Pulse duration. Affects the axial resolution and is proportional to the energy scattered in a pulse; it is important in determining the likelihood of cavitation.

Entrance beam dimensions. Relevant to safety in that the power W divided by the entrance beam area gives the spatial average temporal average intensity in the entrance region.

Focal length. Important for performance and safety as indication of position where I_{SPTA}, I_{SPPA}, and p_r apply.

Focal depth and cross-sectional dimensions. Important to performance and safety as dimensions and locations of regions to which I_{SPTA}, I_{SPPA}, and p_r apply. In particular, the focal cross-sectional dimensions are critical quantities in recent statements and conclusions relative to safety.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS

Not for Resale

Appendix H
DETERMINATION OF CONTROL SETTINGS RESULTING IN INTENSITY AND PRESSURE GLOBAL MAXIMA

Guidance is provided here for determining which diagnostic system control settings will result in global maximum values of intensity or pressure and where the maxima are likely to occur. Predictions of these maxima require *a priori* knowledge of the characteristics and operation of the system to be tested. More detailed information about the approach discussed here can be found in Szabo et al. (1988).

For any combination of switch settings which affects acoustic output, there are several parameters associated with each signal or unique waveform type (Doppler, M-mode, etc., see Appendix F): acoustic line location; axial focusing gain, FG; pulse repetition frequency, PRF; pulse duration, PD; an electroacoustic conversion constant, Et; and the voltage applied to the transducer, V. The I_{SPPA} along an acoustic line or axis z can be expressed as:

$$I_{SPPA}(z) = FG_w(z)E_tV^2 \qquad \text{(H-1)}$$

where focusing gain in water, FG_w, is used here as the ratio of ISPTA intensity of one signal type at point z to average intensity (ultrasonic power divided by the are of the transducer) on the surface of the transducer. Focusing gain can be determined either by measurement or by simulation. The constant E_t is a measure of electroacoustic conversion and aperture weighting for the waveform type under examination. Because only the relative ranking of switch setting combinations is important, E_t does not have to be determined when comparing output levels for different combinations for the same transducer configuration.

To find the control settings producing global maximum value of I_{SPPA}, usually (unless E_t changes) the largest value of the product of V^2 and FG needs to be determined. Note that only the peak value of FG located at position $z = z_{pk}$ is used to determine maximum I_{SPPA} in Eq. H-1. As a rule of thumb, FG is inversely proportional to the geometrical focal length, so the smaller focal lengths usually correspond to the highest focusing gain (assuming the same aperture size).

If the maximum value of derated I_{SPPA} is sought, a different relationship is appropriate,

$$I_{SPPA}(z) = [FG_w(z)e^{-.23af_cz}]\,E_tV^2 \qquad \text{(H-2)}$$

where a is the derating attenuation in dB/(MHz-cm), and f_c is transducer center frequency. For example, for a = 0.3 dB/MHz-cm and f_c = 5 MHz, the location of the maximum derated I_{SPPA} will be lower in magnitude and will occur at a peak location which is closer to the transducer than the I_{SPPA} maximum in water.

Predicting the global maxima of I_{SPTA} is more involved because a number, Ns, of signal types (each designated by "j") and their timing are required,

$$I_{SPTA}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_s} I_{SPPA_j}\,PRF_j\,PD_j \qquad \text{(H-3)}$$

I_{SPPA_j} can be determined for either water or a derated case from the previous equations. Note that the location of the I_{SPTA} maximum is usually different that the I_{SPPA} maximum because of its dependency on several signals and their timing.

In summary, *a priori* knowledge of ultrasound system parameters can be used to predict which system switch setting combinations and corresponding locations produce the highest levels of intensity. Because linearity was assumed to hold for the equations (as well as an intensity proportional to pressure squared), the actual global maxima can differ from the predictions because of nonlinear effects (see Appendix B) and system variations. In practice, a number of the highest ranked switch setting combinations likely to produce maxima are used as the starting points to begin experimental searches for the actual global maxima.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS

Not for Resale

Appendix I
HYDROPHONE CALIBRATION BY PLANAR SCANNING TECHNIQUE

I1.1 INTRODUCTION

The planar scanning hydrophone calibration technique involves scanning the far field of a source transducer with known ultrasonic power using the hydrophone to be calibrated (Jones et al., 1981; Harris and Herman, 1982; Corbett, 1988). The ultrasonic power of the source transducer is measured with a radiation force balance (radiometer), as described in 3.6. This ultrasonic power, W_r, is equated to the power, W_h, found from the hydrophone scanning. W_h is a function of the end-of-cable loaded sensitivity, $M_L(f)$, so by setting $W_h = W_r$, $M_L(f)$ can be found.

Ideally, the ultrasonic power, W_r, of an ultrasound source placed in a water tank could be determined if the acoustic pressure and particle velocity were measured at every point on a large sphere enclosing the source transducer. Since such a measurement is impractical, several simplifying assumptions are made to reduce the effort involved. First, because a hydrophone is used to make each measurement at a point, only acoustic pressure is measured. If the hydrophone is placed in the far field of the source, then the particle velocity is nearly in phase with the acoustic pressure and the instantaneous ultrasonic intensity is proportional to the square of the instantaneous acoustic pressure.

A second important simplification is to recognize that the source transducer has a well-behaved directivity, so that significant energy passes through only a small region of the encompassing sphere. The size of this region for a given degree of error can be calculated for transducers of known directivity. A third simplification is that instead of measuring each point in the selected region, assumptions about beam geometry are made, and the result for the total region is estimated mathematically.

This Appendix is divided into five sections: a derivation of the calibration equation; a description of the source transducers to be used; a description of the acoustic field measurements to be performed with the hydrophone (beam profiles and time waveforms); a correction for water attenuation; and a description of simplified scanning geometries. In all cases it will be assumed that the hydrophone to be calibrated meets the requirements set forth in Section 3.

I2.1 DERIVATION OF CALIBRATION EQUATION

Calibration of the hydrophone is accomplished by recognizing that under specified conditions, the ultrasonic power from the source transducer is equal to a two dimensional space integral of intensity computed from the hydrophone measurements on the source transducer. The power measured by using a hydrophone is equated to that measured using a force balance radiometer, to arrive at a value for the end-of-cable loaded sensitivity $M_L(f)$, of the hydrophone.

For cases in which the acoustic pressure and particle velocity in the acoustic field being measured are in phase, such as is approximately true in the far field of a source transducer, the acoustic pressure and velocity at a point P in space, are related as follows:

$$v(\bar{P},t) = \frac{p(\bar{P},t)}{\rho c} \qquad \text{(I2.1-1)}$$

where v is the particle velocity (m/s), ρ is the density (kg/m^3) and c is the speed of sound (m/s) in the material through which the wave is propagating. The pressure units are pascals (Pa).

The instantaneous intensity is defined as the product of instantaneous acoustic pressure and particle velocity, in the direction of the particle velocity. Specifically, the instantaneous intensity i(P,t) in a plane-wave field is given

$$i(\bar{P},t) = p(\bar{P},t)\, v(\bar{P},t) = p^2 \frac{(\bar{P},t)}{\rho c} \qquad \text{(I2.1-2)}$$

The temporal average intensity $I_{TA}(\bar{P})$, in the plane-wave field is defined as follows:

$$I_{TA}(\bar{P}) = \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T i(\bar{P},t)\,dt = \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T p^2 \frac{(\bar{P},t)}{\rho c}\,dt, \ [W/cm^2] \qquad \text{(I2.1-3)}$$

where T is a time interval over which p(P,t) repeats itself. For a CW source T is any integral multiple of (1/f); for a repetitively pulsed source, T is any integral multiple of (1/PRF). Using the expression for the end-of-cable loaded sensitivity of the hydrophone, $M_L(f)$(V/Pa), the temporal average intensity becomes:

$$I_{TA}(\bar{P}) = \frac{1}{\rho c M^2{}_L(f_c)}\, h(\bar{P}) \quad [W/m^2] \qquad \text{(I2.1-4)}$$

where:

$$h(\bar{P}) = \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T V^2(\bar{P},t)\,dt \quad [volts^2] \qquad \text{(I2.1-5)}$$

and V, the hydrophone output voltage (volts), is a narrow band signal with center frequency f_c. Note that h(P) is equivalent to a mean squared voltage at point P. Since

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale




Figure I-1
Temperature Dependence of the Attenuation of Ultrasound in Water, Normalized to f^2

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale




Figure I-2
Hydrophone Spherical Scanning Geometry

Source Transducer With Cylindrical Symmetry On A Spherical Scanning Geometry For A Hydrophone.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

$I_{TA}(P)$ is the time-averaged power passing outward from the source per unit area, the total time-averaged power, W_h, is found by the integral over the surface, S, normal to the beam axis of the source, in the far field, containing the intensity flux:

$$W_h = \int_S \int I_{TA}(\bar{P})ds = \frac{1}{\rho c M_L{}^2(f_c)} H_s[h(\bar{P})] \text{ [watts]} \qquad \text{(I2.1-6)}$$

where:

$$H_s[h(\bar{P})] = \int_S \int h(\bar{P})dS. \quad [\text{volts}^2 - \text{m}^2] \qquad \text{(I2.1-7)}$$

The power W_h is equated to the ultrasonic power W_r of the same source transducer, as measured on a force balance and corrected for water attenuation for the planar scanning path length:

$$W_r = W_h \qquad \text{(I2.1-8)}$$

$$= \frac{H_s[h(\bar{P})]}{\rho c M_L{}^2(f_c)} \text{ [watts]} \qquad \text{(I2.1-9)}$$

Solving for $M_L2(f_c)$:

$$M_L(f_c) = \left[\frac{H_s[h(\bar{P})]}{W_r \rho c}\right]^{1/2} \text{ [volts/pascal]} \qquad \text{(I2.1-10)}$$

I3.1 POWER MEASUREMENTS ON SOURCE TRANSDUCER

For planar scanning, a source transducer whose ultrasonic power output has been calibrated at one or more frequencies is required. A pure, stable sinusoidal excitation source is recommended to drive the source transducer in a tone burst mode. If the source transducer has been calibrated under continuous wave excitation, then the pulsed or tone burst source must be adjusted to give the same amplitude drive level as in the continuous wave case. When a source transducer of the type provided by the National Institute for Standards & Technology (Fick et al., 1984) is used, the source should be placed in water at an angle to any planar reflectors (or hydrophones) or any tank walls, and the CW excitation should be adjusted to the calibrated level. The peak RF excitation voltage level is noted, so that when the signal source is operated in a tone burst mode, its level is adjusted to be equivalent.

The source transducer and receiving hydrophone are placed in a water tank and the source is driven with a narrow-band, quasi-CW (10-15 cycle) burst at the calibration frequency of interest, at a repetition frequency such that T = 1/PRF is greater than twice the propagation time to the hydrophone receiver. The source also may be driven in a CW mode for the calibration. Precautions should be taken to avoid standing wave effects, especially when using membrane hydrophones in CW fields. There should be no distortion in the signal driving the source transducer (see, e.g., Fick et al., 1984). If possible, the frequency spectrum of the transmitted burst should be checked by locating the hydrophone within 1-2 cm from the source and observing the output of the hydrophone on a spectrum analyzer. Harmonics need to be at least 40 dB below the fundamental.

The ultrasonic power (W_r) of the source transducer is measured on a calibrated force balance. (See 4.4.3 for calibration of the force balance). The pulser waveform, voltage and PRF need to remain exactly as configured above. For the NBS calibrated CW source, W_r is determined from the calibration of the source.

I4.1 RECORDING OF TIME WAVEFORMS AND BEAM PROFILES

The calibrated source transducer and hydrophone are placed in the water tank. Prior to the planar scan, the source and hydrophone should be aligned and cleaned as described in 3.5.2. The scanning system and positioning device required to translate the hydrophone in the acoustic field should conform to that described in 3.5.3.

The waveform generated by the hydrophone should be recorded using an oscilloscope or digitizing system as specified in 3.5.4. To display a stationary pulsed time waveform on the oscilloscope, a trigger synchronous with the excitation of the source transducer should be provided.

After the source transducer and hydrophone have been aligned, $H_s[h(\bar{P})]$ is evaluated by scanning over all points on the scan surface above the noise floor. All measurements to obtain beam profiles $h(\bar{P})$ are performed at or beyond an axial distance of S/ for a non-focused source, where S is the area of the active element and is the wavelength in water. Lathe scanning plane is first scanned in a raster pattern to locate the point of maximum h(P). The scan plane is then scanned either in a two dimensional raster pattern, or using a simpler pattern consistent with the source geometry. For rectangular transducers, this simpler pattern consists of two orthogonal directions passing through the beam axis, and parallel to the sides of the source. For circular transducers, beam profiles can be taken along at least two orthogonal diameters. See discussion on "Simplified Scanning Geometries" later in this Appendix for further details.

Beam profiles are generated by evaluating h(P) at each point in the acoustic field along the scan line. This integral can be evaluated in the most straightforward manner by digitizing the time waveform recorded by the hydrophone and performing a numerical integration using the trapezoidal rule as described at the end of this Appendix.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

I5.1 CORRECTION FOR WATER ATTENUATION

In practice, W_r, the ultrasonic power radiated by the source, should be corrected for the attenuation of the water over the separation distance R between the surface S and the source transducer. This correction is stated as:

$$W_r = W_r e^{-2 \alpha R} \qquad \text{(I5.1-1)}$$

where W'_r is the unattenuated ultrasonic power (i.e., the power at the transducer face), and α is the pressure attenuation coefficient for water. α is a function of frequency and temperature, as shown in Figure I-1 (see Harris and Herman, 1982). At 24°C, $\alpha = 2.2 \times 10^{-4} f^2$ cm-1 MHz^{-2}, where f is the frequency in MHz.

I6.1 SIMPLIFIED SCANNING GEOMETRIES

In general, the surface integral in Equation I2.1-7 is evaluated using a two dimensional roster scan. However, if the source transducer has either circular or rectangular geometry, it is possible to use a simplified scanning pattern by marking assumptions about beam symmetry.

A. Circular Source

For cylindrically symmetric sources, either a scan along an arc (spherical scanning) or a line (cylindrical scanning) can be used. For spherical scanning, arcs are used to estimate power flux through a spherical cap surface. For cylindrical scanning, scan lines are used in the same way for estimating flux through a disc surface in a plane parallel to the surface of the source transducer.

A source transducer with cylindrical symmetry and a spherical scanning geometry for a hydrophone are shown in Figure I-2. Spherical scanning consists of individual scans at a constant radial distance, R, from the center of the source transducer. In each scan, starting from a point, P_s, the hydrophone is moved in small angular increments over θ to an end point P_e, and the hydrophone mean square voltage is recorded at each point, defining a data array, $h(R, \theta)$. This array can be recognized as the beam profile of the source transducer at a constant radius R and at a constant angle $\theta = \theta_o$. Two or more scans at different angles θ (0, and 90 degrees, etc.) are used to estimate the power passing through a spherical cap generated by rotating the scan arc to form a surface of revolution. For each scan,

$$M_L(f) = \left[\frac{\Pi R^2}{\rho c W_r} \int_{\theta min}^{\theta max} \{h(R, \theta) - NF\} \sin\theta \, d\theta\right]^{1/2} \qquad \text{(I6.1 -1)}$$

where the extreme scan angles, θ min and θ max, are chosen to be where the hydrophone signal is in the noise floor, when NF is the mean square value of the noise floor. The results of several scans, as calculated by Eq. I6.1-1, are averaged together to improve accuracy. Significant errors can occur if less than two orthogonal scans are made. The mean square value of the noise floor needs to be subtracted from each data point in the $h(R, \theta)$ array.

For cylindrical scanning, with reference to Figure I-2, the hydrophone is scanned along a straight line chord between the same end points as in the spherical case, at a constant distance Zo from the plane of the source transducer. Here the end points P_s and P_e can be expressed in terms of radial distance r, $Z = Z_o$, and $\theta = \theta 0$. The $M_L(f)$ equation for this scanning geometry is:

$$M_L(f) = \left[\frac{\pi}{\rho c W_r} \int_{r min}^{r max} h(r), - NF/r/dr \text{ right}\right]^{1/2} \qquad \text{(I6.1 –2)}$$

As before, several scans at different angles, θ, needs to be averaged together. A BASIC computer program using the trapezoidal rule for calculating $M_L(f)$ is given below.

B. Rectangular Source

For rectangular source transducers, the simplified expression for $M_L(f)$ is:

$$M_L(f) = \left[\frac{1}{\rho c W_r h(0,0)} \int_{x min}^{x max} h(x.,0)dx \int_{y min}^{y max} h(0,y)dy\right]^{1/2} \qquad \text{(I6.1-3)}$$

where the beam axis passes through the points x = y = 0, and where it is assumed that $h(x,y) = h(x,0)h(0,y)/h(0,0)$. This assumption comes from the fact that the theoretical directivity of a rectangular piston radiator has the form $[\sin(x)/x] \times [\sin(y)/y]$; that is, the product of two sine functions. h(x,0) and h(0,y) are the beam profiles of the rectangular source along the x and y axes, respectively.

I-7 NUMERICAL EVALUATION OF INTEGRALS

A sample evaluation of $M_L(f)$ for cylindrical scanning of a circular source (Equation I6.1-2) is given below:

The first operation involves generating an array of points, h(r), along four or more radii in the scan plane. h(r) at any given location is defined by I2.1-5 as:

$$h(r) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T v^2(r,t)dt \quad [\text{volts}^2] \qquad \text{(I7.1-1)}$$

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

where v(r,t) is the voltage waveform recorded by the hydrophone at location r as shown in Figure I-2. Equation I7.1-1 can be evaluated numerically using the trapezoidal rule as follows:

$$h(r) = \frac{1}{\Delta t.N} \sum_{i=2}^{N} \left[\frac{v_r^2(i) + v_r^2(i-1)}{2} \right] \Delta t$$

$$= \frac{1}{2N} [v_r^2(1) + v_r^2(N)] + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=2}^{N-1} v_r^2(i) \qquad (I7.1\text{-}2)$$

where $T = N \times t$, t being the sampling interval, and $v_r(i)$ the i_{th} point of an N-point array consisting of the time waveform as sampled by the hydrophone and waveform recorder at location r.

A BASIC program to evaluate Equation I7.1-2 for a 1000 point array is given below:

```
10  HR = 0
20  N = 1000
30  For I = 2 to N-1
40  HR = HR + VR(I) ^ 2
50  NEXT I
60  HR = (HR + 0.5*(VR(1) ^ 2+ VR(N ^ 2)))/N
70  END
```

where HR is the value of h(r) in Equation I7.1-2 for the location r and VR(*) is the array containing the voltage waveform.

This process is completed at every point along a radius to generate an array of points h(r), which defines the beam profile. h(r) is evaluated along at least four radii and averaged.

Equation I6.1-2 is then evaluated using the trapezoidal rule as follows:

$$M_L(f) = \left[\frac{2\pi(\Delta r)^2}{\rho c W_r} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{ih(r_i) + (i-1)h(r_{i-1})}{2} \right]^{1/2}$$

$$= \left\{ \frac{2\pi(\Delta r)^2}{\rho c W_r} \left[1/2\, Nh(r_N) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} ih(ri) \right] \right\}^{1/2} \qquad (I7.1\text{-}3)$$

where r is the distance between sample points along the beam profile, $r_i = i * \Delta r$ and $h(r_i)$ is the value of Equation I7.1-2 evaluated at the point r_i. r_0 is the point intersecting the beam axis and i= N is the value of i for which $h(r_N)$ first reaches the noise floor.

A BASIC program to evaluate $M_L(f)$ in Equation I7.1-3 is as follows:

```
10 ML = 0
20 FOR I = 1 TO N-1
30 ML = ML + I × H(I)
40 NEXT I
50 ML = ML + (N/2) × H(N)
60 ML = (ML × 2× PI × (delta r) ^ 2)/(rho × C × WR)
70 ML = ML^ 0.5
80 END
```

where H(I) = $h(r_i)$ from Equation I7.1-2. Note that H(1) is the first point sampled on the beam profile at distance r off of the beam axis.

More information regarding the evaluation of the above formulas can be found in Harris (1985).

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Appendix J
CONSISTENCY CHECKS

As a check of the validity of the I_{SPTA} and I_{SPPA} values obtained from the hydrophone measurements, the following calculations can be made. These check calculations apply only to discrete operating modes i.e., A-mode, M-mode, Doppler or real-time imaging, and to simple combinations of real-time imaging and one of these three non-autoscan modes. If the measured values differ substantially from these calculations, the procedures, measurements and calculations should be reviewed. (For more complicated combined operating mode cases, generalized check relationships can be found in Szabo et al., 1988.)

J1.1 CHECK FOR I_{SPTA}

Scan Type	Check Calculation
Non-autoscan	$I_{SPTA} = 1.5$ W/A
Autoscan with steerable A-mode, M-mode, or Doppler-mode	$I_{SPTA} = 1.5(W)(L)/(A)(M)$
Autoscan without steerable A-mode, M-mode, or Doppler-mode	$I_{SPTA} = [1.5(W)(SRF)/(A)(PRF)] \times [1 + (D_x)(M)/(SD))]$

where A, D_x, and SD are determined at the measurement distance R (see Figure J-1). If $(D_x)(M)(SD) >> 1$, then the above inequality becomes:

$$ISPTA < 1.5(W)(D_x)/(A)(SD) \qquad (J1.1\text{-}1)$$

where PRF has been taken to be the product of M and SRF.

J2.1 CHECK FOR $I_{SPPA} < 1.5(W)/(A)(PD)(PRF)$ (J2.1-1)

Symbols

- W = ultrasonic power, mW
- A = beam cross-sectional area (-6 dB) for a single pulse (i.e., image line), cm^2 (see Figure 5.6)
- L = number of acoustic pulses per image line at the location of the steerable A-mode, M-mode, and Doppler-mode line.
- M = total number of acoustic pulses per image
- SRF = scan repetition frequency, images per second.
- PRF = pulse repetition frequency, hertz.
- D_x = beam width (-6 dB) for a single pulse (i.e., image line), measured along a line normal to the beam axis and in the image plane, cm (see Figure J-1).
- SD = width of scan in image plane; for sector scanners, SD = R see Figure J-1).
- PD = pulse duration, seconds.


J3.1 DISCUSSION


The rationale for performing these simple checks is to identify gross procedural, measurement, or calculation inconsistencies. For example, if there were a significant error in hydrophone sensitivity at the time of measurement due to improper calibration or temporal instability, then the 1.5 W/A relationship should reveal this problem, assuming two things: (1) W is measured with a radiation force balance or obtained from a reference source calibration, and (2) measurement errors in determining W do not offset the error in hydrophone sensitivity. The offsetting situation in assumption (2) is unlikely. However, with respect to assumption (1), if W is found via planar scanning, then the $I_{SPTA} = 1.5$ W/A check value is less likely to uncover the error.

The non-autoscan check value for I_{SPTA} of 1.5 W/A is based on a roughly bell-shaped (Gaussian) intensity distribution*, and the other check values for I_{SPTA} and I_{SPPA} follow from this. While obviously not exact, these are reasonable approximations to use and if deviations of greater than roughly a factor of three are found (except for the I_{SPTA} inequality), then it should be verified that the difference is due to beam shapes rather than, for example, improper hydrophone calibration. That is, if the actual beam shape (obtained from a pulse intensity integral plot) were found to be quite "peaked", then 1.5 W/A could be much lower than the measured non-autoscan I_{SPTA}, and conversely if the actual beam shape (obtained from the pulse intensity integral plot) were "flat", then 1.5 W/A would be higher than the measured value. It also should be noted that when finite amplitude distortion is present in the pulse waveform, plotting the temporal peak hydrophone voltage instead of the pulse intensity integral can result in the area A being too small. This, in turn, can cause the check value to be higher than the measured value.

*(Actual values for several hypothetical and theoretical distributions are: Gaussian - 1.39 W/A; Conical - 1.69 W/A; $Sinc^2$ - 1.14 W/A; $Bessinc^2$ - 1.21 W/A.)

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale





DETAIL OF S

Figure J-1
Moving Beam Geometries

Depiction of moving beam geometry for (a) sector image format and (b) rectilinear image format. The shaded regions represent the radiating surface of the source transducer assembly. The x-y plane is the measurement (e.g., focal) plane and the x-z plane is the image plane. The beam surface s, shown for one image line, has area A.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Appendix K
MEASUREMENT OF I_{SPTA} FOR A COMBINED OPERATING MODE

To supplement Section 5, information is provided here for measurement of I_{SPTA} for a combined operating mode. If there are N_s types of waveforms each associated with a discrete operating mode, then:

$$I_{SPTA} = \sum_{J=1}^{N_s} I_{SPPAj}\, PD_j\, BOC_j \qquad \text{(K-1)}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{N_s} I_{SPTAj}$$

where $^{I}SPPA_j$ is the I_{SPPA} of the jth signal, PRF_{mj} and PD_j are the local PRF or pulse density (the number of times a certain type of pulse passes along a line m through a point in space per second) and pulse duration of signal j, and BOC_j is the beam overlap correction factor of signal j.

The measurement consists of finding the signal which is the suspected dominant contributor to the overall I_{SPTA} and placing the hydrophone at the location of maximum I_{SPTAj}. For example, for a sector and M combined mode or a sector and Doppler combined mode, the M-mode and Doppler signals are principal contributors along one scan line direction.

If another waveform type is found on adjacent image lines, the contributions of all the lines to the measurement point may be seen on an oscilloscope by using a start of frame synchronization signal. Here the display consists of a series of pulses (from the contribution of adjacent lines) which rise in amplitude to a maximum at the measurement point and decrease afterward. The individual line contributions usually can be obtained by synchronizing to the line and calculating the I_{SPPA}. If $^{I}SPPA_{jl}$ designates the maximum I_{SPPA} on hydrophone measurement line m, then the beam overlap factor for signal type j can be found by summing the I_{SPPAj}s of adjacent lines:

$$BOC_j = \sum_{v=1}^{N} \frac{I_{SPPA_{jn}}}{I_{SPPA_{jl}}} \qquad \text{(K-2)}$$

where N is a relative line index for lines offering significant contributions to the total (≥ 10% of total).

In summary, if the signal type j is in one direction only $BOC_j = 1$, otherwise the I_{SPPAj} is found from $I_{SPPAj} = BOC_j$, I_{SPPAj} obtained from K-2. If the dominant signal is spread over adjacent lines, the hydrophone can be moved along the line of interest until a true I_{SPTA} global maximum is located. As an alternative, an estimate of the beam overlap factor might be appropriate (CDRH, 1985).

As an example, data in Table K-1 is shown for a case with four signal modes. The overall result from K-1 is $I_{SPTA} = 252\ mW/cm^2$. Note the method of assembling the data for the calculation is similar in form for both the water and derated *in situ* calculations. More information can be found in Szabo et al., 1988.

Table K-1
Water Values at ISPTA Maximum for a 2.5 MHz Phased Array

Signal Type	I_{SSPj} (W/cm^2)	PRF_{mj} (per sec.)	PD_j (μs)	BOC_j
1	100	25	3	1.4
2	75	1000	3	1.0
3	150	350	.3	1.0
4	150	10	.3	1.4

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS

Not for Resale

Appendix L
RATIONALE FOR MEASUREMENTS IN WATER

In this standard, it is specified that measurements are to be made on equipment operated under normal conditions, in water, under free-field conditions. The choice of water as the measurement medium is based partly on its convenience. Water is available in large quantities; it can be distilled, filtered and degassed if this is desired; also, its basic physical and chemical properties have been determined and can readily be found in handbooks or other literature.

Just as important is the consideration that, although other models may be developed in the future, water is the best one presently available for clinical situations, at least, for worst-case conditions. Thus, the specific acoustic impedance and speed of ultrasound propagation in water closely approximate those of soft body tissues and fluids which are of primary significance in diagnostic ultrasound. Furthermore, its attenuation coefficient, while much lower than those of liver, brain, and other soft body tissues, is representative of some of the important body fluids. As ultrasound passes into the body, much of its path can be through media such as urine and amniotic fluid, in which the attenuation is low. Hence, if measurements were made in much more highly attenuating material, such as a liver-equivalent gel, they would not represent the highest possible acoustic pressures and intensities which might be encountered in the body.

It should be realized that waveform distortion caused by nonlinear propagation occurs to a greater extent in water than in most soft tissues. While the nonlinearity parameter in water is slightly less than in most soft tissues, and considerably less than in fat, the increase in peak positive pressure (and the rise time of the pressure) due to nonlinear propagation is greater in water than in these tissues. This is because of the very low ultrasonic attenuation in water of the high frequency harmonics associated with the positive pressure increase.

Overall, these properties of water relative to tissue make measurements of acoustic pressures and intensities in water represent a worst case, i.e., they yield significantly higher values than would measurements in body components other than least viscous body fluids.

Room temperature (24°C) is chosen for measurement convenience and reproducibility. However, the slightly low speed of sound in water at this temperature (1490 m/s) causes the transmitted beam to be focused beyond where it would be in a soft tissue with a speed of sound equal to the assumed average value of 1540 m/s. Equipment is to be operated under conditions as similar as possible to those which apply during clinical use. Free-field conditions are specified as being the most meaningful, and the most readily reproduced in different laboratories.

There can be problems associated with measuring and reporting free-field measurements in water under normal operating conditions. While this standard requires that approach, or demonstrated equivalence to it, it may prove useful to be aware of the problems and the alternative methods which were considered in the drafting of this standard. Since ultrasound is attenuated less in water than in soft tissues, acoustic pressures and intensities measured in water are higher (sometimes, much higher) than would be produced by the same equipment *in situ*, i.e., in a patient's body. This will lead to confusion if, when acoustic output values are cited, the distinction is not made between measured "water values" and estimated *in situ* values. Particularly troublesome is the fact, already mentioned, that the waveform can be severely distorted when the attenuation is low. Increased demands are then made on the measurement technique, because of the high-frequency content in the spectrum. Also, it may then be difficult to proceed from measurements in water to estimates of the acoustic pressures and intensities which would be produced *in situ* by the same equipment. Various proposals have been made for reducing these problems. Some of these are discussed briefly below. For a more extended discussion, see Carson (1988).

1. Reduction of the transmitter voltage while measurements are carried out, to reduce the acoustic output of the source, so that propagation occurs in water with distortion reduced or eliminated. Estimates would then be made of *in situ* acoustic pressures and intensities expected with the transmitter operating normally. (For an example of calculations which might be involved, taking into account nonlinear propagation in the tissue, see Bacon, 1984). In pulsed-mode operation, this method applies only on the condition (not always satisfied) that the transient response of the source transducer to the reduced voltage is the same, except for amplitude, as it is to the voltage applied normally.
2. Attenuation of the transmitted waveform to a level that will propagate linearly by reflection of the beam from a flat plate of slightly lower impedance than the water (Kossoff and Carpenter, 1984). The method is promising but requires development and testing.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

3. Development of a standard medium or "phantom" in which measurements can be made, which mimics attenuation properties of the body. Among the latter properties to be mimicked are the frequency dependence of the attenuation, and the spatial variations which exist in different critical and worst case situations.

4. The predecessor to this standard recommended intentional attenuative filtering of the high frequency components resulting from nonlinear propagation. The approach is not included here because of the significant loss in recorded power.

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

NEMA STANDARDIZATION

The purpose of NEMA Standards, their classification and status are set forth in certain clauses of the NEMA *Standardization Policies and Procedures* manual and are referenced below:

Purpose of Standards

National Electrical Manufacturers Association Standards are adopted in the public interest and are designed to eliminate misunderstandings between the manufacturer and the purchaser and to assist the purchaser in selecting and obtaining the proper product for their particular needs. Existence of a National Electrical Manufacturers Association Standard does not in any respect preclude any member or nonmember from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to the standard.

(Standardization Policies and Procedures, p. 1)

Definition of a Standard

A standard of the National Electrical Manufacturers Association defines a product, process or procedure with reference to one or more of the following: nomenclature, composition, construction, dimensions, tolerances, safety, operating characteristics, performance, rating, testing, and the service for which they are designed.

(Standardization Policies and Procedures, p. 2)

Dimensions

Where dimensions are given for interchangeability purposes, alternate dimensions satisfying the other provisions of the Standards Publication may be capable of otherwise equivalent performance.

(Standardization Policies and Procedures, p. 8)

Categories of Standards

National Electrical Manufacturers Association Standards are of three classes:

1. NEMA Standard, which relates to a product, process or procedure commercially standardized and subject to repetitive manufacture, which standard has been approved by at least 90 percent of the members of the Subdivision eligible to vote thereon;
2. Suggested Standard for Future Design, which may not have been regularly applied to a commercial product, but which suggests a sound engineering approach to future development, which standard has been approved by at least two-thirds of the members of the Subdivision eligible to vote thereon.
3. Adoptive Standard, which is adopted in whole or in part from the standards of another organization, either domestic, regional, or international.

(Standardization Policies and Procedures, pp 7 & 16)

Authorized Engineering Information

Authorized Engineering Information consists of explanatory data and other engineering information of an informative character not falling within the classification of NEMA Standard or Suggested Standard for Future Design, which standard has been approved by at least two-thirds of the members of the Subdivision eligible to vote on the standard.

(Standardization Policies and Procedures, pp. 7 & 16)

Official Standards Proposal

An Official Standards Proposal is an official draft of a proposed standard which is formally recommended to an outside organization(s) for consideration, comment and/or approval, and which has been approved by at least 90 percent of the members of the Subdivision eligible to vote thereon. *(Standardization Policies and Procedures, pp 7 & 16)*

Identification of Status

Standards in NEMA Standards Publications are identified in the foreword or following each standard as "NEMA Standard" or "Suggested Standard for Future Design." These indicate the status of the standard. These words are followed by a date which indicates when the standard was adopted in its present form by the Association.

The material identified as "Authorized Engineering Information" and "Official Standards Proposal" is designated similarly.

July 17, 1990

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

ULTRASOUND IMAGING SECTION
OF THE
NATIONAL ELECTRICAL MANUFACTURERS ASSOCIATION

MEMBER COMPANIES

Acoustic Imaging Corporation
Phoenix, AZ 85044

Acuson
Mountain View, CA 94049-7393

Advanced Technology Labs, Inc.
Bothell, WA 98041-3003

Baxter Healthcare Corporation
Irvine, CA 92715

Biosound, Inc.
Indianapolis, IN 46250-0858

Diasonics, Inc.
Milpitas, CA 95035

Elscint, Ltd.
Hackensack, NJ 07601

GE Medical Systems
Milwaukee, WI 53201

Hewlett-Packard Company
Andover, MA 01810-1099

Hitachi Medical Systems America, Inc.
Twinsburg, OH 44087

Interspec Incorporated
Ambler, PA 19002-5795

Krautkramer Branson
Lewistown, PA 17044

Mediasonics, Inc.
Fremont, CA 94539-4903

Philips Medical Systems
Santa Ana, CA 92704

Pie Medical USA
Neptune, NJ 07753-2625

Siemens Quantum Inc.
Issaquah, WA 98027

Sonic Technologies
Hatboro, PA 19040

Toshiba America
Tustin, CA 92680

Copyright National Electrical Manufacturers Association
Provided by IHS under license with NEMA
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale