Designation: A 763 – 93 (Reapproved 2004)

Standard Practices for Detecting Susceptibility to Intergranular Attack in Ferritic Stainless Steels[1]

This standard is issued under the fixed designation A 763; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 These practices cover the following four tests:

1.1.1 *Practice W*—Oxalic acid etch test for detecting susceptibility to intergranular attack in stabilized ferritic stainless steels by classification of the etching structures (see Sections 3 through 10).

1.1.2 *Practice X*—Ferric sulfate-sulfuric acid test for detecting susceptibility to intergranular attack in ferritic stainless steels (Sections 11 to 16).

1.1.3 *Practice Y*—Copper-copper sulfate-50 % sulfuric acid test for detecting susceptibility to intergranular attack in ferritic stainless steels (Sections 17 to 22).

1.1.4 *Practice Z*—Copper-copper sulfate-16 % sulfuric acid test for detecting susceptibility to intergranular attack in ferritic stainless steels (Sections 23 to 29).

1.2 The following factors govern the application of these practices **(1–6)**[2]:

1.2.1 Practice W, oxalic acid test, is a rapid method of identifying, by simple, electrolytic etching, those specimens of certain ferritic alloys that are not susceptible to intergranular corrosion associated with chromium carbide precipitation. Practice W is used as a screening test to avoid the necessity, for acceptable specimens, of more extensive testing required by Practices X, Y, and Z. See Table 1 for a listing of alloys for which Practice W is appropriate.

1.2.2 Practices X, Y, and Z can be used to detect the susceptibility of certain ferritic alloys to intergranular attack associated with the precipitation of chromium carbides or nitrides.

1.2.3 Practices W, X, Y, and Z can also be used to evaluate the effect of heat treatment or of fusion welding on susceptibility to intergranular corrosion.

1.2.4 Table 2 lists the identification ferritic stainless steels for which data on the application of at least one of the standard practices is available.

1.2.5 Some stabilized ferritic stainless steels may show high rates when tested by Practice X because of metallurgical factors not associated with chromium carbide or nitride precipitation. This possibility must be considered in selecting the test method. Combinations of alloys and test methods for which successful experience is available are shown in Table 1. Application of these standard tests to the other ferritic stainless steels will be by specific agreement between producer and user.

1.3 Depending on the test and alloy, evaluations may be accomplished by weight loss determination, microscopical examination, or bend test (Sections 30 and 31). The choices are listed in Table 1.

1.4 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety problems, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.* For specific safety precautionary statements, see 3.2.5, Section 7, 13.1, and 19.1.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:* [3]

A 370 Test Methods and Definitions for Mechanical Testing of Steel Products

3. Apparatus

3.1 *Apparatus for Practice W, Oxalic Acid Etch Test*:

3.1.1 *Source of DC*—Battery, generator, or rectifier capable of supplying 15 V and 20 A.

3.1.2 *Ammeter*, range 0 to 30 A.

3.1.3 *Variable Resistance*, for control of specimen current.

3.1.4 *Cathode*—One-litre stainless steel beaker or suitable piece of stainless steel.

3.1.5 *Electric Clamp*, to hold etched specimen.

3.1.6 *Metallurgical Microscope*, for examination of etched structures at 250 to 500×.

3.1.7 *Electrodes*—The specimen is made the anode and the beaker or other piece of stainless steel the cathode.

3.1.8 *Electrolyte*—Oxalic acid ($H_2C_2O_4 \cdot 2H_2O$) reagent grade, 10 weight % solution.

[1] These practices are under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee A01 on Steel, Stainless Steel and Related Alloys, and are the direct responsibility of Subcommittee A01.14 on Methods of Corrosion Testing.

Current edition approved March 1, 2004. Published March 2004. Originally approved in 1979. Last previous edition approved in 1999 as A 763 – 93 (1999)$^{\epsilon 1}$.

[2] The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to the list of references appended to these practices.

[3] For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

Copyright © ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States.

Copyright ASTM International
Reproduced by IHS under license with ASTM
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

TABLE 1 Methods for Evaluating Ferritic Stainless Steels for Susceptibility to Intergranular Corrosion

Alloy	Time of Test, h	Evaluation Criteria		
		Weight Loss	Microscopical Examination	Bend Test
PRACTICE W—OXALIC ACID ETCH TEST				
439	0.025	NA	A[A]	NA
18Cr-2Mo	0.025	NA	A[A]	NA
XM27	0.025	NA	A[A]	NA
XM33	0.025	NA	A[A]	NA
26-3-3	0.025	NA	A[A]	NA
PRACTICE X—FERRIC SULFATE - SULFURIC ACID TEST				
430	24	A[B,C]	A	NA
446	72	A[C]	A	NA
XM27	120	A[D]	A[C]	NA
29Cr-4Mo	120	NA[E]	A[C]	NA
29Cr-4Mo-2Ni	120	NA	A[C]	NA
PRACTICE Y—COPPER-COPPER SULFATE - 50% SULFURIC ACID TEST				
446	96	A[C]	A	NA
XM27	120	A[D]	A[C]	NA
XM33	120	A[D]	A[C]	NA
26–3–3	120	A[D]	A[C]	NA
29-4C	120	A[D]	A[C]	NA
29Cr-4Mo	120	NA	A[C]	NA
29Cr-4Mo-2Ni	120	NA	A[C]	NA
PRACTICE Z—COPPER-COPPER SULFATE - 16% SULFURIC ACID TEST				
430	24	NA	NA	no fissures
434	24	NA	NA	no fissures
436	24	NA	NA	no fissures
439	24	NA	NA	no fissures
18Cr-2Mo	24	NA	NA	no fissures

[A] Polished surface examined at 250 to 500× with a metallurgical microscope (see 3.1.6). All other microscopical examinations are of the corroded surface under 40× binocular examination (see Section 27).
[B] A = Applicable.
[C] Preferred criterion, these criteria are the most sensitive for the particular combination of alloy and test.
[D] Weight loss measurements can be used to detect severely sensitized material, but they are not very sensitive for alloys noted with this superscript and may not detect slight or moderate sensitization.
[E] NA = Not applicable.

TABLE 2 Steels for Which Test Results are Available

UNS Designation	Alloy	Practice(s)
S43000	430[A]	X, Z
S43400	434[A]	Z
S43600	436[A]	Z
S43035	XM8	Z
S44400	18Cr-2Mo	W, Z
S44600	446[A]	X, Y
S44626	XM33	W, Y
S44627	XM27	W, X, Y
S44660	26–3–3	Y
S44700	29Cr-4Mo	X, Y
S44735	29-4C	Y
S44800	29Cr-4Mo-2NI	X, Y

[A] Types 430, 434, 436, and 446 are nonstabilized grades that are generally not used in the as-welded or sensitized condition in other than mildly corrosive environments. In the annealed condition, they are not subject to intergranular corrosion. For any studies of IGA on Types 430, 434, 436, or 446, the indicated test methods are suggested.

3.2 The apparatus common to Practices X, Y, and Z is listed below. Supplementary requirements are noted as required.

3.2.1 The apparatus used is shown in Fig. 1.

NOTE 1—No substitution for this equipment may be used. The cold-finger type of condenser with standard Erlenmeyer flasks may not be used.

3.2.2 *Allihn or Soxhlet Condenser*, four-bulb (minimum) with a 45/50 ground-glass joint. Overall length shall be about 330 mm (13 in.) with condensing section, 241 mm (9½ in.).

3.2.3 *Erlenmeyer Flask*, 1-L with a 45/50 ground-glass joint. The ground-glass opening is somewhat over 38 mm (1½ in.) wide.

3.2.4 *Glass Cradles* (Note 2) can be supplied by a glass blowing shop. The size of the cradles should be such that they can pass through the ground-glass joint of the Erlenmeyer flask. They should have three or four holes in them to increase circulation of the test solution around the specimen.

NOTE 2—Other equivalent means of specimen support such as glass hooks or stirrups may also be used.

3.2.5 *Boiling Chips*, must be used to prevent bumping. It has been reported that violent boiling resulting in acid spills can occur. It is important to ensure that the concentration of acid does not become more concentrated and that an adequate number of boiling chips (which are resistant to attack by the test solution) are present.[4]

3.2.6 *Silicone Grease*, is recommended for the ground-glass joint.

3.2.7 *Electrically Heated Hot Plate*, or other device to provide heat for continuous boiling of the solution.

[4] Amphoteric alundum granules, Hengar Granules, from the Hengar Company, Philadelphia, PA have been found satisfactory for this purpose.

Copyright ASTM International
Reproduced by IHS under license with ASTM
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale



FIG. 1 Test Apparatus

4. Preparation of Test Specimens

4.1 The preparation of test specimens is common among Practices X, Y, and Z. Additional requirements are noted where necessary.

4.2 A specimen having a total surface area of 5 to 20 cm^2 is recommended for Practices X, Y, and Z. As-welded specimens should be cut so that no more than 13 mm ½ (in.) width of unaffected base metal is included on either side of the weld and heat-affected zone.

4.3 The intent is to test a specimen representing as nearly as possible the surface of the material as used in service. Only such surface finishing should be performed as is required to remove foreign material and obtain a standard, uniform finish as specified. For very heavy sections, specimens should be prepared to represent the appropriate surface while maintaining reasonable specimen size for convenience in testing. Ordinarily, removal of more material than necessary will have little influence on the test results. However, in the special case of surface carburization (sometimes encountered, for instance, in tubing when carbonaceous lubricants are employed) it may be possible by heavy grinding or machining to remove the carburized layer completely. Such treatment of test specimens is not permissible, except in tests undertaken to demonstrate such surface effects.

4.4 *Sensitization of Test Specimens*:

4.4.1 Specimens from material that is going to be used in the as-received condition without additional welding or heat treatment may be tested in the as-received condition without any sensitizing treatment.

4.4.2 Specimens from material that is going to be welded or heat treated should be welded or heat treated in as nearly the same manner as the material will experience in service.

4.4.3 The specific sensitizing or welding treatment, or both, should be agreed upon between the supplier and the purchaser.

4.5 For Practice W, a cross section of the sample including material at both surfaces and a cross section of any weld and its heat affected zones should be prepared. If the sample is too thick, multiple specimens should be used. Grind the cross section on wet or dry 80 or 120-grit abrasive paper followed by successively finer papers until a number 400 or 3/0 finish is obtained. Avoid excessive heat when dry-grinding.

4.6 For Practices X, Y, and Z, all surfaces of the specimen including edges should be ground on wet or dry 80 or 120-grit abrasive paper. Avoid excessive heat when dry-grinding. Do not use sand- or grit-blasting. All traces of oxide scale formed during heat treatment must be removed. To avoid scale entrapment, stamp specimens for identification after heat treatment and grinding.

4.7 Degrease and dry the sample using suitable nonchlorinated agents.

PRACTICE W—OXALIC ACID ETCH TEST FOR DETECTING SUSCEPTIBILITY TO INTERGRANULAR ATTACK BY CLASSIFICATION OF MICROSTRUCTURE FOR SCREENING OF CERTAIN FERRITIC STAINLESS STEELS

5. Scope

5.1 The oxalic acid etch test is intended and may be used for screening of certain ferritic stainless steels to precede or preclude the need for corrosion testing as described in Practices X, Y, or Z. Specimens with unacceptable microstructures should be subjected to Practices X, Y, or Z to better determine their susceptibility to intergranular attack. See Table 1 for a listing of alloys for which Practice W is appropriate.

6. Etching Conditions

6.1 The polished specimens should be etched at 1 A/cm^2 for 1.5 min. This may be accomplished with the apparatus prescribed in 3.1 by adjusting the variable resistance until the ammeter reading in amperes equals the immersed specimen area in square centimetres. Immersion of the specimen-holding clamp in the etching solution should be avoided.

7. Etching Precautions

7.1 Etching should be carried out under a ventilating hood. Gas evolved at the electrodes with entrained oxalic acid is poisonous and irritating. The temperature of the etching solution, which increases during etching, should be kept below 50°C by using two beakers of acid, one of which may be cooled while the other is in use.

Copyright ASTM International
Reproduced by IHS under license with ASTM
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

8. Rinsing Prior to Examination

8.1 Following etching, the specimen should be rinsed in hot water then acetone or alcohol to avoid oxalic acid crystallization on the etched surface during forced air-drying.

9. Examination

9.1 Examine etched specimens on a metallurgical microscope at 250 to 500× as appropriate for classification of etched microstructure type as defined in Section 10.

10. Classification of Etched Structures

10.1 Acceptable structures indicating resistance to chromium carbide-type intergranular attack:

10.1.1 *Step structure*—Steps only between grains—no ditches at grain boundaries (see Fig. 2).

10.1.2 *Dual structure*—Some ditches at grain boundaries in addition to steps, but no single grain completely surrounded by ditches (see Fig. 3).



FIG. 2 Acceptable Structures Practice W—Oxalic-Acid Etch Test Steps Between Grains No Ditching

Copyright ASTM International
Reproduced by IHS under license with ASTM
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale



FIG. 3 Acceptable Structure Practice W—Oxalic Acid Etch Test Dual Structure Some Ditches But No Single Grain Completely Surrounded

10.2 Unacceptable structures requiring additional testing (Practices X, Y, or Z):

10.2.1 *Ditch structure*—One or more grains completely surrounded by ditches (see Fig. 4).

PRACTICE X—FERRIC SULFATE-SULFURIC ACID TEST FOR DETECTING SUSCEPTIBILITY TO INTERGRANULAR ATTACK IN FERRITIC STAINLESS STEELS

11. Scope

11.1 This practice describes the procedure for conducting the boiling ferric sulfate-sulfuric acid test which measures the susceptibility of ferritic stainless steels to intergranular attack. This test detects susceptibility to intergranular attack associated with the precipitation of chromium carbides and nitrides in stabilized and unstabilized ferric stainless steels. It may also detect the presence of chi or sigma phase in these steels. The test will not differentiate between intergranular attack resulting from carbides and that due to intermetallic phases. The ferric sulfate-sulfuric acid solution may also selectively attack titanium carbides and nitrides in stabilized steels. The alloys on which the test has been successfully applied are shown in Table 1.

11.2 This test may be used to evaluate the susceptibility of as-received material to intergranular corrosion caused by chromium carbide or nitride precipitation. It may be applied to wrought products and weld metal.

11.3 This procedure may be used on ferritic stainless steels after an appropriate sensitizing heat treatment or welding procedure as agreed upon between the supplier and the purchaser.

12. Apparatus

12.1 The basic apparatus is described in Section 3. Also needed are:

12.1.1 For weight loss determination, an analytical balance capable of weighing to at least the nearest 0.001 g.

12.1.2 For microscopical examination, a microscope with magnification to at least 40×.

13. Ferric Sulfate-Sulfuric Acid Test Solution

13.1 Prepare 600 mL of test solution as follows. (**Warning—**Protect the eyes and use rubber gloves and apron for handling acid. Place the test flask under a hood.)

13.1.1 First, measure 400.0 mL of distilled water in a 500-mL graduate and pour into the Erlenmeyer flask.

13.1.2 Then measure 236.0 mL of reagent grade sulfuric acid of a concentration that must be in the range from 95.0 to 98.0 weight % in at 250-mL graduate. Add the acid slowly to the water in the Erlenmeyer flask to avoid boiling by the heat evolved.

NOTE 3—Loss of vapor results in concentration of the acid.

13.1.3 Weigh 25 g of reagent grade ferric sulfate (contains about 75 % $Fe_2(SO_4)_3$) and add to the sulfuric acid solution. A trip balance may be used.

13.1.4 Drop boiling chips into the flask.

13.1.5 Lubricate the ground-glass joint with silicone grease.

13.1.6 Cover the flask with the condenser and circulate cooling water.

13.1.7 Boil the solution until all the ferric sulfate is dissolved.

Copyright ASTM International
Reproduced by IHS under license with ASTM
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale



FIG. 4 Unacceptable Structures Practice W—Oxalic-Acid Etch Test Ditched Structure—One Or More Grains Completely Surrounded

14. Preparation of Test Specimens

14.1 Prepare test specimens as described in Section 4.

15. Procedure

15.1 When weight loss is to be determined, measure the sample prior to final cleaning and then weigh.

15.1.1 Measure the sample including the inner surfaces of any holes, and calculate the total exposed surface area.

15.1.2 Degrease and dry the sample using suitable nonchlorinated agents, and then weigh to the nearest 0.001 g.

15.2 Place the specimen in a glass cradle and immerse in boiling solution.

15.3 Mark the liquid level on the flask with wax crayon to provide a check on vapor loss which would result in concentration of acid. If there is an appreciable change in the level, repeat the test with fresh solution and a reground specimen.

15.4 Continue immersion of the specimen for the time shown in Table 1, then remove the specimen, rinse in water and acetone, and dry. Times for steels not listed in Table 1 are subject to agreement between the supplier and the purchaser.

Copyright ASTM International
Reproduced by IHS under license with ASTM
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

15.5 For weight loss determination, weigh the specimen and subtract this weight from the original weight.

15.6 No intermediate weighings are usually necessary. The tests can be run without interruption for the time specified in Table 1. However, if preliminary results are desired, the specimen can be removed at any time for weighing.

15.7 No changes in solution are necessary during the test period.

15.8 Additional ferric sulfate inhibitor may have to be added during the test if the corrosion rate is extraordinarily high as evidenced by a change in the color of the solution. More ferric sulfate must be added if the total weight loss of all specimens exceeds 2 g. (During the test, ferric sulfate is consumed at a rate of 10 g for each 1 g of dissolved stainless steel.)

15.9 Testing of a single specimen in a flask is preferred. However, several specimens may be tested simultaneously. The number is limited only by the number of glass cradles that can be fitted into the flask (usually three or four). Each sample must be in a separate cradle so that the samples do not touch.

15.10 During testing, there is some deposition of iron oxides on the upper part of the Erlenmeyer flask. This can be readily removed, after test completion, by boiling a solution of 10 % hydrochloric acid in the flask.

16. Evaluation

16.1 Depending on the agreement between the supplier and the purchaser, the results of the test may be evaluated by weight loss or microscopical examination as indicated in Table 1. See Sections 30 and 31.

PRACTICE Y—COPPER-COPPER SULFATE-50 % SULFURIC ACID TEST FOR DETERMINING SUSCEPTIBILITY TO INTERGRANULAR ATTACK IN FERRITIC STAINLESS STEELS

17. Scope

17.1 This practice describes the procedure for conducting the boiling copper-copper sulfate-50 % sulfuric acid test which measures the susceptibility of stainless steels to intergranular attack. This test detects susceptibility to intergranular attack associated with the precipitation of chromium carbides or nitrides in unstabilized and stabilized ferritic stainless steels.

17.2 This test may be used to evaluate the susceptibility of as-received material to intergranular corrosion caused by chromium carbide or nitride precipitation. It may also be used to evaluate the resistance of high purity or stabilized grades to sensitization to intergranular attack caused by welding or heat treatments. It may be applied to wrought products.

17.3 This test should not be used to detect susceptibility to intergranular attack resulting from the formation or presence of chi phase, sigma phase, or titanium carbides or nitrides. For detecting susceptibility to environments known to cause intergranular attack due to these phases use Practice X.

18. Apparatus

18.1 The basic apparatus is described in Section 3. Also needed are:

18.1.1 For weight loss determination, an analytical balance capable of weighing to the nearest 0.001 g.

18.1.2 For microscopical examination, a microscope with magnification to at least 40×.

18.1.3 A piece of copper metal about 3.2 by 19 by 38 mm (⅛ by ¾ by 1½ in.) with a bright, clean finish. An equivalent area of copper shot or chips may be used. The copper should be washed and degreased before use. A rinse in 5 % H_2SO_4 will clean corrosion products from the copper.

19. Copper-Copper Sulfate-50 % Sulfuric Acid Test Solution

19.1 Prepare 600 mL of test solution as follows. (**Warning—**Protect the eyes and face by face shield and use rubber gloves and apron when handling acid. Place flask under hood.)

19.1.1 First, measure 400.0 mL of distilled water in a 500-mL graduate and pour into the Erlenmeyer flask.

19.1.2 Then measure 236.0 mL of reagent grade sulfuric acid of a concentration that must be in the range from 95.0 to 98.0 weight % in a 250-mL graduate. Add the acid slowly to the water in the Erlenmeyer flask to avoid boiling by the heat evolved.

19.1.3 Weigh 72 g of reagent grade cupric sulfate ($CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$) and add to the sulfuric acid solution. A trip balance may be used.

19.1.4 Place the copper piece into one glass cradle and put it into the flask.

19.1.5 Drop boiling chips into the flask.

19.1.6 Lubricate the ground-glass joint with silicone grease.

19.1.7 Cover the flask with the condenser and circulate cooling water.

19.1.8 Boil the solution until all of the copper sulfate is dissolved.

20. Preparation of Test Specimens

20.1 Prepare test specimens as described in Section 4.

21. Procedure

21.1 When weight loss is to be determined, measure the sample prior to final cleaning and then weigh.

21.1.1 Measure the sample including the inner surfaces of any holes, and calculate the total area.

21.1.2 Degrease and dry the specimen using suitable non-chlorinated agents, such as soap and acetone, and then weigh to the nearest 0.001 g.

21.2 Place the specimen in another glass cradle and immerse in boiling solution.

21.3 Mark the liquid level on the flask with wax crayon to provide a check on vapor loss which would result in concentration of the acid. If there is an appreciable change in the level, repeat the test with fresh solution and a reground specimen.

21.4 Continue immersion of the specimen for the time shown in Table 1, then remove the specimen, rinse in water and acetone, and dry. Times for alloys not listed in Table 1 are subject to agreement between the supplier and the purchaser.

21.5 For weight loss determination, weigh the specimen and subtract this weight from the original weight.

Copyright ASTM International
Reproduced by IHS under license with ASTM
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

21.6 No intermediate weighings are usually necessary. The tests can be run without interruption. However, if preliminary results are desired, the specimen can be removed at any time for weighing.

21.7 No changes in solution are necessary during the test period.

22. Evaluation

22.1 Depending on the agreement between the supplier and the purchaser, the results of the test may be evaluated by weight loss or microscopical examination as indicated in Table 1. See Sections 30 and 31.

PRACTICE Z—COPPER-COPPER SULFATE-16 % SULFURIC ACID TEST FOR DETECTING SUSCEPTIBILITY TO INTERGRANULAR ATTACK IN FERRITIC STAINLESS STEELS

23. Scope

23.1 This practice describes the procedure by which the copper-copper sulfate-16 % sulfuric acid test is conducted to determine the susceptibility of ferritic stainless steels to intergranular attack. This test detects susceptibility to intergranular attack associated with the precipitation of chromium carbides or nitrides in stabilized and unstabilized ferritic stainless steels.

23.2 This test may be used to evaluate the heat treatment accorded as-received material. It may also be used to evaluate the effectiveness of stabilizing element additions (Cb, Ti, etc.) and reductions in interstitial content to aid in resistance to intergranular attack. It may be applied to all wrought products and weld metal.

23.3 This test does not detect susceptibility associated with chi phase, sigma phase, or titanium carbides or nitrides. For detecting susceptibility in environments known to cause intergranular attack due to these phases, use Practice X.

24. Apparatus

24.1 The basic apparatus is described in Section 3.

25. Copper-Copper Sulfate-16 % Sulfuric Acid Test Solution

25.1 Dissolve 100 g of copper sulfate ($CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$) in 700 mL of distilled water, add 100 mL of sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4, reagent grade, sp gr 1.84), and dilute to 1000 mL with distilled water.

NOTE 4—The solution will contain approximately 6 weight % of anhydrous $CuSO_4$, and 16 weight % of H_2SO_4.

26. Copper Addition

26.1 Electrolytic grade copper shot or grindings may be used. Shot is preferred for its ease of handling before and after the test.

26.2 A sufficient quantity of copper shot or grindings shall be used to cover all surfaces of the specimen whether it is in a vented cradle or embedded in a layer of copper shot on the bottom of the test flask.

26.3 The amount of copper used, assuming an excess of metallic copper is present, is not critical. The effect of galvanic coupling between copper and the test specimen may have importance **(7).**

26.4 The copper shot or grindings may be reused if they are cleaned in warm tap water after each test.

27. Preparation of Test Specimens

27.1 Prepare test specimens as described in Section 4.

28. Procedure

28.1 The volume of acidified copper sulfate test solution used should be sufficient to completely immerse the specimens and provide a minimum of 8 mL/cm^2(50 mL/in.^{2}).

28.1.1 As many as three specimens can be tested in the same container. It is ideal to have all the specimens in one flask to be of the same grade, but it is not absolutely necessary. The solution volume-to-sample area ratio shall be maintained.

NOTE 5—It may be necessary to embed large specimens, such as from heavy bar stock, in copper shot on the bottom of the test flask. A copper cradle may also be used.

28.1.2 The test specimen(s) should be immersed in ambient test solution which is then brought to a boil and maintained boiling throughout the test period. Begin timing the test period when the solution reaches the boiling point.

NOTE 6—Measures should be taken to minimize bumping of the solution when glass cradles are used to support specimens. A small amount of copper shot (eight to ten pieces) on the bottom of the flask will conveniently serve this purpose.

28.1.3 The test shall consist of one 24-h boiling period unless a longer time is specified. See Table 1. Times longer than 24 h should be included in the test report. Fresh test solution would not be needed if the test were to run 48 or 72 h. (If any adherent copper remains on the specimen, it may be removed by a brief immersion in concentrated nitric acid at room temperature. The sample is then rinsed in water and dried.)

29. Evaluation

29.1 As shown in Table 1, the results of this test are evaluated by a bend test. See Section 32.

EVALUATION METHODS

30. Evaluation by Weight Loss

30.1 Measure the effect of the acid solution on the material by determining the loss of weight of the specimen. Report the corrosion rates as inches of penetration per month, calculated as follows:

$$\textit{Millimeters per month} = 7290 \times W/A \times t \times d$$

where:

t = time of exposure, h,
A = area, cm^2,
W = weight loss, g, and
d = density, g/cm^3. For steels 14-20Cr, d = 7.7 g/cm^3; for steels with more than 20Cr, d = 7.6 g/cm^3.

NOTE 7—Conversion factors to other commonly used units for corrosion rates are as follows:

Millimeters per month × 0.04 = inches per month
Millimeters per month × 0.47 = inches per year
Millimeters per month × 12 = millimeters per year
Millimeters per month × 472 = mils per year

Copyright ASTM International
Reproduced by IHS under license with ASTM
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

Millimeters per month × 1000 × density/3 = milligram per square decimeter per day

Millimeters per month × 1.39 × density = grams per square meter per hours

30.2 What corrosion rate is indicative of intergranular attack depends on the alloy and must be determined by agreement between the supplier and the purchaser. Some experience with corrosion rates of ferritic stainless steels in Practices X and Y is given in the literature **(5).**

31. Evaluation by Microscopical Examination

31.1 Examine the test specimens for Practices X and Y under a binocular microscope at 40× magnification. Grain dropping is usually an indication of intergranular attack, but the number of dropped grains per unit area that can be tolerated is subject to agreement between the supplier and the purchaser.

31.1.1 Grain dropping is the dislodgement and loss of a grain or grains from a metal surface as the result of intergranular corrosion.

32. Evaluation by Bend Test

32.1 Bend the test specimen through 180° and over a radius equal to twice the thickness of the specimen being bent (see Fig. 5). In no case shall the specimen be bent over a smaller radius or through a greater angle than that specified in the product specification. In cases of material having low ductility, such as severely cold worked material, a 180° bend may prove impractical. Determine the maximum angle of bend without causing cracks in such material by bending an untested specimen of the same configuration as the specimen to be tested. Welded samples should be bent in such a manner that weld and the heat-affected zone are strained.



FIG. 5 Bend Test Specimen

32.1.1 Obtain duplicate specimens from sheet material so that both sides of the rolled samples may be bent through a 180° bend. This will ensure detection of intergranular attack resulting from carburizing of one surface of sheet material during the final stages of rolling.

NOTE 8—Identify the duplicate specimens in such a manner as to ensure both surfaces of sheet material being tested are subjected to the tension side of the 180° bends.

32.1.2 Samples machined from round sections shall have the curved or original surface on the outside of the bend.

32.1.3 The specimens are generally bent by holding in a vise and starting the bend with a hammer. It is generally completed by bringing the two ends together in the vise. Heavy specimens may require bending in a fixture of suitable design. An air or hydraulic press may also be used for bending the specimens.

32.1.4 Flatten tubular products in accordance with the flattening test prescribed in Test Methods and Definitions A 370.

32.2 Examine the bent specimen under low (5 to 20×) magnification (see Fig. 6). The appearance of fissures or cracks indicates the presence of intergranular attack (see Fig. 7).

32.2.1 When an evaluation is questionable, determine presence or absence of intergranular attack by metallographic examination of a longitudinal section of the specimen at a magnification of 100 to 250×.

NOTE 9—Cracking that originates at the edge of the specimen should be disregarded. The appearance of deformation lines, wrinkles, or "orange peel" on the surface, without accompanying cracks or fissures, should be disregarded also.

NOTE 10—Cracks suspected as arising through poor ductility may be investigated by bending a similar specimen that was not exposed to the boiling test solution. A visual comparison between these specimens should assist in interpretation.

33. Keywords

33.1 copper sulfate; corrosion testing; etch structures; ferric sulfate; ferritic stainless steel; intergranular corrosion; oxalic acid

Copyright ASTM International
Reproduced by IHS under license with ASTM
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale



FIG. 6 Bend Test Specimen That Does Not Show Fissures



FIG. 7 Bend Test Specimen Showing Intergranular Fissures

Copyright ASTM International
Reproduced by IHS under license with ASTM
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale

REFERENCES

(**1**) Streicher, M. A., "Theory and Application of Evaluation Tests for Detecting Susceptibility to Intergranular Attack in Stainless Steels and Related Alloys—Problems and Opportunities," *Intergranular Corrosion of Stainless Alloys*, *ASTM STP 656*, R. F. Steigerwald, ed., 1978, pp. 3–84.

(**2**) Dundas, H. J., and Bond, A. P., "Niobium and Titanium Requirements for Stabilization of Ferritic Stainless Steels," *Intergranular Corrosion of Stainless Alloys*, *ASTM STP 656*, R. F. Steigerwald, Ed., 1978, pp. 154–178.

(**3**) Nichol, T. J., and Davis, J. A., "Intergranular Corrosion Testing and Sensitization of Two High-Chromium Ferritic Stainless Steels," *Intergranular Corrosion of Stainless Alloys*, *ASTM STP 656*, R. F. Steigerwald, ed., 1978, pp. 179–196.

(**4**) Sweet, A. J., "Detection of Susceptibility of Alloy 26-1S to Intergranular Attack," *Intergranular Corrosion of Stainless Alloys*, *ASTM STP 656*, R. F. Steigerwald, Ed., 1978, pp. 197–232.

(**5**) Streicher, M. A., "The Role of Carbon, Nitrogen, and Heat Treatment in the Dissolution of Iron Chromium Alloys in Acids," *Corrosion*, Vol 29, pp 337–360.

(**6**) Deverell, H. E., "Stabilization of AISI 439 (S43035) Stainless Steel, *Materials Performance*, Vol 24, No 2, 1985, pp. 47–50.

(**7**) Herbsleb, G., and Schwenk, W., "Untersuchungen zur Einstellung des Redoxpotentials der Strausschen Lösung mit Zusatz von Metalleischem Kufer," *Corrosion Science*, Vol 7, 1967, pp. 501–511.

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org).

Copyright ASTM International
Reproduced by IHS under license with ASTM
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS
Not for Resale